



# ACI Policy Model

---

This chapter contains the following sections:

- [About the ACI Policy Model, on page 1](#)
- [Policy Model Key Characteristics, on page 1](#)
- [Logical Constructs, on page 2](#)
- [The Cisco ACI Policy Management Information Model, on page 3](#)
- [Tenants, on page 4](#)
- [VRFs, on page 5](#)
- [Application Profiles, on page 6](#)
- [Endpoint Groups, on page 7](#)
- [Bridge Domains and Subnets, on page 10](#)
- [Attachable Entity Profile, on page 15](#)
- [VLANs and EPGs, on page 16](#)
- [Contracts, on page 27](#)
- [Outside Networks, on page 37](#)
- [Managed Object Relations and Policy Resolution, on page 38](#)
- [Default Policies, on page 39](#)
- [Trans Tenant EPG Communications, on page 41](#)
- [Tags, on page 42](#)
- [About APIC Quota Management Configuration, on page 42](#)

## About the ACI Policy Model

The ACI policy model enables the specification of application requirements policies. The APIC automatically renders policies in the fabric infrastructure. When a user or process initiates an administrative change to an object in the fabric, the APIC first applies that change to the policy model. This policy model change then triggers a change to the actual managed endpoint. This approach is called a model-driven framework.

## Policy Model Key Characteristics

Key characteristics of the policy model include the following:


- As a model-driven architecture, the software maintains a complete representation of the administrative and operational state of the system (the model). The model applies uniformly to fabric, services, system behaviors, and virtual and physical devices attached to the network.
- The logical and concrete domains are separated; the logical configurations are rendered into concrete configurations by applying the policies in relation to the available physical resources. No configuration is carried out against concrete entities. Concrete entities are configured implicitly as a side effect of the changes to the APIC policy model. Concrete entities can be, but do not have to be, physical (such as a virtual machine or a VLAN).
- The system prohibits communications with newly connected devices until the policy model is updated to include the new device.
- Network administrators do not configure logical and physical system resources directly but rather define logical (hardware independent) configurations and APIC policies that control different aspects of the system behavior.

Managed object manipulation in the model relieves engineers from the task of administering isolated, individual component configurations. These characteristics enable automation and flexible workload provisioning that can locate any workload anywhere in the infrastructure. Network-attached services can be easily deployed, and the APIC provides an automation framework to manage the life cycle of those network-attached services.

## Logical Constructs

The policy model manages the entire fabric, including the infrastructure, authentication, security, services, applications, and diagnostics. Logical constructs in the policy model define how the fabric meets the needs of any of the functions of the fabric. The following figure provides an overview of the ACI policy model logical constructs.

**Figure 1: ACI Policy Model Logical Constructs Overview**



348504


Fabric-wide or tenant administrators create predefined policies that contain application or shared resource requirements. These policies automate the provisioning of applications, network-attached services, security policies, and tenant subnets, which puts administrators in the position of approaching the resource pool in terms of applications rather than infrastructure building blocks. The application needs to drive the networking behavior, not the other way around.

## The Cisco ACI Policy Management Information Model

The fabric comprises the physical and logical components as recorded in the Management Information Model (MIM), which can be represented in a hierarchical management information tree (MIT). The information model is stored and managed by processes that run on the APIC. Similar to the OSI Common Management Information Protocol (CMIP) and other X.500 variants, the APIC enables the control of managed resources by presenting their manageable characteristics as object properties that can be inherited according to the location of the object within the hierarchical structure of the MIT.

Each node in the tree represents a managed object (MO) or group of objects. MOs are abstractions of fabric resources. An MO can represent a concrete object, such as a switch, adapter, or a logical object, such as an application profile, endpoint group, or fault. The following figure provides an overview of the MIT.

**Figure 2: Cisco ACI Policy Management Information Model Overview**



The hierarchical structure starts with the policy universe at the top (Root) and contains parent and child nodes. Each node in the tree is an MO and each object in the fabric has a unique distinguished name (DN) that describes the object and locates its place in the tree.

The following managed objects contain the policies that govern the operation of the system:

- APIC controllers comprise a replicated synchronized clustered controller that provides management, policy programming, application deployment, and health monitoring for the multitenant fabric.
- A tenant is a container for policies that enable an administrator to exercise domain-based access control. The system provides the following four kinds of tenants:
  - User tenants are defined by the administrator according to the needs of users. They contain policies that govern the operation of resources such as applications, databases, web servers, network-attached storage, virtual machines, and so on.
  - The common tenant is provided by the system but can be configured by the fabric administrator. It contains policies that govern the operation of resources accessible to all tenants, such as firewalls, load balancers, Layer 4 to Layer 7 services, intrusion detection appliances, and so on.
  - The infrastructure tenant is provided by the system but can be configured by the fabric administrator. It contains policies that govern the operation of infrastructure resources such as the fabric VXLAN

overlay. It also enables a fabric provider to selectively deploy resources to one or more user tenants. Infrastructure tenant polices are configurable by the fabric administrator.


- The management tenant is provided by the system but can be configured by the fabric administrator. It contains policies that govern the operation of fabric management functions used for in-band and out-of-band configuration of fabric nodes. The management tenant contains a private out-of-bound address space for the APIC/fabric internal communications that is outside the fabric data path that provides access through the management port of the switches. The management tenant enables discovery and automation of communications with virtual machine controllers.
- Access policies govern the operation of switch access ports that provide connectivity to resources such as storage, compute, Layer 2 and Layer 3 (bridged and routed) connectivity, virtual machine hypervisors, Layer 4 to Layer 7 devices, and so on. If a tenant requires interface configurations other than those provided in the default link, Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP), Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP), Link Aggregation Control Protocol (LACP), or Spanning Tree, an administrator must configure access policies to enable such configurations on the access ports of the leaf switches.
- Fabric policies govern the operation of the switch fabric ports, including such functions as Network Time Protocol (NTP) server synchronization, Intermediate System-to-Intermediate System Protocol (IS-IS), Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) route reflectors, Domain Name System (DNS) and so on. The fabric MO contains objects such as power supplies, fans, chassis, and so on.
- Virtual Machine (VM) domains group VM controllers with similar networking policy requirements. VM controllers can share VLAN or Virtual Extensible Local Area Network (VXLAN) space and application endpoint groups (EPGs). The APIC communicates with the VM controller to publish network configurations such as port groups that are then applied to the virtual workloads.
- Layer 4 to Layer 7 service integration life cycle automation framework enables the system to dynamically respond when a service comes online or goes offline. Policies provide service device package and inventory management functions.
- Access, authentication, and accounting (AAA) policies govern user privileges, roles, and security domains of the Cisco ACI fabric.

The hierarchical policy model fits well with the REST API interface. When invoked, the API reads from or writes to objects in the MIT. URLs map directly into distinguished names that identify objects in the MIT. Any data in the MIT can be described as a self-contained structured tree text document encoded in XML or JSON.

## Tenants

A tenant (`fvTenant`) is a logical container for application policies that enable an administrator to exercise domain-based access control. A tenant represents a unit of isolation from a policy perspective, but it does not represent a private network. Tenants can represent a customer in a service provider setting, an organization or domain in an enterprise setting, or just a convenient grouping of policies. The following figure provides an overview of the tenant portion of the management information tree (MIT).

Figure 3: Tenants



Tenants can be isolated from one another or can share resources. The primary elements that the tenant contains are filters, contracts, outside networks, bridge domains, Virtual Routing and Forwarding (VRF) instances, and application profiles that contain endpoint groups (EPGs). Entities in the tenant inherit its policies. VRFs are also known as contexts; each VRF can be associated with multiple bridge domains.




**Note** In the APIC GUI under the tenant navigation path, a VRF (context) is called a private network.

Tenants are logical containers for application policies. The fabric can contain multiple tenants. You must configure a tenant before you can deploy any Layer 4 to Layer 7 services. The ACI fabric supports IPv4, IPv6, and dual-stack configurations for tenant networking.

## VRFs

A Virtual Routing and Forwarding (VRF) object (`fVContext`) or context is a tenant network (called a private network in the APIC GUI). A tenant can have multiple VRFs. A VRF is a unique Layer 3 forwarding and application policy domain. The following figure shows the location of VRFs in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

Figure 4: VRFs



A VRF defines a Layer 3 address domain. One or more bridge domains are associated with a VRF. All of the endpoints within the Layer 3 domain must have unique IP addresses because it is possible to forward packets directly between these devices if the policy allows it. A tenant can contain multiple VRFs. After an administrator creates a logical device, the administrator can create a VRF for the logical device, which provides a selection criteria policy for a device cluster. A logical device can be selected based on a contract name, a graph name, or the function node name inside the graph.




**Note** In the APIC GUI, a VRF (*f<sub>v</sub>Ctx*) is also called a "Context" or "Private Network."

## Application Profiles

An application profile (*f<sub>v</sub>Ap*) defines the policies, services and relationships between endpoint groups (EPGs). The following figure shows the location of application profiles in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

Figure 5: Application Profiles



Application profiles contain one or more EPGs. Modern applications contain multiple components. For example, an e-commerce application could require a web server, a database server, data located in a storage

area network, and access to outside resources that enable financial transactions. The application profile contains as many (or as few) EPGs as necessary that are logically related to providing the capabilities of an application.


