

## Cisco WLAN Technology and Standards Evolution

Mauricio Gaudencio Business Developer Manager Security / Wireless mgaudenc@cisco.com



## NOP Study—Wireless LANs Increase Productivity

Cisco.com

Based on a survey of 300+ U.S.-based organizations with more than 100 employees:

- End users stayed connected an average of 1<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> hours more per day to their corporate network
- Average daily time savings: 70 minutes
- Productivity: +22%



Source: NOP World-Technology, Sept. 2001

## Extending the Network Through Wireless

#### **In-Building Wireless LANs**



#### **Public Access Hot Spots**



#### **Wireless Bridges**



#### **Home Networking**



## ISM Unlicensed Frequency Bands

Allining Cisco.com



## **Wireless Technologies**



## Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN)



# **Typical Multicell Configuration**

Cisco.com



## **Wireless Repeater**

All Cisco.com





# IEEE 802.11 Standards Update Topics from A to X

# **IEEE 802.11 Standard Activities**

- 802.11a—5GHz—Ratified in 1999
- 802.11b—11Mb 2.4GHz—ratified in 1999
- 802.11d—World Mode and additional regulatory domains—Ratified
- 802.11e—Quality of Service
- 802.11f —Inter-Access Point Protocol (IAPP)
- 802.11g—Higher Datarate (>20mBps) 2.4GHz
- 802.11h—Dynamic Frequency Selection and Transmit Power Control mechanisms
- 802.11i—Authentication and security

# **WLAN Standards Bodies**

dilling Cisco.com

Primary Role	→ Develop Spec	Interoperability Testing
Standard	<u>IEEE</u>	<u>Wi-Fi Alliance</u>
<ul> <li>2.4 GHz, 11 Mbps</li> </ul>	802.11b	802.11b
<ul> <li>5 GHz, 54 Mbps</li> </ul>	802.11a	802.11a
<ul> <li>Security</li> </ul>	802.11i	WPA, WPA2(future)
<ul> <li>2.4 GHz, 54 Mbps</li> </ul>	802.11g	802.11g
• QoS	802.11e	Future
<ul> <li>5 GHz Europe</li> </ul>	802.11h+d	Future



# 802.11a

- Ratified as Standard in Sept, 1999
- Provides similar technology to HylerLAN2
- Data rates to 54Mb defined
- Provides 8 indoor WLAN channel
- Regulation differ extensively across countries

Cisco.com

## 802.11b 11Mb 2.4GHz Direct Sequence

All Cisco.com

- Ratified as Standard in Sept, 1999
- 11Mb 2.4Gz
- 11 US channels
- 13 ETSI channels
- 14 Japan Channels
- Power levels of 36dBm EIRP-FCC 20dBm EIRP-ETSI
- Virtually approved for world wide use
- Modulation CCK (11Mb) and BPSK/QPSK (1 and 2Mb 802.11)

## IEEE802.11g

Standard for Higher Rate (20+ Mbps) Extensions in the 2.4GHz Band

All Cisco.com

- Provides higher data rates @ 2.4 GHz
- Similar speeds as 802.11a
- Similar distance as 802.11b
- Backward compatible with 11 Mbps (802.11b)
- Same modulation as 802.11a—OFDM



# 802.11 Capacity Compared

	Throughput (Mbps)	Channels	Capacity (Mbps)
802.11b	6	3	18
802.11g (Mixed Mode Operation)	8	3	24
802.11g (No Legacy Support)	22	3	66
802.11a	25	12	300
802.11a (with 802.11h Support)	25	24	600

- Even when not supporting 802.11b clients, 802.11g still provides a fraction of the network capacity provided by 802.11a
- Dual band infrastructure is the only way to achieve a high capacity wireless LAN

# **Deployment Considerations**

Cisco.com

#### No reason not to begin migration to 802.11g

-No additional cost, no 802.11b performance degradation

-New site survey not a necessity, most installed antennas supported

-Increased throughput, enhanced security, better 802.11b range

#### Dual-band 802.11a/g clients are the near term standard

-Silicon Vendors, PC OEMs moving towards high-capacity clients

-Neither 802.11a nor 802.11g likely to exist as stand-alone client adapters for mainstream devices

#### Customers can start planning for a dual-band infrastructure

-Cisco Aironet 1200 Series Access Point supports dual radios at time of purchase or as a field upgrade

