TCP-verbindingen worden niet ingesteld bij verkeer na symmetrische paden

Inhoud

Inleiding Probleem Topologische grafiek diagnostiek Oplossing Conclusie

Inleiding

Dit document beschrijft probleem dat zich voordoet wanneer asymmetrische paden worden gebruikt voor doorsturen in SD-WAN fabric.

Probleem

Secure Shell (SSH)-verbindingen kunnen niet worden ingesteld op host2 (hostname - edgeclien2) vanaf host1 (hostname - edgeclien1), maar tegelijkertijd werkt SSH in omgekeerde richting.

```
[root@edgeclient2 user]# ssh user@192.168.40.21
user@192.168.40.21's password:
Last login: Sun Feb 10 13:26:32 2019 from 192.168.60.20
[user@edgeclient1 ~]$
```

[root@edgeclient1 user]# ssh user@192.168.60.20
<nothing happens after that>

of

[user@edgeclient1 ~]\$ ssh user@192.168.60.20 ssh_exchange_identification: Connection closed by remote host Zowel Edelclient1 als Egeclient2 SSH-datums en klanten hebben goede configuraties en verbindingen weten te realiseren vanaf het lokale LAN-segment met succes:

```
vedge4# request execute vpn 40 ssh user@192.168.60.20
user@192.168.60.20's password:
Last login: Sun Feb 10 13:28:23 2019 from 192.168.60.7
[user@edgeclient2 ~]$
```

Alle andere TCP-toepassingen (Transmission Control Protocol) hebben soortgelijke problemen.

Topologische grafiek



diagnostiek

Deze toegangscontrolelijsten (ACL's) zijn geconfigureerd en toegepast in corresponderende richtingen op serviceszijinterfaces van vEdge1 en vEdge3:

```
policy
access-list SSH_IN
 sequence 10
  match
   source-ip 192.168.40.21/32
   destination-ip 192.168.60.20/32
   !
   action accept
   count SSH_IN
   1
  !
 default-action accept
 1
 access-list SSH_OUT
  sequence 10
  match
```

```
source-ip 192.168.60.20/32
destination-ip 192.168.40.21/32
!
action accept
count SSH_OUT
!
default-action accept
!
```

Omgekeerde ACL werd toegepast op vEdge4:

```
policy
access-list SSH_IN
 sequence 10
  match
   source-ip 192.168.60.20/32
   destination-ip 192.168.40.21/32
   !
  action accept
   count SSH_IN
   !
  !
 default-action accept
 !
 access-list SSH_OUT
  sequence 10
  match
   source-ip 192.168.40.21/32
   destination-ip 192.168.60.20/32
   !
  action accept
   count SSH_OUT
  !
  1
 default-action accept
 !
!
```

Ook is app-zichtbaarheid ingeschakeld voor alle vEdge-routers en stromen tijdens de SSHverbindingsfase:

vedge1#	show ap	p cflowd flow	vs tab ; sho	w poli	cy access-li	st-count	ers		
						TCP			
TIME	EGRESS	INGRESS							
			SRC	DEST	IP	CNTRL	ICMP		TOTAL
TOTAL M	IIN MAX			то	INTF :	INTF			
VPN SRC	IP	DEST IP	PORT	PORT	DSCP PROTO	BITS	OPCODE	NHOP IP	PKTS
BYTES L	EN LEN	START TIME		EXPI	RE NAME I	NAME			
40 192	.168.40	.21 192.168	.60.20 47866	22	0 6	24	0	192.168.109.7	3
227 6	6 87	Sun Feb 17	14:13:25 2019	34	ge0/0	ge0/1			

```
COUNTER
NAME PACKETS BYTES
```

SSH_IN SSH_IN 3 227 SSH_OUT SSH_OUT 2 140 vedge3# show app cflowd flows | tab ; show policy access-list-counters TCP EGRESS INGRESS TIME SRC DEST IP CNTRL ICMP TOTAL ТО INTF INTF TOTAL MIN MAX VPN SRC IP DEST IP PORT PORT DSCP PROTO BITS OPCODE NHOP IP PKTS BYTES LEN LEN START TIME EXPIRE NAME NAME _____ _____ 40 192.168.60.20 192.168.40.21 22 47866 0 6 18 0 192.168.40.21 8 480 60 Sun Feb 17 14:14:08 2019 51 ge0/1 ge0/0 60 COUNTER NAME NAME PACKETS BYTES _____ SSH_IN SSH_IN 0 0 SSH_OUT SSH_OUT 7 420 vedge4# show app cflowd flows | tab ; show policy access-list-counters TCP TIME EGRESS INGRESS SRC DEST IP CNTRL ICMP TOTAL TOTAL MIN MAX то INTF INTF VPN SRC IP DEST IP PORT PORT DSCP PROTO BITS OPCODE NHOP IP PKTS BYTES LEN LEN START TIME EXPIRE NAME NAME _____ _____ _____ 0 6 2 192.168.40.21 192.168.60.20 47866 22 0 192.168.60.20 4 40 60 60 Sun Feb 17 14:17:44 2019 37 ge0/2 ge0/0 240 40 192.168.60.20 192.168.40.21 22 47866 0 6 18 0 192.168.110.6 8 74 74 Sun Feb 17 14:17:44 2019 49 ge0/0 ge0/2 592 COUNTER