EPGs can be organized according to one of the following:

- The application they provide, such as a DNS server or SAP application (see *Tenant Policy Example* in *Cisco APIC REST API Configuration Guide*).
- The function they provide (such as infrastructure)
- Where they are in the structure of the data center (such as DMZ)
- Whatever organizing principle that a fabric or tenant administrator chooses to use

## Endpoint Groups

The endpoint group (EPG) is the most important object in the policy model. The following figure shows where application EPGs are located in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

**Figure 6: Endpoint Groups**



349872

An EPG is a managed object that is a named logical entity that contains a collection of endpoints. Endpoints are devices that are connected to the network directly or indirectly. They have an address (identity), a location, attributes (such as version or patch level), and can be physical or virtual. Knowing the address of an endpoint

also enables access to all its other identity details. EPGs are fully decoupled from the physical and logical topology. Endpoint examples include servers, virtual machines, network-attached storage, or clients on the Internet. Endpoint membership in an EPG can be dynamic or static.

The ACI fabric can contain the following types of EPGs:

- Application endpoint group (`fvAEPg`)
- Layer 2 external outside network instance endpoint group (`l2extInstP`)
- Layer 3 external outside network instance endpoint group (`l3extInstP`)
- Management endpoint groups for out-of-band (`mgmtOoB`) or in-band (`mgmtInB`) access.

EPGs contain endpoints that have common policy requirements such as security, virtual machine mobility (VMM), QoS, or Layer 4 to Layer 7 services. Rather than configure and manage endpoints individually, they are placed in an EPG and are managed as a group.

Policies apply to EPGs, never to individual endpoints. An EPG can be statically configured by an administrator in the APIC, or dynamically configured by an automated system such as vCenter or OpenStack.




---

**Note** When an EPG uses a static binding path, the encapsulation VLAN associated with this EPG must be part of a static VLAN pool. For IPv4/IPv6 dual-stack configurations, the IP address property is contained in the `fvStIp` child property of the `fvStCEp` MO. Multiple `fvStIp` objects supporting IPv4 and IPv6 addresses can be added under one `fvStCEp` object. When upgrading ACI from IPv4-only firmware to versions of firmware that support IPv6, the existing IP property is copied to an `fvStIp` MO.

---

Regardless of how an EPG is configured, EPG policies are applied to the endpoints they contain.

WAN router connectivity to the fabric is an example of a configuration that uses a static EPG. To configure WAN router connectivity to the fabric, an administrator configures an `l3extInstP` EPG that includes any endpoints within an associated WAN subnet. The fabric learns of the EPG endpoints through a discovery process as the endpoints progress through their connectivity life cycle. Upon learning of the endpoint, the fabric applies the `l3extInstP` EPG policies accordingly. For example, when a WAN connected client initiates a TCP session with a server within an application (`fvAEPg`) EPG, the `l3extInstP` EPG applies its policies to that client endpoint before the communication with the `fvAEPg` EPG web server begins. When the client server TCP session ends and communication between the client and server terminate, that endpoint no longer exists in the fabric.




---

**Note** If a leaf switch is configured for *static binding* (*leaf switches*) under an EPG, the following restrictions apply:

- The static binding cannot be overridden with a static path.
- Interfaces in that switch cannot be used for routed external network (L3out) configurations.
- Interfaces in that switch cannot be assigned IP addresses.

---

Virtual machine management connectivity to VMware vCenter is an example of a configuration that uses a dynamic EPG. Once the virtual machine management domain is configured in the fabric, vCenter triggers the dynamic configuration of EPGs that enable virtual machine endpoints to start up, move, and shut down as needed.



## IP-Based EPGs

Although encapsulation-based EPGs are commonly used, IP-based EPGs are suitable in networks where there is a need for large numbers of EPGs that cannot be supported by Longest Prefix Match (LPM) classification. IP-based EPGs do not require allocating a network/mask range for each EPG, unlike LPM classification. Also, a unique bridge domain is not required for each IP-based EPG. The configuration steps for an IP-based EPG are like those for configuring a virtual IP-based EPG that is used in the Cisco AVS vCenter configuration.

Observe the following guidelines and limitations of IP-based EPGs:

- IP-based EPGs are supported starting with the APIC 1.1(2x) and ACI switch 11.1(2x) releases on the following Cisco Nexus N9K switches:
  - Switches with "E" on the end of the switch name, for example, N9K-C9372PX-E.
  - Switches with "EX" on the end of the switch name, for example, N9K-93108TC-EX.

The APIC raises a fault when you attempt to deploy IP-based EPGs on older switches that do not support them.

- IP-based EPGs can be configured for specific IP addresses or subnets, but not IP address ranges.
- IP-based EPGs are not supported in the following scenarios:
  - In combination with static EP configurations.
  - External, infrastructure tenant (infra) configurations will not be blocked, but they do not take effect, because there is no Layer 3 learning in this case.
  - In Layer 2-only bridge domains, IP-based EPG does not take effect, because there is no routed traffic in this case. If proxy ARP is enabled on Layer 3 bridge domains, the traffic is routed even if endpoints are in the same subnet. So IP-based EPG works in this case.
  - Configurations with a prefix that is used both for shared services and an IP-based EPG.

## Microsegmentation

Microsegmentation associates endpoints from multiple EPGs into a microsegmented EPG according to virtual machine attributes, IP address, or MAC address. Virtual machine attributes include: VNic domain name, VM identifier, VM name, hypervisor identifier, VMM domain, datacenter, operating system, or custom attribute.

Some advantages of microsegmentation include the following:

- Stateless white list network access security with line rate enforcement.
- Per-microsegment granularity of security automation through dynamic Layer 4 - Layer 7 service insertion and chaining.
- Hypervisor agnostic microsegmentation in a broad range of virtual switch environments.
- ACI policies that easily move problematic VMs into a quarantine security zone.
- When combined with intra-EPG isolation for bare metal and VM endpoints, microsegmentation can provide policy driven automated complete endpoint isolation within application tiers.

For any EPG, the ACI fabric ingress leaf switch classifies packets into an EPG according to the policies associated with the ingress port. Microsegmented EPGs apply policies to individual virtual or physical endpoints

that are derived based on the VM attribute, MAC address, or IP address specified in the microsegmented EPG policy.

## Intra-EPG Endpoint Isolation

Intra-EPG endpoint isolation policies provide full isolation for virtual or physical endpoints; no communication is allowed between endpoints in an EPG that is operating with isolation enforced. Isolation enforced EPGs reduce the number of EPG encapsulations required when many clients access a common service but are not allowed to communicate with each other.

An EPG is isolation enforced for all Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI) network domains or none. While the Cisco ACI fabric implements isolation directly to connected endpoints, switches connected to the fabric are made aware of isolation rules according to a primary VLAN (PVLAN) tag.



**Note** If an EPG is configured with intra-EPG endpoint isolation enforced, these restrictions apply:


- All Layer 2 endpoint communication across an isolation enforced EPG is dropped within a bridge domain.
- All Layer 3 endpoint communication across an isolation enforced EPG is dropped within the same subnet.
- Preserving QoS CoS priority settings is not supported when traffic is flowing from an EPG with isolation enforced to an EPG without isolation enforced.

BPDUs are not forwarded through EPGs with intra-EPG isolation enabled. Therefore, when you connect an external Layer 2 network that runs spanning tree in a VLAN that maps to an isolated EPG on Cisco ACI, Cisco ACI might prevent spanning tree in the external network from detecting a Layer 2 loop. You can avoid this issue by ensuring that there is only a single logical link between Cisco ACI and the external network in these VLANs.

## Bridge Domains and Subnets

A bridge domain (BD) represents a Layer 2 forwarding construct within the fabric. The following figure shows the location of bridge domains in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

**Figure 7: Bridge Domains**



A bridge domain must be linked to a VRF instance (also known as a context or private network). With the exception of a Layer 2 VLAN, it must have at least one subnet (`fvSubnet`) associated with it. The bridge domain defines the unique Layer 2 MAC address space and a Layer 2 flood domain if such flooding is enabled. While a VRF instance defines a unique IP address space, that address space can consist of multiple subnets. Those subnets are defined in one or more bridge domains that reference the corresponding VRF instance.

The options for a subnet under a bridge domain or under an EPG are as follows:

- *Public*: The subnet can be exported to a routed connection.
- *Private*: The subnet applies only within its tenant.
- *Shared*: The subnet can be shared with and exported to multiple VRF instances in the same tenant or across tenants as part of a shared service. An example of a shared service is a routed connection to an EPG present in another VRF instance in a different tenant. This enables traffic to pass in both directions across VRF instances. An EPG that provides a shared service must have its subnet configured under that EPG (not under a bridge domain), and its scope must be set to advertised externally, and shared between VRF instances.




---

**Note** Shared subnets must be unique across the VRF instance involved in the communication. When a subnet under an EPG provides a Layer 3 external network shared service, such a subnet must be globally unique within the entire Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI) fabric.

