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Research shows that addressing customer dissatisfaction issues and improving customer loyalty can be a very profitable strategy. This paper summarizes Cisco IBSG secondary research findings that provide useful references when it comes to quantifying decisions that impact customer satisfaction.

More specifically, it provides:

- Quantified examples of how customer dissatisfaction causes churn
- A methodology to size the value associated with improving customer satisfaction

Debunking Several Myths About Customer Satisfaction

More often than not, enterprise decision makers dismiss customer experience and satisfaction as quantifiable drivers of revenue growth and profitability. Many executives feel that costly levels of customer care are required to exceed customer expectations. Others argue that despite a negative customer experience, it is not economical to reach a superior level of service, as most customers will remain loyal to a company and relatively few will actually leave. Finance executives also often argue that improving customer loyalty is a "soft" metric and cannot be considered when assessing the economic value of an investment.

Research indicates that these common ideas may result in making the wrong decisions about customer service. It shows that poor customer experience may result in either lower customer business (fewer purchases, less repeat business, smaller basket size) or increased customer attrition. While most unhappy customers may not bother to complain, a majority will simply leave.

Figure 1 illustrates the impact of positive versus negative customer experiences at critical “moments of truth” in retail banking. It shows that 72 percent of customers who have a negative customer service experience either reduce their overall engagement with the bank or switch banks altogether. It also shows that 87 percent customers who had a positive customer experience either made a purchase decision or increased their overall engagement with the bank.
Other Survey Results Show Importance of Customer Service

- Research shows that the majority of dissatisfied customers—nearly two-thirds—actually do switch when they experience poor customer service, as shown in Figure 2.
  - Emerging-market customers—for example, customers in fast-growing economies such as China, India, Russia, Brazil, Mexico, or Southeast Asia—are even more likely to switch than their counterparts in developed markets.
  - Nearly two-thirds of customers who switch take their business to a competitor, while the remainder abandon the purchase altogether.¹
- Consumers are very likely to do business with firms that exceed their expectations.
  - According to a Forrester survey, 81 percent of respondents are very likely to provide repeat business to firms that have exceeded their expectations.
- According to the Accenture 2010 Global Consumer Research Survey, lower price is not a substitute for customer service: 54 percent of respondents were not willing to compromise on customer service even if it ensured the lowest price.² Additionally, the importance of price in choosing a new service vendor declined from 68 percent in 2008 to 57 percent in 2010.

¹ Source: “The Cost of Poor Customer Service: The Economic Impact of the Customer Experience and
² Source: Accenture 2010 Global Consumer Research Survey.
Impact of Customer Satisfaction on Customer Lifetime Value

Does customer satisfaction impact Customer Lifetime Value (CLV)? The answer is an overwhelming “yes.”

CLV is the present value of total profits generated by a customer (net of acquisition costs) and is based on the profits earned from a customer, customer acquisition costs (CAC), cost of capital, industry growth, and the customer retention rate.

CLV is highly sensitive to changes in customer revenues and retention rate, both of which are dependent upon customer satisfaction. If organizations are successful at attracting customers and increasing transaction intensity (either higher transaction frequency or average size of transaction), CLV will increase. For subscriber-based organizations, customer retention is the key metric impacting the long-term value of firms, as monthly revenues generally do not vary significantly. Lastly, customer satisfaction (and, as a result, customer loyalty) largely impacts CAC, and can significantly impact CLV.

Figure 3. Customer Lifetime Value (CLV).

\[
CLV = (M - A) \times \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + d - G - R} \right\}
\]

M: profits generated from customers; A: customer acquisition cost; R: retention rate; d: discount rate; G: market growth rate

We have estimated the CLV for a retail bank using hypothetical assumptions for revenue per customer, margins, customer acquisition costs, and retention rate. Our analysis showed that CLV is highly sensitive to changes in customer loyalty (or customer retention rate). At an 85 percent retention rate, CLV is estimated at US$1,052 per retail banking customer (see Figure 4). If the bank is successful in increasing the retention rate by 5 percent, CLV can increase by 45 percent, to $1,530. On the other hand, CLV could decline by 26 percent, to $777, if the customer retention rate decreases by 5 percent.