-802.11a infrastructure capabilities can be added in as user count, client 802.11a capabilities and capacity requirements increase



# **Wireless Bridges**

# Wireless Bridging – what and why?

dilling Cisco.com

## • What?

-Wireless line of sight infrastructure technology for connecting multiple networks in a metro area

## • Why?

-Flexible, rapidly deployable, cost effective alternative to leased lines

# **BR1410 Wireless Metro Bridge**

Cisco.com

- 5.8 GHz UNII-3 band
- 802.11a 54 Mbps data rate
- Point-to-Point & Point-to-MultiPoint
- >5 mile range @ 54 Mbps P2P

-High throughput even at long ranges

#### Outdoor weather-proof enclosure

-Designed for roof mount, tower mount, and mast mount

#### • 2 versions:

1)With integrated 23 dBi patch antenna

2)With connectors for remote antennas:

•9 dBi omni •9.5 dBi sector •28 dBi dish



# **High Performance**

1

- Outdoor deployable radio maximizes performance by minimizing cable loss
- Data rates: 6 to 54 Mbps with industry leading receiver sensitivity
- Point to point range with integrated 22.5 dBi gain antennas:
  - -7.5 miles (12Km) at 54 Mbps
  - -16 miles (25Km) at 9 Mbps
- Point to point range with 28 dBi dish antennas:
  - -12 miles (20Km) at 54 Mbps
  - -23 miles (37Km) at 9 Mbps
- Point to multi-point range with 9 dBi omni hub antenna and 22.5 dBi gain antenna:
  - -2 miles (3Km) at 54 Mbps
  - -8 miles (13Km) at 9 Mbps
- VoIP circuits trunked up to 24 over PtP links
- Excess processor and memory capacity for future SW/FW upgrades yielding higher throughputs and more VoIP circuits.



## Agenda

Cisco.com

## WLAN Security

# **Pringles**

#### Cisco.com

SNR SNR+ Latitud

N37.41352

N37.3322 N37.4127

N37.442

N37.4430

ers

Agere (Lucent) Orinoci

Agere (Lucent) Orinoco Agere (Lucent) WaveLAN Agere (Lucent) Orinoco

Agene (Lucent) WaveLAN

Agere (Lucent) WaveLAN Cisco (Aironet) Cisco (Aironet)

Agene (Lucent) WaveLAN

Agere (Lucent) WaveLAN Gemtek (D-Link)

Agere (Lucent) Orinoc

gere (Lucent) Orin

Agere (Lucent) Orinoco Agere (Lucent) Orinoco

WIFC .

Cisco (Aironet)

Delta Network:

De 43 redes rastreadas nos maiores centros de concentração de escritórios de São Paulo apenas 08 tomaram medidas de segurança pertinentes

Fonte: Info Exame Junho/2002

Happy Donuts AirWayeOne

a6a9 Mignot Base Statio

f5db7 f6538 AP2 Printer's Inc Mountainview

AP1 Printer's Inc Mountainview

AirWave

AirWave AirWave

AirWave

Alan2

Alpha

alpha

amdwlar



http://www.arwain.net/evan/pringles.htm





Edit View Options Window Help

0

0022D0F9D21 1

0601DF05B5C 3.5

Yes

040964429BA 6

6492BEE

601D1E1AFE

601022000/

00601DF02B88

merge 2.ns1:1

© 2003, Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved.

# **WLAN Security**

Cisco.com



Goal: Make WLAN security equivalent to wired LANs (WEP - Wired Equivalent Privacy)

# The Service Set Identifier (SSID)

All Cisco.com

- Used to logically separate wireless LANs
- SSID is not a security mechanism!
- Disabling SSID broadcast in the beacons does not prevent an attacker from seeing them



## **MAC** Authentication

## MAC-based Authentication

-Use with caution only when clients do not support VPNs or EAP

-Cisco supports centralized configuration and management of permitted MAC addresses in RADIUS database

-Can be easily spoofed

## MAC Authentication is weak

-MAC addresses are sent in the clear

-MAC addresses can be sniffed and spoofed

## Static Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP) Enable Communication with Network



 Knowledge of the WEP key is required in order to communicate on the WLAN

## Key needs to be changed frequently

-WEP encryption can be attacked

If there is 1 laptop lost then every WEP key in the network has to change
 Keys need to change periodically to maintain security of authentication and privacy