---

Bridge domain packet behavior can be controlled in the following ways:

Packet Type	Mode
ARP	<p>You can enable or disable <b>ARP Flooding</b>; without flooding, ARP packets are sent with unicast.</p> <p><b>Note</b> If the <code>limitIpLearnToSubnets</code> in <code>fvBD</code> is set, endpoint learning is limited to the bridge domain only if the IP address is in a configured subnet of the bridge domain or an EPG subnet that is a shared service provider.</p>

Packet Type	Mode
Unknown Unicast	<p><b>L2 Unknown Unicast</b>, which can be <b>Flood</b> or <b>Hardware Proxy</b>.</p> <p><b>Note</b> When the bridge domain has <b>L2 Unknown Unicast</b> set to <b>Flood</b>, if an endpoint is deleted the system deletes it from both the local leaf switches as well as the remote leaf switches where the bridge domain is deployed, by selecting <b>Clear Remote MAC Entries</b>. Without this feature, the remote leaf continues to have this endpoint learned until the timer expires.</p> <p>Modifying the <b>L2 Unknown Unicast</b> setting causes traffic to bounce (go down and up) on interfaces to devices attached to EPGs associated with this bridge domain.</p>
Unknown IP Multicast	<p><b>L3 Unknown Multicast Flooding</b></p> <p><b>Flood:</b> Packets are flooded on ingress and border leaf switch nodes only. With N9K-93180YC-EX, packets are flooded on all the nodes where a bridge domain is deployed.</p> <p><b>Optimized:</b> Only 50 bridge domains per leaf are supported. This limitation is not applicable for N9K-93180YC-EX.</p>
L2 Multicast, Broadcast, Unicast	<p><b>Multi-Destination Flooding</b>, which can be one of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Flood in BD:</b> Flood in bridge domain</li> <li>• <b>Flood in Encapsulation:</b> Flood in encapsulation</li> <li>• <b>Drop:</b> Drop the packets</li> </ul>



**Note** Beginning with Cisco APIC release 3.1(1), on the Cisco Nexus 9000 series switches (with names ending with EX and FX and onwards), the following protocols can be flooded in encapsulation or flooded in a bridge domain: OSPF/OSPFv3, BGP, EIGRP, LACP, ISIS, IGMP, PIM, ST-BPDU, ARP/GARP, RARP, and ND.

Bridge domains can span multiple switches. A bridge domain can contain multiple subnets, but a subnet is contained within a single bridge domain. If the bridge domain (fvBD) `limitIPLearnToSubnets` property is set to `yes`, endpoint learning will occur in the bridge domain only if the IP address is within any of the configured subnets for the bridge domain or within an EPG subnet when the EPG is a shared service provider. Subnets can span multiple EPGs; one or more EPGs can be associated with one bridge domain or subnet. In hardware proxy mode, ARP traffic is forwarded to an endpoint in a different bridge domain when that endpoint has been learned as part of the Layer 3 lookup operation.

## Bridge Domain Options

A bridge domain can be set to operate in flood mode for unknown unicast frames or in an optimized mode that eliminates flooding for these frames. When operating in flood mode, Layer 2 unknown unicast traffic is flooded over the multicast tree of the bridge domain (GIPO). For the bridge domain to operate in optimized mode you should set it to hardware-proxy. In this case, Layer 2 unknown unicast frames are sent to the spine-proxy anycast VTEP address.



---

**Caution** Changing from unknown unicast flooding mode to hw-proxy mode is disruptive to the traffic in the bridge domain.

---

If IP routing is enabled in the bridge domain, the mapping database learns the IP address of the endpoints in addition to the MAC address.

The **Layer 3 Configurations** tab of the bridge domain panel allows the administrator to configure the following parameters:

- **Unicast Routing:** If this setting is enabled and a subnet address is configured, the fabric provides the default gateway function and routes the traffic. Enabling unicast routing also instructs the mapping database to learn the endpoint IP-to-VTEP mapping for this bridge domain. The IP learning is not dependent upon having a subnet configured under the bridge domain.
- **Subnet Address:** This option configures the SVI IP addresses (default gateway) for the bridge domain.
- **Limit IP Learning to Subnet:** This option is similar to a unicast reverse-forwarding-path check. If this option is selected, the fabric will not learn IP addresses from a subnet other than the one configured on the bridge domain.



---

**Caution** Enabling **Limit IP Learning to Subnet** is disruptive to the traffic in the bridge domain.

---

### Scaled L2 Only Mode - Legacy Mode

In Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI), the same VLAN ID can be reused for any purpose as long as the VLAN is deployed on different leaf nodes. This allows the Cisco ACI fabric to overcome the theoretical maximum number of VLANs 4094 as a fabric. However, to accomplish this, and also to hide the complexity of underlying VxLAN implementation, each individual leaf node can contain smaller number of VLANs. This may pose a problem when the density of VLANs per leaf node is required. In such a scenario, you can enable `Scaled L2 Only mode`, formerly known as legacy mode on the bridge domain. A bridge domain in scaled L2 only mode allows large number of VLANs per leaf node. However, such a bridge domain has some limitations.

For the number of VLANs or bridge domains supported per leaf node with or without scaled L2 only mode, see [Verified Scalability Guide](#) for your specific release.

### Limitations for Scaled L2 Only Mode

The following are limitations for legacy mode or scaled L2 only mode.

- The bridge domain can contain only one EPG and one VLAN.
- Unicast routing is not supported.

- Contracts are not supported.
- Dynamic VLAN allocation for VMM integration is not supported.
- Service graph is not supported.
- A QoS policy is not supported.
- The bridge domain essentially behaves as a VLAN in standalone Cisco NX-OS.

### Scaled L2 Only Mode Configuration

The following are considerations to configure a bridge domain in scaled L2 only mode.

- VLAN ID is configured on the bridge domain.
- VLAN IDs configured under the EPG are overridden.
- Enabling or disabling a scaled L2 only mode on an existing bridge domain will impact service.

Cisco Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (APIC) will automatically undeploy and redeploy the bridge domain when the VLAN ID is different from what was used prior to the change.

When the same VLAN ID is used before and after the mode change, Cisco APIC will not automatically undeploy and redeploy the bridge domain. You must manually undeploy and redeploy the bridge domain, which can be performed by deleting and recreating the static port configuration under the EPG.

- When changing the VLAN ID for scaled L2 only mode, you must first disable the mode, then enable scaled L2 only mode with the new VLAN ID.

### Disabling IP Learning per Bridge Domain

You can disable IP dataplane learning for a bridge domain. The MAC learning still occurs in the hardware, but the IP learning only occurs from the ARP/GARP/ND processes. This functionality was introduced in the Cisco APIC 3.1 releases primarily for service graph policy-based redirect (PBR) deployments, and it has been superseded by the ability to disable IP dataplane learning per-VRF instance (Cisco APIC release 4.0). We do not recommend using this option and it is not supported except when used with PBR.

See the following guidelines and limitations for disabling IP learning per bridge domain:

- Layer 3 multicast is not supported because the source IP address is not learned to populate the S,G information in the remote leaf switches.
- As the DL bit is set in the iVXLAN header, the MAC address is also not learned from the data path in the remote leaf switches. It results in flooding of the unknown unicast traffic from the remote leaf switch to all leaf switches in the fabric where this bridge domain is deployed. We recommend that you configure the bridge domain in proxy mode to overcome this situation if endpoint dataplane learning is disabled.
- ARP should be in flood mode and GARP based detection should be enabled.
- When IP learning is disabled, Layer 3 endpoints are not flushed in the corresponding VRF instance. It may lead to the endpoints pointing to the same leaf switch forever. To resolve this issue, flush all the remote IP endpoints in this VRF on all leaf switches.

The configuration change of disabling dataplane learning on the bridge domain does not flush previously locally learned endpoints. This limits the disruption to existing traffic flows. MAC learned endpoints age as

usual if the Cisco ACI leaf switch sees no traffic with the given source MAC for longer than the endpoint retention policy.



**Note** Disabling IP dataplane learning means that the endpoint IP information is not updated as a result of traffic forwarding, but Cisco ACI can refresh the endpoint IP information with ARP/ND. This means that the aging of the local endpoints (whether they were learned before the configuration change, or they are learned after the configuration change) differs slightly from the normal aging and it depends also from `System > System Settings > Endpoint Controls > IP Aging`.

If `IP Aging` is disabled, traffic from a source MAC that matches an already learned endpoint MAC, refreshes the MAC addresses information in the endpoint table, and as a result also refreshes the IP information (this is the same as IP dataplane learning enabled).

If `IP Aging` is enabled, Cisco ACI ages out endpoint IP addresses individually (this is no different from what happens with IP dataplane learning enabled), but differently from configurations with IP dataplane learning enabled, traffic from a known source MAC and IP that matches an already learned endpoint, refreshes the MAC address information in the endpoint table, but not the IP information.

## Attachable Entity Profile

The ACI fabric provides multiple attachment points that connect through leaf ports to various external entities such as bare metal servers, virtual machine hypervisors, Layer 2 switches (for example, the Cisco UCS fabric interconnect), or Layer 3 routers (for example Cisco Nexus 7000 Series switches). These attachment points can be physical ports, FEX ports, port channels, or a virtual port channel (vPC) on leaf switches.



**Note** When creating a VPC domain between two leaf switches, both switches must be in the same switch generation, one of the following:

- Generation 1 - Cisco Nexus N9K switches without “EX” or “FX” on the end of the switch name; for example, N9K-9312TX
- Generation 2 – Cisco Nexus N9K switches with “EX” or “FX” on the end of the switch model name; for example, N9K-93108TC-EX

Switches such as these two are not compatible VPC peers. Instead, use switches of the same generation.

An Attachable Entity Profile (AEP) represents a group of external entities with similar infrastructure policy requirements. The infrastructure policies consist of physical interface policies that configure various protocol options, such as Cisco Discovery Protocol (CDP), Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP), or Link Aggregation Control Protocol (LACP).

An AEP is required to deploy VLAN pools on leaf switches. Encapsulation blocks (and associated VLANs) are reusable across leaf switches. An AEP implicitly provides the scope of the VLAN pool to the physical infrastructure.

The following AEP requirements and dependencies must be accounted for in various configuration scenarios, including network connectivity, VMM domains, and multipod configuration:

- The AEP defines the range of allowed VLANs but it does not provision them. No traffic flows unless an EPG is deployed on the port. Without defining a VLAN pool in an AEP, a VLAN is not enabled on the leaf port even if an EPG is provisioned.
- A particular VLAN is provisioned or enabled on the leaf port that is based on EPG events either statically binding on a leaf port or based on VM events from external controllers such as VMware vCenter or Microsoft Azure Service Center Virtual Machine Manager (SCVMM).
- Attached entity profiles can be associated directly with application EPGs, which deploy the associated application EPGs to all those ports associated with the attached entity profile. The AEP has a configurable generic function (infraGeneric), which contains a relation to an EPG (infraRsFuncToEpg) that is deployed on all interfaces that are part of the selectors that are associated with the attachable entity profile.