Figure 4. Impact of Changes in Retention Rate on Customer Lifetime Value: Retail Banking.

Source: Cisco IBSG, 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumption</th>
<th>Assumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual revenue per customer</td>
<td>US$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cost (% of revenues)$^3$</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-time customer acquisition cost</td>
<td>US$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual customer retention cost</td>
<td>US$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of capital</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Cisco IBSG, 2012; company annual reports

$^3$ Computed as (PBT Ex. provisions for credit losses & marketing costs)*(1-tax rate) + depreciation and amortization.
Certain Industries Are More Vulnerable to Customer Churn

In our view, organizations operating in industry sectors that provide undifferentiated services or have low switching costs are more vulnerable to churn as a result of poor customer service. We have analyzed the impact of customer satisfaction using the framework of Maslow’s hierarchy of human needs.

Abraham Maslow proposed his theory of hierarchy of needs in 1943, categorizing various human needs in a pyramid. According to the theory, human beings first focus on satisfying needs low in the hierarchy (relating to survival and safety). Once these are satisfied, they move to more complex needs, which are also more social and psychological in nature (friendship, intimacy, self-esteem, self-actualization, and so on). Various categories of needs, according to Maslow’s hierarchy, are depicted in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Maslow’s Hierarchy of Human Needs.

We have modified this theory to reflect the realities of the 21st century, where in addition to survival needs (water, food, sleep, warmth), services such as retail, communication, banking and insurance, and cable television have become an integral part of today’s living, especially in developed economies. Because these services have increasingly become necessities rather than luxuries, and due to the wide availability of alternative providers and low switching costs, customers tend to have a low emotional bond with the service provider. This results in high churn when there is low customer satisfaction with the service and/or service provider.
Our theory is validated by several surveys that ask respondents whether they would switch service providers due to poor customer service. According to one such survey, retail, banking, ISPs, telecom service providers, and cable television are the top industry sectors vulnerable to customer churn. These industry sectors typically cater to needs that are low in Maslow’s hierarchy (for example, physiological and safety needs).

We believe, however, that customer churn is comparatively lower in subsegments that have a higher emotional bond with customers, including high-end retailers, wealth managers, and healthcare professionals.

**Figure 7.** Switching Due to Poor Customer Service.

![Switching Due to Poor Customer Service](image)

Source: Accenture Global Consumer Research, 2010

**Wireless SPs, Hotels, and Airlines Can Boost Revenues by Improving Customer Service**

Various economic models have been developed to quantify revenue benefits associated with better customer experience. One such model, developed by Forrester, can be customized by users to calculate the revenue benefits of improved customer experience based on changes to company-specific assumptions (such as the number of customers, revenue per customer per annum, and so forth).

The model is based on survey data from U.S. consumers about their experience when interacting with 153 brands across 13 industry sectors. Based on the survey results, Forrester created the Customer Experience Index (CxPi), which is a benchmark for level of customer satisfaction.

With the high correlation between CxPi scores and customer loyalty, organizations that are successful in providing a better customer experience are likely to generate higher revenues. In other words, companies with higher CxPi scores tend to have customers who buy from them again, don’t take their business to competitors, and recommend the service provider to friends. This is indicated by the high coefficient of correlation between CxPi scores and the loyalty metrics, as shown in Figure 8.
Figure 8. Correlation Between CxPi Score and Loyalty Metrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loyalty Metric</th>
<th>Coefficient of Correlation with CxPi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to consider the company for another purchase</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood to switch business to a competitor</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likelihood to recommend to a friend or colleague</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Forrester Research

Although all the industry sectors analyzed experienced revenue benefits from improved customer service, the magnitude differed across industries (see Figure 7). Wireless service providers, hotels, and airlines are the industry sectors that can generate maximum revenue benefits if organizations move from a below-average to above-average CxPi score.