NAME	NAME	PACKETS	BYTES		
SSH_IN	SSH_IN	8	592		
SSH_OUT	SSH_OUT	4	240		

Zoals je kunt zien aan deze uitgangen, zijn inkomende en uitgaande stromen asymmetrisch. edgeclient1 (192.168.40.21) probeert SSH-sessie met edgeclient2 (192.168.60.20) in te stellen en inkomend verkeer komt via vEdge1 en retourverkeer via vEdge3. Vanaf de ACL-tellers kunt u ook dat aantal inkomende en uitgaande pakketten zien op v Edge4 komt niet overeen met som in bijbehorende richtingen op vEdge1 en vEdge3. Tegelijkertijd is er geen pakketverlies bij het testen met **ping**:

[root@edgeclient1 user]# ping -f 192.168.60.20 -c 10000
PING 192.168.60.20 (192.168.60.20) 56(84) bytes of data.

--- 192.168.60.20 ping statistics ---10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, time 3076ms rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.128/0.291/6.607/0.623 ms, ipg/ewma 0.307/0.170 ms [root@edgeclient2 user]# ping -f 192.168.40.21 -c 10000
PING 192.168.40.21 (192.168.40.21) 56(84) bytes of data.

--- 192.168.40.21 ping statistics ---10000 packets transmitted, 10000 received, 0% packet loss, time 3402ms rtt min/avg/max/mdev = 0.212/0.318/2.766/0.136 ms, ipg/ewma 0.340/0.327 ms

Tevens wordt erop gewezen dat SSH in omgekeerde richting werkt en dat bestanden ook zonder problemen kunnen worden gekopieerd via scp/sftp.

Oplossing

Bij sommige formaties of gegevensbeleid van Deep Packet Inspection (DPI) werd aanvankelijk vermoed, maar geen van deze werd geactiveerd:

vedge3# show policy from-vsmart
% No entries found.

vedge1# show policy from-vsmart
% No entries found.

Maar uiteindelijk werd ontdekt dat TCP-optimalisatie was ingeschakeld:

vedge1# show app tcp-opt active-flows

				SPC	חדפיד		EGRESS	INGRESS	Ͳ¥
RX		UNOPT	PROXY	BRC	DEGI		INTE	INIP	IX
VPN	SRC IP	DEST IP		PORT	PORT	START TIME	NAME	NAME	BYTES
BYTES	5 TCP STATE	REASON	IDENTIT	ГҮ					
40	192.168.40.21	192.168.	60.20	47868	22	Sun Feb 17 14:18:13 2019	ge0_0	ge0_1	314
0	In-progress	-	Client-	-Proxy					

vedge1# show app tcp-opt expired-flows

							SRC	DEST						
TX	RX		UNC	PT PF	ROXY									
TIMES	TAMP	VPN	SRC IP		DEST IP		PORT	PORT	STAI	RT ΤΙ	ME			END
TIME			BYTES	BYTES	TCP STA	TE RE.	ASON	IDENTITY		DEL	ETE	REASON		
15498	19969608	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.7	22	56612	Sun	Feb	10	18:32:49	2019	Sun
Feb 1	0 18:36:03	3 2019	5649	4405	Optimiz	ed -		Server-Pr	roxy	CLC	SED			
15498	20055487	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.7	22	56613	Sun	Feb	10	18:34:15	2019	Sun
Feb 1	0 19:07:46	5 2019	5719	4669	Optimiz	ed -		Server-Pr	roxy	CLC	SED			
15504	08210511	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.20	47862	22	Sun	Feb	17	13:56:50	2019	Sun
Feb 1	7 13:56:58	3 2019	401	0	Optimiz	ed -		Client-Pr	roxy	STA	TE-'	TIMEOUT		
15504	08981634	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.20	47864	22	Sun	Feb	17	14:09:41	2019	Sun
Feb 1	7 14:09:49	9 2019	401	0	Optimiz	ed -		Client-Pr	roxy	STA	TE-'	TIMEOUT		
15504	09205399	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.20	47866	22	Sun	Feb	17	14:13:25	2019	Sun
Feb 1	7 14:13:33	3 2019	227	0	Optimiz	ed -		Client-Pr	roxy	STA	TE-'	TIMEOUT		
15504	09493042	40	192.168.	40.21	192.168.	60.20	47868	22	Sun	Feb	17	14:18:13	2019	Sun
Feb 1	7 14:18:21	2019	401	0	Optimiz	ed -		Client-Pr	roxy	STA	TE-'	TIMEOUT		