A virtual machine manager (VMM) domain automatically derives physical interface policies from the interface policy groups of an AEP.

An override policy at the AEP can be used to specify a different physical interface policy for a VMM domain. This policy is useful in scenarios where a VM controller is connected to the leaf switch through an intermediate Layer 2 node, and a different policy is desired at the leaf switch and VM controller physical ports. For example, you can configure LACP between a leaf switch and a Layer 2 node. At the same time, you can disable LACP between the VM controller and the Layer 2 switch by disabling LACP under the AEP override policy.


## VLANs and EPGs

### Access Policies Automate Assigning VLANs to EPGs

While tenant network policies are configured separately from fabric access policies, tenant policies are not activated unless their underlying access policies are in place. Fabric access external-facing interfaces connect to external devices such as virtual machine controllers and hypervisors, hosts, routers, or Fabric Extenders (FEXs). Access policies enable an administrator to configure port channels and virtual port channels, protocols such as LLDP, CDP, or LACP, and features such as monitoring or diagnostics.



Figure 8: Association of Endpoint Groups with Access Policies



In the policy model, EPGs are tightly coupled with VLANs. For traffic to flow, an EPG must be deployed on a leaf port with a VLAN in a physical, VMM, L2out, L3out, or Fibre Channel domain. For more information, see [Networking Domains](#).

In the policy model, the domain profile associated to the EPG contains the VLAN instance profile. The domain profile contains both the VLAN instance profile (VLAN pool) and the attachable Access Entity Profile (AEP), which are associated directly with application EPGs. The AEP deploys the associated application EPGs to all the ports to which it is attached, and automates the task of assigning VLANs. While a large data center could easily have thousands of active virtual machines provisioned on hundreds of VLANs, the ACI fabric can automatically assign VLAN IDs from VLAN pools. This saves a tremendous amount of time, compared with trunking down VLANs in a traditional data center.

### VLAN Guidelines

Use the following guidelines to configure the VLANs where EPG traffic will flow.

- Multiple domains can share a VLAN pool, but a single domain can only use one VLAN pool.
- To deploy multiple EPGs with same VLAN encapsulation on a single leaf switch, see [Per Port VLAN, on page 20](#).

## Native 802.1p and Tagged EPGs on Interfaces

When assigning Access (802.1p or Untagged) modes, follow these guidelines to ensure that devices that require untagged or 802.1p packets operate as expected when they are connected to access ports of an ACI leaf switch.

These guidelines apply to EPGs deployed on ports on a single leaf switch. When EPGs are deployed on different switches, these restrictions do not apply.

- In the APIC GUI, when you assign VLANs on ports to EPGs, you can assign one of the following VLAN modes: **Trunk**, **Access (802.1p)**, or **Access (Untagged)**.
- Only one 802.1p VLAN or one untagged VLAN is allowed on a port. It can be one or the other but not both.
- For generation 1 switches, if an EPG deployed on any port on a leaf switch is configured with Access (Untagged) mode, all the ports used by the EPG should be untagged on the same leaf switch and its VPC peer (if there is one). You can have a combination of untagged and tagged ports on generation 2 switches (with -EX, -FX, or -FX2 suffixes).
- You can deploy different EPGs using (tagged) VLAN numbers in **Trunk** mode on the same port, with an EPG deployed on the port in **Access (Untagged)** mode.

There are some differences in traffic handling, depending on the switch, when a leaf switch port is associated with a single EPG that is configured as **Access (802.1p)** or **Access (Untagged)** modes.

### Generation 1 Switches

- If the port is configured in **Access (802.1p)** mode:
  - On egress, if the access VLAN is the only VLAN deployed on the port, then traffic will be untagged.
  - On egress, if the port has other (tagged) VLANs deployed along with an untagged EPG, then traffic from that EPG is zero tagged.
  - On egress, for all FEX ports, traffic is untagged, irrespective of one or more VLAN tags configured on the port.
  - The port accepts ingress traffic that is untagged, tagged, or in 802.1p mode.
- If a port is configured in **Access (Untagged)** mode:
  - On egress, the traffic from the EPG is untagged.
  - The port accepts ingress traffic that is untagged, tagged, or 802.1p.

### Generation 2 Switches

Generation 2 switches, or later, do not distinguish between the **Access (Untagged)** and **Access (802.1p)** modes. When EPGs are deployed on Generation 2 ports configured with either Untagged or 802.1p mode:

- On egress, traffic is always untagged on a node where this is deployed.
- The port accepts ingress traffic that is untagged, tagged, or in 802.1p mode.

### VLAN Mode Combinations on Ports: First Generation and Second Generation Hardware Running Cisco APIC Releases Prior to 3.2(3i)

#### VLAN Mode Combinations Supported for One EPG

EPG 1 on Port 1, with VLAN mode:	EPG 1 on different ports, the following VLAN modes are allowed:
Trunk	Trunk or 802.1p

<b>EPG 1 on Port 1, with VLAN mode:</b>	<b>EPG 1 on different ports, the following VLAN modes are allowed:</b>
Untagged	Untagged
802.1p	Trunk or 802.1p

#### VLAN Mode Combinations Supported for Multiple EPGs

<b>EPG 1 on port 1 with VLAN mode:</b>	<b>EPG 1 on port 2, the following modes are allowed:</b>	<b>EPG 2 on port 1, the following modes are allowed:</b>
Untagged	Untagged	Trunk
802.1p	Trunk or 802.1p	Trunk
Trunk	802.1p or Trunk	Trunk or 802.1p or untagged

#### VLAN Mode Combinations on Ports: Second Generation Hardware Running Cisco APIC Release 3.2(3i) or Later

##### VLAN Mode Combinations Supported for One EPG

<b>EPG 1 on Port 1, with VLAN mode:</b>	<b>EPG 1 on different ports, the following VLAN modes are allowed:</b>
Trunk	Trunk (tagged) or untagged or 802.1p
Untagged	Untagged or 802.1p or trunk (tagged)
802.1p	Trunk (tagged) or 802.1p or untagged

#### VLAN Mode Combinations Supported for Multiple EPGs

<b>EPG 1 on port 1 with VLAN mode:</b>	<b>EPG 1 on port 2, the following modes are allowed:</b>	<b>EPG 2 on port 1, the following modes are allowed:</b>
Untagged	Untagged or 802.1p or trunk (tagged)	Trunk (tagged)
802.1p	Trunk (tagged) or 802.1p or untagged	Trunk (tagged)
Trunk	802.1p or trunk (tagged) or untagged	Trunk (tagged) or 802.1p or untagged




**Note** Certain older network interface cards (NICs) that send traffic on the native VLAN untagged, drop return traffic that is tagged as VLAN 0. This is normally only a problem on interfaces configured as trunk ports. However, if an Attachable Entity Profile (AEP) for an access port is configured to carry the infra VLAN, then it is treated as a trunk port, even though it is configured as an access port. In these circumstances, packets sent on the native VLAN from the switch with Network Flow Engine (NFE) cards will be tagged as VLAN 0, and older switch NICs may drop them. Options to address this issue include:

- Removing the infra VLAN from the AEP.
- Configuring "port local scope" on the port. This enables per-port VLAN definition and allows the switch equipped with NFE to send packets on the native VLAN, untagged.

## Per Port VLAN

In ACI versions prior to the v1.1 release, a given VLAN encapsulation maps to only a single EPG on a leaf switch. If there is a second EPG which has the same VLAN encapsulation on the same leaf switch, the ACI raises a fault.

Starting with the v1.1 release, you can deploy multiple EPGs with the same VLAN encapsulation on a given leaf switch (or FEX), in the Per Port VLAN configuration, similar to the following diagram:



To enable deploying multiple EPGs using the same encapsulation number, on a single leaf switch, use the following guidelines:

- EPGs must be associated with different bridge domains.
- EPGs must be deployed on different ports.
- Both the port and EPG must be associated with the same domain that is associated with a VLAN pool that contains the VLAN number.

- Ports must be configured with `portLocal` VLAN scope.

For example, with Per Port VLAN for the EPGs deployed on ports 3 and 9 in the diagram above, both using VLAN-5, port 3 and EPG1 are associated with Dom1 (pool 1) and port 9 and EPG2 are associated with Dom2 (pool 2).

Traffic coming from port 3 is associated with EPG1, and traffic coming from port 9 is associated with EPG2.

This does not apply to ports configured for Layer 3 external outside connectivity.

When an EPG has more than one physical domain with overlapping VLAN pools, avoid adding more than one domain to the AEP that is used to deploy the EPG on the ports. This avoids the risk of traffic forwarding issues.

When an EPG has only one physical domain with overlapping VLAN pool, you can associate multiple domains with single AEP.

Only ports that have the `vlanScope` set to `portLocal` allow allocation of separate (Port, VLAN) translation entries in both ingress and egress directions. For a given port with the `vlanScope` set to `portGlobal` (the default), each VLAN used by an EPG must be unique on a given leaf switch.



---

**Note** Per Port VLAN is not supported on interfaces configured with Multiple Spanning Tree (MST), which requires VLAN IDs to be unique on a single leaf switch, and the VLAN scope to be global.