## Key distribution and management problematic

-There is no method to "push" WEP keys to clients

-Impossible to scale securely

# **Stream Ciphers (Cont.)**

## To encrypt, XOR key stream with plain text

-Key stream  $\otimes$  Plain Text => Cipher Text

## To decrypt, XOR key stream with cipher text

-Key Stream & Cipher Text => Plain Text



"WIRELESS"	= 58495245C455353	
Key Stream	= 123456789ABCDEF	XOR
	4A7D043D6FBE9C	XOII
Key Streal	= 123456789ABCDEF	- XOR
"WIRELESS"	= 58495245C455353	/. <b>U</b> II

# 802.11 Security Summary

Cisco.com

## The security mechanisms in the 1997 802.11 specification are flawed

-Open authentication

-Shared Key authentication

-WEP

## These will NOT secure your wireless LAN!!

# 802.1X for 802.11

Cisco.com

- Layer 2 link layer support for Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP)
- Framework to facilitate authentication between client, AP, and AAA server
- Extensible authentication algorithms
  - -Password-based
  - -PKI-based
  - -Biometrics
  - -More to come...

# **802.1X Authentication Process**



# WLAN Security: 802.1X Authentication

Radius

Server

## Mutual Authentication

## • LEAP

-"Lightweight" EAP

-Nearly all major OS's supported: •WinXP/2K/NT/ME/98/95/CE, Linux, Mac, DOS

## EAP-TLS

-EAP-Transport Layer Security

-Mutual Authentication implementation

## • PEAP

- -"Protected" EAP
- -Establishes secure tunnel (similar to VPN)
- -Supported by Cisco, Microsoft, & RSA
- -Option: One-Time Passwords ("OTP")





Cisco.com

AP

Client

# **EAP-Cisco Authentication (LEAP)**

Cisco.com

### Client Support

- -Windows 95-XP
- -Windows CE
- -Macintosh OS 9.X and 10.X
- -Linux

#### Device Support

- WGB 340 e 350
- BR350 series
- -APs (340, 350, 1100, 1200)

## RADIUS Server

-Cisco ACS

# **Broadening Support for LEAP**

## **Cisco has licensed LEAP to many companies:**

#### LEAP support: RADIUS servers

-Funk Software: Steel-Belted Radius Server -Interlink: Secure.XS Radius Server

#### LEAP support: Client Devices

- -Apple: Powerbooks/iBooks
- -HP: Print Servers
- -Symbol: Handhelds
- -Intermec: Handhelds

#### LEAP support: Client Software

-Funk Software: Odyssey Client v.1.1 -Meetinghouse: Aegis Client v.1.3.6

#### LEAP support: Chipsets

_	ntel
_	Intersil
_	Atheros
_	Atmel

TI Marvell Agere Broadcom



## **EAP-TLS Authentication**

Cisco.com

## Client Support

-Windows 2000, XP

-Clients require a local user or machine certificate

## Infrastructure Requirements

- -EAP-TLS supported RADIUS server
  - •Cisco ACS, Cisco AR, MS IAS
  - RADIUS server requires a server certificate
- -Certificate Authority Server
  - •Windows 2000 Server

# **Hybrid Authentication**

#### EAP-PEAP

-Server side authentication with TLS

-Client side authentication with EAP authentication types (EAP-GTC, EAP-MD5, etc)

## Require CA, as with EAP-TLS

### Clients do not require certificates

-Simplifies end user/device management

#### Allows for one way authentication types to be used

-One Time Passwords

-Proxy to LDAP, Unix, NT/AD, Kerberos, etc

# **EAP-PEAP** Authentication



# **Strong Encryption**

Cisco.com

## Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP)

-Enhances WEP encryption

-Per Packet Keying

-Message Integrity Check

#### AES

-Advanced Encryption Standard

-The Gold Standard

-Hardware encryption vs. software encryption

#### VPN over Wireless

-3DES encryption—Tried and true

-HMAC-SHA1 or HMAC-MD5 message authentication

## Temporal Key Integrity Protocol (TKIP) Per Packet Keying Operation



- IV Sequencing—IVs increment by one
- Per Packet IV is hashed with base WEP key
- Result is a new 'Packet' WEP key
- The Packet WEP key changes per IV

