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Session Abstract

IPS technology could be placed in many different places in the network and as such it has to be flexible enough to be positioned wherever we want and need. The session will cover possible deployment options of Cisco IPS, from the basic setups and concepts to very complex DC scenarios.

We will cover typical solutions and also potential problems. We will not cover basics of security, Cisco IPS technology or terminology.

We expect advanced knowledge of networking, design and security concepts.
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What is Intrusion Prevention?

1. IPS closely resembles a Layer 2 bridge or repeater
2. “Identical to a wire” is the closest analogy
3. Inline interfaces have no MAC or IP and cannot be detected directly
4. Network IPS passes all packets without directly participating in any communications including spanning tree (but spanning tree packets are passed)
5. Default Behavior is to pass all packets even if unknown, (ie IPX, Appletalk, etc) unless specifically denied by policy or detection
High Level Considerations
Planning Points for IPS

General Location Decisions (perimeter, internal, zones of trust, etc)  Similar considerations as used for IDS deployments

Response actions used

Specific Location Decisions (Between Router and Firewall, Between two switches, etc) - Different considerations than those used for IDS deployments

Re-cabling and other physical requirements

Inline Performance Requirements

Control and Responsibility Issues for an inline device
Planning Points for IPS II

IPS is IDS deployed into the packet stream.

IPS vs IDS

Pros

- TCP/IP Traffic Normalization
- Inline Response Actions (Deny Packet)

Cons

- Packet Effects (latency, etc)
- Network Effects (bandwidth, connection rate, etc)

There is little point in deploying inline if you don’t take advantage of the situation
IPS Virtualization
IPS Virtualization

1. From version 6.0 IPS sensor has a ability to create virtual instances of sensors a.k.a. contexts

2. Physical sensor performance is divided between contexts

3. Up to 4 virtual sensors could be created on one physical hardware

4. For AIP-SSM ASA has to run 8.0 or higher software
IPS Virtualization Advantage

1. Each context could have it’s own
   1. Interfaces or VLAN Pairs
   2. Signature definition
   3. Event Action Rule Policy (filters and overrides)
   4. Anomaly Detection Policy
   5. Anomaly Detection Operation Mode
   6. TCP Session Tracking Mode

2. Some of above points could be shared between contexts
Following Combinations Could be Attached to Context

1. Physical Interface
2. VLAN Groups
3. Inline Interface pairs
4. Inline VLAN pairs
Physical Interface

1. Single physical interface attached to sensor would be in promiscuous mode

2. You could attach more than one physical interface to the sensor
VLAN Groups

1. VLAN Groups mode are like multiple interfaces on one physical interface

2. Group of VLANs are attached to subinterface created on physical interface

3. Up to 255 of subinterfaces (VLAN Groups) can be created
Inline Interfaces

1. Traffic flows from one physical interface to the other
2. On 4260 and 4270 we could take advantage of hardware bypass when supported ports are tight together
Inline Interfaces Example

Interface pairing
Gi0/0 <-> Gi0/1

VLAN 10, VLAN 20

192.168.10.10 192.168.20.10

Interface pairing
Gi0/0 <-> Gi0/1

VLAN 10, VLAN 20

192.168.10.10 192.168.20.10
Inline VLAN Pairs

1. Sensor would bridge between two VLANs
2. Up to 255 VLAN pairs could be bridged on one physical interface which acts as trunk port

Virtualized Context 1:
Gi0/0
Subinterface 1:
  VLAN Pair 50 < - > 51
Subinterface 2:
  VLAN Pair 60 < - > 61
VLAN Pairing Example

VLAN 10

VLAN 10,20

VLAN 11,21
What is the “Normalizer” in Cisco IPS?

1. There are easy evasions available to the determined attacker at both the IP and TCP layers.

2. First version of Normalizer was designed to prevent the evasions discussed in *Insertion, Evasion, and Denial of Service: Eluding Network Intrusion Detection* by Newsham and Ptacek and all other evasions that we could think of that were related to or inspired by the paper.

3. Two general categories:
   - IP Normalizer (12xx sigs)
   - TCP Normalizer (13xx sigs)
High Availability
High Availability for IPS is Important...

1. Deploying an IPS sensor into the traffic stream introduces a new device to possibly fail and prevent traffic from flowing (It will be the first thing blamed for any problems).

2. High Availability is defined as building into the network, the ability to cope with the loss of a component of that network to ensure that network functionality is preserved.
HA Solution

1. **Fail-open techniques**: Hardware or software that functions to detect problems and pass packets through the device without inspection when required.

2. **Failover**: One or more paths through the network to allow packets, in the event of a device failure, to either go through a backup IPS sensor or through a plain wire.

3. **Load Balancing**: Using devices or software features to split a traffic load up across multiple devices. This can achieve both higher data rates and redundant paths in case of failure.
Reliance on fail-open strategies leaves your network with no protection !!!
Inline (Software) Bypass

1. Ability to go „around“ inspection engines

2. Three modes of operation, default is “Auto”
   - **Auto**: if analysis engine fails, traffic continues to flow without interruption (but also without any inspection) through sensor
   - **Off**: if analysis engine is down, traffic stops flowing through sensor
   - **On**: traffic bypasses analysis engine and is not inspected

3. Useful for failover as well as for troubleshooting
Hardware Bypass

1. Both Cisco IPS 4260 and 4270 support HW bypass using 4-port GigabitEthernet cards

2. Bypass is supported only between 0 and 1 and between 2 and 3
HW Bypass Check

sensor# sh interfaces gigabitEthernet0/0

MAC statistics from interface GigabitEthernet0/0

  Interface function = Sensing interface
  Description =
  Media Type = TX
  Default Vlan = 0
  Inline Mode = Paired with interface GigabitEthernet0/1
  Pair Status = Down
  Hardware Bypass Capable = No