---

### Reusing VLAN Numbers Previously Used for EPGs on the Same Leaf Switch


If you have previously configured VLANs for EPGs that are deployed on a leaf switch port, and you want to reuse the same VLAN numbers for different EPGs on different ports on the same leaf switch, use a process, such as the following example, to set them up without disruption:

In this example, EPGs were previously deployed on a port associated with a domain including a VLAN pool with a range of 9-100. You want to configure EPGs using VLAN encapsulations from 9-20.

1. Configure a new VLAN pool on a different port (with a range of, for example, 9-20).
2. Configure a new physical domain that includes leaf ports that are connected to firewalls.
3. Associate the physical domain to the VLAN pool you configured in step 1.
4. Configure the VLAN Scope as `portLocal` for the leaf port.
5. Associate the new EPGs (used by the firewall in this example) to the physical domain you created in step 2.
6. Deploy the EPGs on the leaf ports.

## VLAN Guidelines for EPGs Deployed on vPCs

Figure 9: VLANs for Two Legs of a vPC



When an EPG is deployed on a vPC, it must be associated with the same domain (with the same VLAN pool) that is assigned to the leaf switch ports on the two legs of the vPC.

In this diagram, EPG A is deployed on a vPC that is deployed on ports on Leaf switch 1 and Leaf switch 2. The two leaf switch ports and the EPG are all associated with the same domain, containing the same VLAN pool.

## Configuring Flood in Encapsulation for All Protocols and Proxy ARP Across Encapsulations

Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI) uses the bridge domain as the Layer 2 broadcast boundary. Each bridge domain can include multiple endpoint groups (EPGs), and each EPG can be mapped to multiple virtual or physical domains. Each EPG can also use different VLAN encapsulation pools in each domain. Each EPG can also use different VLAN or VXLAN encapsulation pools in each domain.

Ordinarily, when you put multiple EPGs within bridge domains, broadcast flooding sends traffic to all the EPGs in the bridge domain. Because EPGs are used to group endpoints and manage traffic to fulfill specific functions, sending the same traffic to all the EPGs in the bridge domain is not always practical.

The flood in encapsulation feature helps to consolidate bridge domains in your network. The feature does so by enabling you to control broadcast flooding to endpoints within the bridge domain based on the encapsulation of the virtual or physical domain that the EPGs are associated with.


Flood in encapsulation requires the bridge domain to be configured with a subnet and with IP routing because in order to allow communication between endpoints of different EPGs in the same bridge domain Cisco ACI performs proxy ARP.

### Example of Flood in Encapsulation Use Case with VLAN Encapsulation

Flood in encapsulation is often used when the external device is using Virtual Connect Tunnel mode where one MAC address is maintained per vNet because of VLAN-agnostic MAC learning.

Using multiple VLANs in tunnel mode can introduce a few challenges. In a typical deployment using Cisco ACI with a single tunnel, as illustrated in the following figure, there are multiple EPGs under one bridge domain. In this case, certain traffic is flooded within the bridge domain (and thus in all the EPGs), with the risk of MAC learning ambiguities that can cause forwarding errors.

Figure 10: Challenges of Cisco ACI with VLAN Tunnel Mode



In this topology, the blade switch (virtual connect in this example) has a single tunnel network defined that uses one uplink to connect with the Cisco ACI leaf node. Two user VLANs, VLAN 10 and VLAN 11 are carried over this link. The bridge domain is set in flooding mode as the servers' gateways are outside the Cisco ACI cloud. ARP negotiations occur in the following process:

- The server sends one ARP broadcast request over the VLAN 10 network.
- The ARP packet travels through the tunnel network to the external server, which records the source MAC address, learned from its downlink.
- The server then forwards the packet out its uplink to the Cisco ACI leaf switch.
- The Cisco ACI fabric sees the ARP broadcast packet entering on access port VLAN 10 and maps it to EPG1.
- Because the bridge domain is set to flood ARP packets, the packet is flooded within the bridge domain and thus to the ports under both EPGs as they are in the same bridge domain.
- The same ARP broadcast packet comes back over the same uplink.
- The blade switch sees the original source MAC address from this uplink.

Result: The blade switch has the same MAC address learned from both the downlink port and uplink port within its single MAC forwarding table, causing traffic disruptions.

### Recommended Solution

The flood in encapsulation option is used to limit flooding traffic inside the bridge domain to a single encapsulation. When EPG1/VLAN X and EPG2/VLAN Y share the same bridge domain and flood in encapsulation is enabled, the encapsulation flooding traffic does not reach the other EPG/VLAN.

Beginning with Cisco Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (APIC) release 3.1(1), on the Cisco Nexus 9000 series switches (with names ending with EX and FX and onwards), all protocols are flooded in encapsulation. Also, when flood in encapsulation is enabled under the bridge domain for any inter-VLAN traffic, Proxy ARP ensures that the MAC flap issue does not occur. It also limits all flooding (ARP, GARP, and BUM) to the encapsulation. The restriction applies for all EPGs under the bridge domain where it is enabled.



---

**Note** Before Cisco APIC release 3.1(1), these features are not supported (proxy ARP and all protocols being included when flooding within encapsulation). In an earlier Cisco APIC release or earlier generation switches (without EX or FX on their names), if you enable flood in encapsulation it does not function, no informational fault is generated, but Cisco APIC decreases the health score by 1.

---



---


**Note** Beginning with Cisco APIC release 3.2(5), you can configure flood in encapsulation for EPGs associated with VXLAN encapsulation. Previously, only VLANs were supported for flood in encapsulation for virtual domains. You configure flood in encapsulation when you create or modify a bridge domain or an EPG.

---

The recommended solution is to support multiple EPGs under one bridge domain by adding an external switch. This design with multiple EPGs under one bridge domain with an external switch is illustrated in the following figure.



Figure 11: Design with Multiple EPGs Under one Bridge Domain with an External Switch



Within the same bridge domain, some EPGs can be service nodes and other EPGs can have flood in encapsulation configured. A load balancer resides on a different EPG. The load balancer receives packets from the EPGs and sends them to the other EPGs (There is no Proxy ARP and flood within encapsulation does not take place).

### Multi-Destination Protocol Traffic

The EPG/bridge domain level broadcast segmentation is supported for the following network control protocols:

- OSPF
- EIGRP
- LACP
- IS-IS
- BGP

- IGMP
- PIM
- STP-BPDU (flooded within EPG)
- ARP/GARP (controlled by ARP Proxy)
- ND

### Flood in Encapsulation Limitations

The following limitations apply when using flood in encapsulation for all protocols:

- Flood in encapsulation does not work in ARP unicast mode.
- Neighbor Solicitation (Proxy NS/ND) is not supported for this release.
- Because proxy Address Resolution Protocol (ARP) is enabled implicitly, ARP traffic can go to the CPU for communication between different encapsulations.  
To ensure even distribution to different ports to process ARP traffic, enable per-port Control Plane Policing (CoPP) for ARP with flood in encapsulation.
- Flood in encapsulation is supported only in bridge domain in flood mode and ARP in flood mode. Bridge domain spine proxy mode is not supported.
- IPv4 Layer 3 multicast is not supported.
- IPv6 NS/ND proxy is not supported when flood in encapsulation is enabled. As a result, the connection between two endpoints that are under same IPv6 subnet but resident in EPGs with different encapsulation may not work.
- Virtual machine migration to a different VLAN has momentary issues (60 seconds). Virtual machine migration to a different VLAN or VXLAN has momentary issues (60 seconds).
- Setting up communication between virtual machines through a firewall, as a gateway, is not recommended because if the virtual machine IP address changes to the gateway IP address instead of the firewall IP address, then the firewall can be bypassed.
- Prior releases are not supported (even interoperating between prior and current releases).
- A mixed-mode topology with older-generation Application Leaf Engine (ALE) and Application Spine Engine (ASE) is not recommended and is not supported with flood in encapsulation. Enabling them together can prevent QoS priorities from being enforced.
- Flood in encapsulation is not supported for EPG and bridge domains that are extended across Cisco ACI fabrics that are part of the same Multi-Site domain. However, flood in encapsulation is still working and fully supported, and works for EPGs or bridge domains that are locally defined in Cisco ACI fabrics, independently from the fact those fabrics may be configured for Multi-Site. The same considerations apply for EPGs or bridge domains that are stretched between Cisco ACI fabric and remote leaf switches that are associated to that fabric.
- Flood in encapsulation is not supported on EPGs where microsegmentation is configured.
- Flood in encapsulation is not supported for Common Pervasive Gateway. See the chapter "Common Pervasive Gateway" in the [Cisco APIC Layer 3 Networking Configuration Guide](#).

- If you configure the flood in encapsulation on all EPGs of a bridge domain, ensure that you configure the flood in encapsulation on the bridge domain as well.
- IGMP snooping is not supported with flood in encapsulation.
- There is a condition that causes Cisco ACI to flood in the bridge domain (instead of the encapsulation) packets that are received on an EPG that is configured for flood in encapsulation. This happens regardless of whether the administrator configured flood in encapsulation directly on the EPG or on the bridge domain. The condition for this forwarding behavior is if the ingress leaf node has a remote endpoint for the destination MAC address while the egress leaf node does not have a corresponding local endpoint. This can happen due to reasons such as an interface flapping, an endpoint flush due to STP TCN, learning being disabled on the bridge domain due to an excessive amount of moves, and so on.


In the 4.2(6o) and later 4.2(6) releases, 4.2(7m) and later 4.2(7) releases, and 5.2(1g) and later releases, this behavior was enhanced. If the administrator enables flood in encapsulation on the bridge domain (instead of the EPG), Cisco ACI does not send out such packets on any encapsulations from downlinks facing external devices on the non-ingress (egress and transit) leaf nodes. This new behavior prevents the packets from leaking to unexpected encapsulations. When flood in encapsulation is enabled only at an EPG level, the non-ingress leaf node may still flood packets in the bridge domain instead of the encapsulation. For more information, see the enhancement bug CSCvx83364.