Cisco.com

# **AES Encryption**

Cisco.com

## Advanced Encryption Standard

- -3DES successor
- -Sponsored by NIST

### Rijndael Algorithm

- -Block Cipher
- -128,192, and 256 bit key support

## Optional in WPA

-Probably required in future versions

#### Most probably requires Hardware upgrade

-Available with Cisco 802.11g family

# **Broadcast Key Rotation**

- Broadcast key is required in 802.1X environments
- Broadcast key is vulnerable to same attacks as static WEP key
- Broadcast key needs to rotate, as with unicast key

## Enterprise-Class WLAN Security: The Cisco Wireless Security Suite



# Remote Access Wireless VPN

Cisco.com



# VPN over 802.11—Issues

Cisco.com

- Average of 30% to 40% performance impact
- Client throughput may require multiple concentrators
- Support for IP unicast exclusively

-No support for IPX, AppleTalk

-No support for multicast

## 802.11e QoS enhancements useless for VPN WLAN clients

-All traffic is IP/ESP encapsulated

## **Remote Access Security Using VPN**

Cisco.com



# First Generation WLAN Security : Not appropriate for Enterprise Deployment

Cisco.com



# **WLAN Security White Papers**

#### Wireless LAN Security & the <u>Cisco Wireless Security Suite</u>



White Paper

A Comprehensive Review of 802.11 Wireless LAN Security and the Cisco Wireless Security Suite

#### Author

Pejman Roshan, Wireless Networking Product Manager, is the author of this white paper.

#### 1. Introduction

Since the ratification of the IEEE 802.11b standard in 1999, wireless LANs have become more prevalent. Today, wireless LANs are widely deployed in places such as corporate office conference rooms, industrial warehouses, Internet-ready classrooms, and even coffeehouses.

These IEEE 802.11-based wireless LANs present new challenges for network administrators and information security administrators alike. Unlike the relative simplicity of wired Ethernet deployments, 802.11-based wireless LANs broadcast radio-frequency (RF) data for the client stations to bear. This presents new and complex security issues that involve augmenting the 802.11 standard.

Security in the IEEE 802.11 specification—which applies to 802.11b, 802.11a, and 802.11g—has come under interse scrutiny. Researchers have exposed several vulnerabilities in the authentication, data-privacy, and message-integrity mechanisms defined in the specification. This white paper:

- Reviews the authentication and data-privacy functions described in Clause 8 of the IEEE 802.11 specification
- · Describes the inherent security vulnerabilities and management issues of these functions
- Explains how security issues can be addressed effectively only by augmenting the
   802.11 security standard

www.cisco.com/en/US/products/hw/wireless/ps430/ products\_white\_paper09186a00800b469f.shtml

#### **SAFE for Wireless**

(recently updated Mar.'03)

WHITE PAPER

Cisco.com

#### Ciaco Stating

SAFE: Wireless LAN Security in Depth



#### Authors

Sean Convery (CCIE #4232) and Darrin Miller (CCIE #6447) are the primary authors of this white paper. Mark Doering, Pej Roshan, and Sri Sundaralingam provided significant contributions to this paper and are the lead architects of Cisco's reference implementation in San Jose, CA USA. All are network architects focusing on wireless LAN, VPN, or security issues.

#### Abstract

This paper provides best-practice information to interested parties for designing and implementing wireless LAN (WLAN) security in networks utilizing elements of the SAFE blueprints. All SAFE while papers are available at the SAFE Web site: http://www.cisco.com/go/safe. These documents were written to provide best-practice information on network security and virtual-private network (VPN) designs. Although you can read this document without having read either of the two primary security design documents, it is recommended that you read either "SAFE Enterprise" or "SAFE Small, Midsize and Remote-User Networks" before continuing. This paper frames the WLAN implementation within the context of the overall security design. SAFE represents a system-based approach to security and VPN design. This type of approach focuses on overall design goals and translates those goals into specific configurations and topologies. In the context of wireless, Cisco recommends that you also consider network design elements such as mobility and QoS when deciding on an overall WLAN design. SAFE is based on Cisco products and those of its partners.

This document begins with an overview of the architecture, and then details the specific designs under consideration. Because this document revolves around two principal design variations, these designs are

www.cisco.com/application/pdf/en/us/guest/netsol/ ns128/c654/ccmigration\_09186a008009c8b3.pdf