On the other hand, the benefits are lowest for retailers. It is important to note that Forrester calculated the benefits for a hypothetical retail chain with 4 million customers (versus millions of subscribers assumed for the wireless service provider). The benefits, of course, would be higher for big retailers, such as Walmart and Target, which have much larger customer bases.

Figure 9. Revenue Benefits Due to Better Customer Service by Industry.

Source: Business Impact of Customer Experience, Forrester, June 2011
Customer Satisfaction Drives Long-Term Shareholder Value

Does customer satisfaction translate into growth of long-term shareholder value? Common wisdom says that satisfied customers tend to be more loyal, provide repeat sales, and refer businesses to family / friends. This translates into revenues that are both higher and more stable:

- Existing customers provide more cross-selling / up-selling opportunities, as they are receptive to advertising and promotional offers.
- Current customers tend to be more loyal, resulting in stable earnings and lower marketing costs.
- New customers are added due to positive word-of-mouth advertising (physical and online).

Organizations that manage to keep their customers satisfied have been successful in generating higher stock price returns. Analysis of returns for the S&P 500 index and organizations with the top 20 percent of ACSI scores between 1997 and 2003 indicated that the latter significantly outperformed the S&P 500, with cumulative returns of 40 percent versus 13 percent for the S&P 500 index.

Quantifying the Impact of Customer Service

The above findings are further validated by a study published in 2005, based on the ACSI scores of 200 Fortune 500 companies. The study found that a positive and significant relationship exists between customer satisfaction and cash flow growth, while a negative and significant relationship exists between customer satisfaction and future cash flow variability (in other words, greater customer satisfaction equates to less fluctuation in cash flow).

For every one-point increase in customer satisfaction, operating cash flows increased by $1.01 the following year (for every $1,000 in assets). Putting this in perspective, for a company with $54 billion of assets, a one-point increase in customer satisfaction translates into incremental future cash flows of $55 million and a decline of 4 percent in cash flow variability.

Thus, higher cash flows combined with the lower cost of capital (due to stable cash flows) result in higher present value of future cash flows (or higher shareholder value).

---

6 ACSI: American Customer Satisfaction Index.
Technology Can Help Make the Difference

Companies offering services with low switching costs and a low emotional bond for customers can differentiate their offerings through improved and personalized customer service levels, as well as seamless customer and brand experience across various means of interaction (such as physical, mobile, web, and television). With the changing demographic profile of customers, growth of e-commerce, and proliferation of mobile devices, the opportunity for technology to make a difference is unprecedented.

The potential is highest in the retail, banking, Internet, and telecommunications sectors, where customer interaction occurs across many different channels. In these industries, relevant technology applications can make the difference because they allow companies to scale at lower cost and provide mass differentiation. These technology applications include e-commerce platforms and digital channels, social networks, remote experts, customer recognition and service personalization, self-service, mobility, and many others. While they may help enhance service options and levels, increase flexibility and customization, and lead to more selling opportunities, they can also scale at much lower overall cost. The example of Netflix, the U.S. leader in online DVD and on-demand video entertainment, shows how a low-touch service model coupled with mass customization is possible with technology.
Conclusion

We believe that customer satisfaction, far from being a "soft" metric, is an important determinant of Customer Lifetime Value and shareholder value. Organizations that focus on improving customer satisfaction are likely to be more successful in expanding their customer base, generating higher sales from existing customers, and building loyalty. Maximizing customer share-of-wallet and customer loyalty are especially important in today’s challenging economic environment, which includes intense competition from organizations in emerging economies.

Industry sectors more vulnerable to churn related to customer dissatisfaction can differentiate their offerings through technology innovations because they allow companies to provide mass differentiation and scale at lower costs. Increasing customer satisfaction and loyalty in this manner will ultimately contribute to lower customer churn and shareholder value creation.
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