- A Layer 3 gateway must be in the Cisco ACI fabric.

## Contracts

In addition to EPGs, contracts (`vzBrCP`) are key objects in the policy model. EPGs can only communicate with other EPGs according to contract rules. The following figure shows the location of contracts in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

**Figure 12: Contracts**



An administrator uses a contract to select the type(s) of traffic that can pass between EPGs, including the protocols and ports allowed. If there is no contract, inter-EPG communication is disabled by default. There is no contract required for intra-EPG communication; intra-EPG communication is always implicitly allowed.

You can also configure contract preferred groups that enable greater control of communication between EPGs in a VRF. If most of the EPGs in the VRF should have open communication, but a few should only have limited communication with the other EPGs, you can configure a combination of a contract preferred group and contracts with filters to control communication precisely.

Contracts govern the following types of endpoint group communications:

- Between ACI fabric application EPGs ( $f_{vAEPg}$ ), both intra-tenant and inter-tenant



**Note** In the case of a shared service mode, a contract is required for inter-tenant communication. A contract is used to specify static routes across VRFs, even though the tenant VRF does not enforce a policy.

- Between ACI fabric application EPGs and Layer 2 external outside network instance EPGs ( $l2_{extInstP}$ )
- Between ACI fabric application EPGs and Layer 3 external outside network instance EPGs ( $l3_{extInstP}$ )
- Between ACI fabric out-of-band ( $mgmtOoB$ ) or in-band ( $mgmtInB$ ) management EPGs

Contracts govern the communication between EPGs that are labeled providers, consumers, or both. EPG providers expose contracts with which a would-be consumer EPG must comply. The relationship between an EPG and a contract can be either a provider or consumer. When an EPG provides a contract, communication with that EPG can be initiated from other EPGs as long as the communication complies with the provided contract. When an EPG consumes a contract, the endpoints in the consuming EPG may initiate communication with any endpoint in an EPG that is providing that contract.




**Note** An EPG can both provide and consume the same contract. An EPG can also provide and consume multiple contracts simultaneously.

## Labels, Filters, Aliases, and Subjects Govern EPG Communications

Label, subject, alias and filter managed-objects enable mixing and matching among EPGs and contracts so as to satisfy various applications or service delivery requirements. The following figure shows the location of application subjects and filters in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

**Figure 13: Labels, Subjects, and Filters**



Contracts can contain multiple communication rules and multiple EPGs can both consume and provide multiple contracts. Labels control which rules apply when communicating between a specific pair of EPGs. A policy

designer can compactly represent complex communication policies and re-use these policies across multiple instances of an application. For example, the sample policy in the *Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure Fundamentals* "Contract Scope Examples" chapter shows how the same contract uses labels, subjects, and filters to differentiate how communications occur among different EPGs that require HTTP or HTTPS.

Labels, subjects, aliases and filters define EPG communications according to the following options:

- Labels are managed objects with only one property: a name. Labels enable classifying which objects can and cannot communicate with one another. Label matching is done first. If the labels do not match, no other contract or filter information is processed. The label match attribute can be one of these values: at least one (the default), all, none, or exactly one. The *Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure Fundamentals* "Label Matching" chapter shows simple examples of all the label match types and their results.



---

**Note** Labels can be applied to a variety of provider and consumer managed objects, including EPGs, contracts, bridge domains, DHCP relay policies, and DNS policies. Labels do not apply across object types; a label on an application EPG has no relevance to a label on a bridge domain.

---

Labels determine which EPG consumers and EPG providers can communicate with one another. Label matching determines which subjects of a contract are used with a given EPG provider or EPG consumer of that contract.

The two types of labels are as follows:

- Subject labels that are applied to EPGs. Subject label matching enables EPGs to choose a subset of the subjects in a contract.
  - Provider/consumer labels that are applied to EPGs. Provider/consumer label matching enables consumer EPGs to choose their provider EPGs and vice versa.
- Aliases are alternative names you can apply to objects, which can be changed, unlike the name.
  - Filters are Layer 2 to Layer 4 fields, TCP/IP header fields such as Layer 3 protocol type, Layer 4 ports, and so forth. According to its related contract, an EPG provider dictates the protocols and ports in both the in and out directions. Contract subjects contain associations to the filters (and their directions) that are applied between EPGs that produce and consume the contract.



---

**Note** When a contract filter match type is `all`, best practice is to use the VRF unenforced mode. Under certain circumstances, failure to follow these guidelines results in the contract not allowing traffic among EPGs in the VRF.

---

- Subjects are contained in contracts. One or more subjects within a contract use filters to specify the type of traffic that can be communicated and how it occurs. For example, for HTTPS messages, the subject specifies the direction and the filters that specify the IP address type (for example, IPv4), the HTTP protocol, and the ports allowed. Subjects determine if filters are unidirectional or bidirectional. A unidirectional filter is used in one direction. Unidirectional filters define in or out communications but not the same for both. Bidirectional filters are the same for both; they define both in and out communications.

## Configuring Contract or Subject Exceptions for Contracts

In Cisco APIC Release 3.2(1), contracts between EPGs are enhanced to enable denying a subset of contract providers or consumers from participating in the contract. Inter-EPG contracts and Intra-EPG contracts are supported with this feature.

You can enable a provider EPG to communicate with all consumer EPGs except those that match criteria configured in a subject or contract exception. For example, if you want to enable an EPG to provide services to all EPGs for a tenant, except a subset, you can enable those EPGs to be excluded. To configure this, you create an exception in the contract or one of the subjects in the contract. The subset is then denied access to providing or consuming the contract.

Labels, counters, and permit and deny logs are supported with contracts and subject exceptions.

To apply an exception to all subjects in a contract, add the exception to the contract. To apply an exception only to a single subject in the contract, add the exception to the subject.

When adding filters to subjects, you can set the action of the filter (to permit or deny objects that match the filter criteria). Also for **Deny** filters, you can set the priority of the filter. **Permit** filters always have the default priority. Marking the subject-to-filter relation to deny automatically applies to each pair of EPGs where there is a match for the subject. Contracts and subjects can include multiple subject-to-filter relationships that can be independently set to permit or deny the objects that match the filters.

### Exception Types

Contract and subject exceptions can be based on the following types and include regular expressions, such as the \* wildcard:

Exception criteria exclude these objects as defined in the Consumer Regex and Provider Regex fields	Example	Description
<b>Tenant</b>	<pre>&lt;vzException consRegex= "common" field= "Tenant" name= "excep03" provRegex= "t1" /&gt;</pre>	This example, excludes EPGs using the <code>common</code> tenant from consuming contracts provided by the <code>t1</code> tenant.
<b>VRF</b>	<pre>&lt;vzException consRegex= "ctx1" field= "Ctx" name= "excep05" provRegex= "ctx1" /&gt;</pre>	This example excludes members of <code>ctx1</code> from consuming the services provided by the same VRF.
<b>EPG</b>	<pre>&lt;vzException consRegex= "EPgPa.*" field= "EPg" name= "excep03" provRegex= "EPg03" /&gt;</pre>	The example assumes that multiple EPGs exist, with names starting with <code>EPGPa</code> , and they should all be denied as consumers for the contract provided by <code>EPg03</code>
<b>Dn</b>	<pre>&lt;vzException consRegex= "uni/tn-t36/ap-customer/epg-epg193" field= "Dn" name="excep04" provRegex= "uni/tn-t36/ap-customer/epg-epg200" /&gt;</pre>	This example excludes <code>epg193</code> from consuming the contract provided by <code>epg200</code> .

Exception criteria exclude these objects as defined in the Consumer Regex and Provider Regex fields	Example	Description
Tag	<pre>&lt;vzException consRegex= "red" field= "Tag" name= "excep01" provRegex= "green" /&gt;</pre>	The example excludes objects marked with the <code>red</code> tag from consuming and those marked with the <code>green</code> tag from participating in the contract.

## Taboos

While the normal processes for ensuring security still apply, the ACI policy model aids in assuring the integrity of whatever security practices are employed. In the ACI policy model approach, all communications must conform to these conditions:

- Communication is allowed only based on contracts, which are managed objects in the model. If there is no contract, inter-EPG communication is disabled by default.
- No direct access to the hardware; all interaction is managed through the policy model.

Taboo contracts can be used to deny specific traffic that is otherwise allowed by contracts. The traffic to be dropped matches a pattern (such as, any EPG, a specific EPG, or traffic matching a filter). Taboo rules are unidirectional, denying any matching traffic coming toward an EPG that provides the contract.

With Cisco APIC Release 3.2(x) and switches with names that end in EX or FX, you can alternatively use a subject Deny action or Contract or Subject Exception in a standard contract to block traffic with specified patterns.


## About Contract Inheritance

To streamline associating contracts to new EPGs, you can now enable an EPG to inherit all the (provided and consumed) contracts associated directly to another EPG in the same tenant. Contract inheritance can be configured for application, microsegmented, L2Out, and L3Out EPGs.

With release 3.x, you can also configure contract inheritance for Inter-EPG contracts, both provided and consumed. Inter-EPG contracts are supported on Cisco Nexus 9000 Series switches with EX or FX at the end of their model name or later models.

You can enable an EPG to inherit all the contracts associated directly to another EPG, using the APIC GUI, NX-OS style CLI, and the REST API.

Figure 14: Contract Inheritance



In the diagram above, EPG A is configured to inherit Provided-Contract 1 and 2 and Consumed-Contract 3 from EPG B (contract master for EPG A).