Daarnaast kan je het bericht van CONN_TEARDOWN zien in debugs.

vedge1# show log /var/log/tmplog/vdebug tail "-f" local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:50 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpopt_flow_add[268]: Created new tcpflow :vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm tcpd send conn tear down[388]: Trying to pack and send the following message to TCPD local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_send_conn_tear_down[408]: Sending following CONN_TD msg local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_send_conn_tear_down[413]: 192.168.40.21:47862->192.168.60.20:22; vpn:40; syn_seq_num:4172167164; identity:0; cport_prime:0 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_msgq_tx[354]: Transfering size = 66 bytes data local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_send_conn_tear_down[416]: Successfully sent conn_td msg to TCPD local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpopt_propagate_tear_down[1038]: Sent CONN_TEARDOWN msg to tcpd for existing tcpflow :- vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22 ; identity:CLIENT_SIDE_PROXY . Send Successful ! local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpopt_append_expired_err_flow_tbl[958]: Appending flow vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22 to the expired flow table at Sun Feb 17 13:56:58 2019 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpopt_append_expired_err_flow_tbl[980]: Appending flow vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22 to the error flow table at Sun Feb 17 13:56:58 2019 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpopt_flow_delete[293]: Removing tcpflow :vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 TCPD[670]: handle_upstream_connect[538]: Error - BP NULL local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_msg_decode[254]: FTM-TCPD: Received FTM_TCPD_PB_FTM_TCPD_MSG_E_MSG_TYPE_CONN_CLOSED msg local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[139]: FTM-TCPD: Received CONN_CLOSED for following C->S local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[150]: 192.168.40.21:47862->192.168.60.20:22; vpn:40; syn_seq_num:4172167164; identity:0; cport_prime:47862; bind_port:0 local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[184]: FTM-TCPD: Could not find entry in FT for following flow local7.debug: Feb 17 13:56:58 vedge1 FTMD[662]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[185]: vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47862 192.168.60.20/22

En hier kunt u een voorbeeld zien wanneer TCP-optimalisatie goed werkt (het CONN_EST bericht kan worden gezien):

vedge3# show log /var/log/tmplog/vdebug tail "-f -n 0" local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:13 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_msg_decode[254]: FTM-TCPD: Received FTM_TCPD_PB_FTM_TCPD_MSG_E_MSG_TYPE_CONN_CLOSED msg local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:13 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[139]: FTM-TCPD: Received CONN_CLOSED for following C->S local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:13 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_closed[150]: 192.168.40.21:47876->192.168.60.20:22; vpn:40; syn_seq_num:2779178897; identity:0; cport_prime:47876; bind_port:0 local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:15 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_msg_decode[258]: FTM-TCPD: Received FTM_TCPD_PB_FTM_TCPD_MSG_E_MSG_TYPE_CONN_EST msg local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:15 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_est[202]: FTM-TCPD: Received CONN_EST for following C->S local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:15 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpd_handle_conn_est[213]: 192.168.40.21:47878->192.168.60.20:22; vpn:40; syn_seq_num:2690847868; identity:0; cport_prime:47878; bind_port:0 local7.debug: Feb 17 15:41:15 vedge3 FTMD[657]: ftm_tcpopt_flow_add[268]: Created new tcpflow :vrid-3 192.168.40.21/47878 192.168.60.20/22

Conclusie

Voor het optimaliseren van TCP moeten stromen symmetrisch zijn, zodat u dit probleem kunt oplossen of TCP-optimalisatie moet worden uitgeschakeld (geen VPN 40 tcp-optimalisatie) of het gegevensbeleid moet worden gemaakt om TCP-stromen in beide richtingen te dwingen. U kunt meer informatie hierover vinden in <u>SD-WAN Design Guide</u> sectie Traffic Symmetry for DPI, pagina 23.