  Hardware Bypass Paired = N/A
  Link Status = Down
  Admin Enabled Status = Enabled
Way to Disable HW Bypass

1. Pair unsupported ports together to disable HW bypass

ips4270(config)# service interface
ips4270(config-int)# inline-interfaces Inside
ips4270(config-int-inl)# interface1 GigabitEthernet3/0
ips4270(config-int-inl)# interface2 GigabitEthernet3/3
ips4270(config-int-inl)# exit
ips4270(config-int)# exit
Apply Changes?[yes]: yes

Warning: Hardware bypass functionality is not available on inline-interface Inside because GigabitEthernet3/0 is only capable of hardware-bypass when paired with GigabitEthernet3/1
Fail-open and Failover Deployments

IPS Appliance Sensor Solutions:

1. Standalone Sensor in Hardware Bypass Deployment

2. Redundant Deployment using Spanning Tree for Active/Passive Failover

3. Redundant Deployment using Spanning Tree for High Availability (along with plain wire)
Asymmetric Support for IPS

1. Cisco IPS does not support HA natively (yet)
2. There could be problems with asymmetric flows
   Check TCP Reassembly Modes
   Check Normalizer settings
Normalizer Mode
TCP Reassembly Mode

Using IME
Configuration -> Signature Definitions -> All signatures -> Advanced…
How could you evade IPS sensor using TTL manipulation?
How to Evade IPS (Without Normalizer) I

Attacker

seq1 TTL=11
seq1 TTL=20
seq2 TTL=9
seq2 TTL=21
seq3 TTL=10
seq3 TTL=20
seq4 TTL=10
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EtherChannel
EtherChannel Load Balancing

- Fault tolerant architecture that dynamically reconfigures the cluster on a HW or SW failure
  - Scalable Performance Allows up to 8 sensors deployed inspecting the same data set
  - Relies on EC algorithm to split flows amongst the different blades
EtherChannel Load Balancing

1. Can only maximize performance based on specific powers of 2: 2, 4 or 8 devices

2. Total Bandwidth (capacity of IPS) multiplied by (2, 4 or 8) is the max amount you can balance (“split”) 

3. Performance will only be maximized with 2, 4 or 8 IPS devices

4. 3, 5, 6, 7 IPS will NOT improve performance – only provides (additional) failover
ECLB Scenarios: Performance Design

- 8G Traffic
- 4G & 4G

Total capacity
- 8G
- No failover!

2x4270
ECLB Scenarios: Redundant Design

8G Traffic
4G & 2G & 2G

Total capacity
- 8 G
- If any one fails, traffic balances across remaining
- Each of the remaining gets rest
ECLB Scenarios: BAD Design

12G Traffic (3 IPSx4G)
- Traffic goes to 4 "buckets"
- 2G & 3G & 3G
- 2G gets lost
VSS
VSS Service Module Integration
IDSM2 Service Module High Availability

IDSM2 does not support session failover mechanisms, however more than one active IDSM2 is supported in a Virtual Switching System. Traffic Load-balancing in VSS is similar to standalone containing multiple IDSMs in a single chassis, it is achieved using Etherchannel configuration*

*Etherchannel configuration
How could you evade sensor using RST/FIN and sequence numbers?
How to Evade IPS (Without Normalizer) II

1. Establish TCP connection across IPS
2. Torn connection with FIN or RST packet but use wrong sequence numbers (SN)
3. If IPS doesn’t check SN…
DC 3.0
Physical Solution Topology

Core Layer

Access Layer

Aggregation Layer

IDS/IPS

Services Layer

Catalyst 6500

ASA 5580

ACE Module

ACE WAF

Nexus 7000

ASA 5580

ACE Module

WAAS

IDS/IPS
Security Service Flow
Client-to-Server

Interface VLAN 190
IP: 10.8.190.2
Input Service Policy: L4_LB_VIP_HTTP_POLICY
VIP: 10.8.162.200

WAF Devices
IP: 10.8.190.210
IP: 10.8.190.211

N7k1-VDC1
SVI-161
10.8.162.3
hsrp.1

N7k1-VDC2
SVI-151
10.8.152.3
hsrp.7

WAF Cluster

Interface VLAN 162
IP: 10.8.190.2
Input Service Policy: AGGREGATE_SLB_POLICY
VIP: 10.8.162.200

SS1

ips1 vs0

ips2 vs0

VLAN 161
10.8.162.5
10.8.152.5

VLAN 162
10.8.162.5
10.8.152.5

VLAN 163

VLANs 163,164

Po2

Bridged VLANs

Server VLANs
Global Correlation
Cisco IPS Global Correlation
Network IPS to Global IPS

- **Coverage**
  Twice the effectiveness of traditional IPS

- **Accuracy**
  Reputation analysis decreases false positives

- **Timeliness**
  100x faster than traditional signature-only methods

IPS Reputation Filtering powered by Global Correlation
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Interesting Links

Security Intelligence Operations
http://www.cisco.com/security/

Cisco SAFE Reference Guide

Enterprise Campus 3.0 Architecture: Overview and Framework

Design Zone
http://www.cisco.com/go/designzone

Insertion, Evasion, and Denial of Service: Eluding Network Intrusion Detection
http://insecure.org/stf/secnet_ids/secnet_ids.pdf
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