Use the following guidelines when configuring contract inheritance:

- Contract inheritance can be configured for application, microsegmented (uSeg), external L2Out EPGs, and external L3Out EPGs. The relationships must be between EPGs of the same type.
- Both provided and consumed contracts are inherited from the contract master when the relationship is established.
- Contract masters and the EPGs inheriting contracts must be within the same tenant.
- Changes to the masters' contracts are propagated to all the inheritors. If a new contract is added to the master, it is also added to the inheritors.
- An EPG can inherit contracts from multiple contract masters.
- Contract inheritance is only supported to a single level (cannot be chained) and a contract master cannot inherit contracts.
- Labels with contract inheritance is supported. When EPG A inherits a contract from EPG B, if different subject labels are configured under EPG A and EPG B, APIC uses the label configured under EPG B for the contract inherited from EPG B. APIC uses the label configured under EPG A for the contract where EPG A is directly involved.
- Whether an EPG is directly associated to a contract or inherits a contract, it consumes entries in TCAM. So contract scale guidelines still apply. For more information, see the *Verified Scalability Guide* for your release.
- vzAny security contracts and taboo contracts are not supported.
- Beginning in Cisco APIC release 4.2(6), contract inheritance with a service graph is supported if the contract and EPGs are in the same tenant.

For information about configuring Contract Inheritance and viewing inherited and standalone contracts, see *Cisco APIC Basic Configuration Guide*.

## About Contract Preferred Groups

There are two types of policy enforcements available for EPGs in a VRF with a contract preferred group configured:




- Included EPGs: EPGs can freely communicate with each other without contracts, if they have membership in a contract preferred group. This is based on the source-any-destination-any-permit default rule.
- Excluded EPGs: EPGs that are not members of preferred groups require contracts to communicate with each other. Otherwise, the default source-any-destination-any-deny rule applies.

The contract preferred group feature enables greater control of communication between EPGs in a VRF. If most of the EPGs in the VRF should have open communication, but a few should only have limited communication with the other EPGs, you can configure a combination of a contract preferred group and contracts with filters to control inter-EPG communication precisely.

EPGs that are excluded from the preferred group can only communicate with other EPGs if there is a contract in place to override the source-any-destination-any-deny default rule.

Figure 15: Contract Preferred Group Overview



501188

### Service Graph Support

As of APIC release 4.0(1), EPGs created by service graphs can be included in contract preferred groups. A new policy (Service EPG Policy) is available for defining the preferred group membership type (include or exclude). Once configured, it can be applied through the device selection policy or through the application of a service graph template.

Also, shadow EPGs can now be configured to be included or excluded in preferred groups.

## Limitations

The following limitations apply to contract preferred groups:

- In topologies where an L3Out and application EPG are configured in a Contract Preferred Group, and the EPG is deployed only on a VPC, you may find that only one leaf switch in the VPC has the prefix entry for the L3Out. In this situation, the other leaf switch in the VPC does not have the entry, and therefore drops the traffic.

To workaroud this issue, you can do one of the following:

- Disable and reenab the contract group in the VRF
- Delete and recreate the prefix entries for the L3Out EPG
- Also, where the provider or consumer EPG in a service graph contract is included in a contract group, the shadow EPG can not be excluded from the contract group. The shadow EPG will be permitted in the contract group, but it does not trigger contract group policy deployment on the node where the shadow EPG is deployed. To download the contract group policy to the node, you deploy a dummy EPG within the contract group .
- Due to CSCvm63145, an EPG in a Contract Preferred Group can consume a shared service contract, but cannot be a provider for a shared service contract with an L3Out EPG as consumer.

## Optimize Contract Performance

Starting with Cisco APIC, Release 3.2, you can configure bidirectional contracts that support more efficient hardware TCAM storage of contract data. With optimization enabled, contract statistics for both directions are aggregated.

TCAM Optimization is supported on the second generation Cisco Nexus 9000 Series top of rack (TOR) switches, which are those with suffixes of EX, FX, and FX2, and later (for example, N9K-C93180LC-EX or N9K-C93180YC-FX).

To configure efficient TCAM contract data storage, you enable the following options:

- Mark the contracts to be applied in both directions between the provider and consumer.
- For filters with IP TCP or UDP protocols, enable the reverse port option.
- When configuring the contract subjects, select the **Enable Policy Compression** directive, which adds the `no_stats` option to the `action` attribute of the `actrl:Rule` managed object.

## Limitations

With the **Enable Policy Compression** (`no_stats`) option selected, per-rule statistics are lost. However, combined rule statistics for both directions are present in the hardware statistics.

After upgrading to Cisco APIC 3.2(1), to add the `no_stats` option to a pre-upgrade contract subject (with filters or filter entries), you must delete the contract subject and reconfigure it with the **Enable Policy Compression** directive. Otherwise, compression does not occur.

For each contract with a bi-directional subject filter, Cisco NX-OS creates 2 rules:

- A rule with an `sPcTag` and `dPcTag` that is marked `direction=bi-dir`, which is programmed in hardware
- A rule marked with `direction=uni-dir-ignore` which is not programmed

Rules with the following settings are not compressed:

- Rules with priority other than `fully_qual`
- Opposite rules (`bi-dir` and `uni-dir-ignore` marked) with non-identical properties, such as **action** including **directives**, **prio**, **qos** or **markDscp**
- Rule with `Implicit` or `implarp` filters
- Rules with the actions `Deny`, `Redir`, `Copy`, or `Deny-log`

The following MO query output shows the two rules for a contract, that is considered for compression:

```
apic1# moquery -c actrlRule
Total Objects shown: 2

# actrl.Rule
scopeId      : 2588677
sPcTag       : 16388
dPcTag       : 49156
fltId        : 67
action       : no_stats, permit
actrlCfgFailedBmp :
actrlCfgFailedTs : 00:00:00:00.000
actrlCfgState : 0
childAction  :
ctrctName    :
descr        :
direction    : bi-dir
dn           : sys/actrl/scope-2588677/rule-2588677-s-16388-d-49156-f-67
id           : 4112
lcOwn        : implicit
markDscp     : unspecified
modTs        : 2019-04-27T09:01:33.152-07:00
monPolDn     : uni/tn-common/monepg-default
name         :
nameAlias    :
operSt       : enabled
operStQual   :
prio         : fully_qual
qosGrp       : unspecified
rn           : rule-2588677-s-16388-d-49156-f-67
status       :
type         : tenant

# actrl.Rule
scopeId      : 2588677
sPcTag       : 49156
dPcTag       : 16388
fltId        : 64
action       : no_stats, permit
actrlCfgFailedBmp :
actrlCfgFailedTs : 00:00:00:00.000
actrlCfgState : 0
childAction  :
ctrctName    :
descr        :
direction    : uni-dir-ignore
dn           : sys/actrl/scope-2588677/rule-2588677-s-49156-d-16388-f-64
id           : 4126
lcOwn        : implicit
```

```

markDscp      : unspecified
modTs        : 2019-04-27T09:01:33.152-07:00
monPolDn     : uni/tn-common/monepg-default
name         :
nameAlias    :
operSt       : enabled
operStQual   :
prio         : fully_qual
qosGrp       : unspecified
rn          : rule-2588677-s-49156-d-16388-f-64
status       :
type         : tenant

```

Table 1: Compression Matrix

Reverse Filter Port Enabled	TCP or UDP Source Port	TCP or UCP Destination Port	Compressed
Yes	Port A	Port B	Yes
Yes	Unspecified	Port B	Yes
Yes	Port A	Unspecified	Yes
Yes	Unspecified	Unspecified	Yes
No	Port A	Port B	No
No	Unspecified	Port B	No
No	Port A	Unspecified	No
No	Unspecified	Unspecified	Yes

## What vzAny Is

The `vzAny` managed object provides a convenient way of associating all endpoint groups (EPGs) in a Virtual Routing and Forwarding (VRF) instance to one or more contracts (`vzBrCP`), instead of creating a separate contract relation for each EPG.

In the Cisco ACI fabric, EPGs can only communicate with other EPGs according to contract rules. A relationship between an EPG and a contract specifies whether the EPG provides the communications defined by the contract rules, consumes them, or both. By dynamically applying contract rules to all EPGs in a VRF, `vzAny` automates the process of configuring EPG contract relationships. Whenever a new EPG is added to a VRF, `vzAny` contract rules automatically apply. The `vzAny` one-to-all EPG relationship is the most efficient way of applying contract rules to all EPGs in a VRF.



**Note** In the APIC GUI under tenants, a VRF is also known as a private network (a network within a tenant) or a context.

In the case of shared services, you *must* define the provider EPG shared subnet under the EPG in order to properly derive the `pcTag` (classification) of the destination from the consumer (`vzAny`) side. If you are migrating from a BD-to-BD shared services configuration, where both the consumer and provider subnets

are defined under bridge domains, to vzAny acting as a shared service consumer, you must take an extra configuration step where you add the provider subnet to the EPG with the shared flags at minimum.



**Note** If you add the EPG subnet as a duplicate of the defined BD subnet, ensure that both definitions of the subnet always have the same flags defined. Failure to do so can result in unexpected fabric forwarding behavior.

For detailed information about configuring and using vzAny, see [Use vzAny to Automatically Apply Communication Rules to all EPGs in a VRF](#).

## About Copy Services

Unlike SPAN that duplicates all of the traffic, the Cisco Application Centric Infrastructure (ACI) copy services feature enables selectively copying portions of the traffic between endpoint groups, according to the specifications of the contract. Broadcast, unknown unicast and multicast (BUM), and control plane traffic that are not covered by the contract are not copied. In contrast, SPAN copies everything out of endpoint groups, access ports or uplink ports. Unlike SPAN, copy services do not add headers to the copied traffic. Copy service traffic is managed internally in the switch to minimize impact on normal traffic forwarding.

A copy service is configured as part of a Layer 4 to Layer 7 service graph template that specifies a copy cluster as the destination for the copied traffic. A copy service can tap into different hops within a service graph. For example, a copy service could select traffic between a consumer endpoint group and a firewall provider endpoint group, or between a server load balancer and a firewall. Copy clusters can be shared across tenants.


Copy services require you to do the following tasks:

- Identify the source and destination endpoint groups.
- Configure the contract that specifies what to copy according to the subject and what is allowed in the contract filter.
- Configure Layer 4 to Layer 7 copy devices that identify the target devices and specify the ports where they attach.
- Use the copy service as part of a Layer 4 to Layer 7 service graph template.
- Configure a device selection policy that specifies which device will receive the traffic from the service graph. When you configure the device selection policy, you specify the contract, service graph, copy cluster, and cluster logical interface that is in copy device.

## Outside Networks

Outside network policies control connectivity to the outside. A tenant can contain multiple outside network objects. The following figure shows the location of outside networks in the management information tree (MIT) and their relation to other objects in the tenant.

Figure 16: Outside Networks



Outside network policies specify the relevant Layer 2 ( $l2_{extOut}$ ) or Layer 3 ( $l3_{extOut}$ ) properties that control communications between an outside public or private network and the ACI fabric. External devices, such as routers that connect to the WAN and enterprise core, or existing Layer 2 switches, connect to the front panel interface of a leaf switch. The leaf switch that provides such connectivity is known as a border leaf. The border leaf switch interface that connects to an external device can be configured as either a bridged or routed interface. In the case of a routed interface, static or dynamic routing can be used. The border leaf switch can also perform all the functions of a normal leaf switch.


## Managed Object Relations and Policy Resolution

Relationship managed objects express the relation between managed object instances that do not share containment (parent-child) relations. MO relations are established between the source MO and a target MO in one of the following two ways:

- An explicit relation ( $fvRsPathAtt$ ) defines a relationship based on the target MO distinguished name (DN).
- A named relation defines a relationship based on the target MO name.

The dotted lines in the following figure shows several common MO relations.

Figure 17: MO Relations



For example, the dotted line between the EPG and the bridge domain defines the relation between those two MOs. In this figure, the EPG ( $fvAEPg$ ) contains a relationship MO ( $fvRsBD$ ) that is named with the name of the target bridge domain MO ( $fvBD$ ). For example, if production is the bridge domain name ( $tnFvBDName=production$ ), then the relation name would be production ( $fvRsBdName=production$ ).

In the case of policy resolution based on named relations, if a target MO with a matching name is not found in the current tenant, the ACI fabric tries to resolve in the common tenant. For example, if the user tenant EPG contained a relationship MO targeted to a bridge domain that did not exist in the tenant, the system tries to resolve the relationship in the common tenant. If a named relation cannot be resolved in either the current tenant or the common tenant, the ACI fabric attempts to resolve to a default policy. If a default policy exists in the current tenant, it is used. If it does not exist, the ACI fabric looks for a default policy in the common tenant. Bridge domain, VRF, and contract (security policy) named relations do not resolve to a default.

## Default Policies

The initial values of the APIC default policies values are taken from the concrete model that is loaded in the switch. A fabric administrator can modify default policies.



**Warning** Default policies can be modified or deleted. Deleting a default policy can result in a policy resolution process to complete abnormally.

The ACI fabric includes default policies for many of its core functions. Examples of default policies include the following:

- Bridge domain (in the common tenant)

- Layer 2 and Layer 3 protocols
- Fabric initialization, device discovery, and cabling detection
- Storm control and flooding
- Virtual port channel
- Endpoint retention for caching and aging of learned endpoints in switch buffers
- Loop detection
- Monitoring and statistics




---

**Note** To avoid confusion when implementing configurations that use default policies, document changes made to default policies. Be sure there are no current or future configurations that rely on a default policy before deleting a default policy. For example, deleting a default firmware update policy could result in a problematic future firmware update.

---

When the ACI fabric is upgraded, the existing policy default values persist, even if the default value changes in the newer release. When the node connects to the APIC for the first time, the node registers itself with APIC which pushes all the default policies to the node. Any change in the default policy is pushed to the node.

A default policy serves multiple purposes:

- Allows a fabric administrator to override the default values in the model.
- If an administrator does not provide an explicit policy, the APIC applies the default policy. An administrator can create a default policy and the APIC uses that unless the administrator provides any explicit policy.

For example, according to actions the administrator does or does not take, the APIC will do the following:

- Because the administrator does not specify the LLDP policy for the selected ports, the APIC applies the default LLDP interface policy for the ports specified in the port selector.
- If the administrator removes a port from a port selector, the APIC applies the default policies to that port. In this example, if the administrator removes port 1/15 from the port selector, the port is no longer part of the port channel and the APIC applies all the default policies to that port.

The following scenarios describe common policy resolution behavior:

- A configuration explicitly refers to the default policy: if a default policy exists in the current tenant, it is used. Otherwise, the default policy in tenant **common** is used.
- A configuration refers to a named policy (not default) that does not exist in the current tenant or in tenant **common**: if the current tenant has a default policy, it is used. Otherwise, the default policy in tenant **common** is used.




---

**Note** This does not apply to a bridge domain or a VRF (private network) in a tenant.

---



- A configuration does not refer to any policy name: if a default policy exists in the current tenant, it is used. Otherwise, the default policy in tenant **common** is used.




---

**Note** For bridge domains and VRFs, this only applies if the connectivity instrumentation policy (`fvConnInstrPol`) in the **common** tenant has the appropriate bridge domain or VRF flag set. This prevents unintended EPGs from being deployed in tenant **common** subnets.

---

The policy model specifies that an object is using another policy by having a relation managed object (MO) under that object and that relation MO refers to the target policy by name. If this relation does not explicitly refer to a policy by name, then the system will try to resolve a policy called default. Bridge domains (BD) and VRFs (Ctx) are exceptions to this rule.

An endpoint group (EPG) has a relation to a BD (`fvRsBd`) that has a property called `tnFvBDName`. If this is not set (`tnFvBDName=""`), the connectivity instrumentation policy (`fvConnInstrPol`) derives the behavior for this case. This policy applies for all EPG cases (VMM, baremetal, l2ext, l3ext). The instrumentation policy uses the `bdctrl` property to control whether the default BD policy will be used and the `ctxCtrl` property to control whether the default VRF (Ctx) policy will be used. The following options are the same for both:

- *do not instrument*: the leaf switch will not use the default policy.
- *instrument-and-no-route*: instrument the policy and do not enable routing.
- *instrument-and-route*: instrument the policy and enable routing.

## Trans Tenant EPG Communications

EPGs in one tenant can communicate with EPGs in another tenant through a contract interface contained in a shared tenant. The contract interface is an MO that can be used as a contract consumption interface by the EPGs that are contained in different tenants. By associating to an interface, an EPG consumes the subjects represented by the interface to a contract contained in the shared tenant. Tenants can participate in a single contract, which is defined at some third place. More strict security requirements can be satisfied by defining the tenants, contract, subjects, and filter directions so that tenants remain completely isolated from one another.

Follow these guidelines when configuring shared services contracts:

- When a contract is configured between in-band and out-of-band EPGs, the following restrictions apply:
  - Both EPGs should be in the same VRF (context).
  - Filters apply in the incoming direction only.
  - Layer 2 filters are not supported.
  - QoS does not apply to in-band Layer 4 to Layer 7 services.
    - Management statistics are not available.
    - Shared services for CPU-bound traffic are not supported.
- Contracts are needed for inter-bridge domain traffic when a private network is unenforced.

- Prefix-based EPGs are not supported. Shared Services are not supported for a Layer 3 external outside network. Contracts provided or consumed by a Layer 3 external outside network need to be consumed or provided by EPGs that share the same Layer 3 VRF.
- A shared service is supported only with non-overlapping and non-duplicate subnets. When configuring subnets for shared services, follow these guidelines:
  - Configure the subnet for a shared service provider under the EPG, not under the bridge domain.
  - Subnets configured under an EPG that share the same VRF must be disjointed and must not overlap.
  - Subnets leaked from one VRF to another must be disjointed and must not overlap.
  - Subnets advertised from multiple consumer networks into a VRF or vice versa must be disjointed and must not overlap.




---

**Note** If two consumers are mistakenly configured with the same subnet, recover from this condition by removing the subnet configuration for both, then reconfigure the subnets correctly.

---

- Do not configure a shared service with `AnyToProv` in the provider VRF. The APIC rejects this configuration and raises a fault.
- The private network of a provider cannot be in unenforced mode while providing a shared service.

## Tags

Object tags simplify API operations. In an API operation, an object or group of objects can be referenced by the tag name instead of by the distinguished name (DN). Tags are child objects of the item they tag; besides the name, they have no other properties.

Use a tag to assign a descriptive name to a group of objects. The same tag name can be assigned to multiple objects. Multiple tag names can be assigned to an object. For example, to enable easy searchable access to all web server EPGs, assign a web server tag to all such EPGs. Web server EPGs throughout the fabric can be located by referencing the web server tag.

## About APIC Quota Management Configuration

Starting in the Cisco Application Policy Infrastructure Controller (APIC) Release 2.3(1), there are limits on number of objects a tenant admin can configure. This enables the admin to limit what managed objects that can be added under a given tenant or globally across tenants.

This feature is useful when you want to limit any tenant or group of tenants from exceeding ACI maximums per leaf or per fabric or unfairly consuming a majority of available resources, potentially affecting other tenants on the same fabric.