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WAN Strategy

This guide provides a high level overview of several wide-area network (WAN) technologies, followed by a discussion of the usage of each technology at the WAN-aggregation site and remote sites. This guide should be used as a roadmap on how to use the companion Intelligent WAN (IWAN) and WAN deployment guides. The intended audience is a technical decision maker who wants to compare Cisco’s WAN offerings and learn more about the best practices for each technology.

The days of conducting business with information stored locally on your computer are disappearing rapidly. The trend is for users to access mission-critical information by connecting to the network and downloading the information or by using a network-enabled application. Users depend upon shared access to common secured storage, web-based applications, and cloud-based services. Users may start their day at home, in the office, or from a coffee shop, expecting to log on to applications that they need in order to conduct business, update their calendar, or check email—all important tasks that support your business. Connecting to the network to do your work has become as fundamental as turning on a light switch to see your desk; it’s expected to work. Taken a step further, the network becomes a means to continue to function whether you are at your desk, roaming over wireless local-area network (WLAN) within the facility, or working at a remote site, and you still have the same access to your applications and information.

Now that networks are critical to the operation and innovation of organizations, workforce productivity enhancements are built on the expectation of nonstop access to communications and resources. As networks become more complex in order to meet the needs of any device, any connection type, and any location, networks incur an enhanced risk of downtime caused by poor design, complex configurations, increased maintenance, or hardware and software faults. At the same time, organizations seek ways to simplify operations, reduce costs, and improve their return on investment by exploiting their investments as quickly and efficiently as possible.

The Cisco Visual Networking Index (VNI) is an ongoing effort to forecast and analyze the growth and use of IP networks worldwide. The Global Mobile Data Traffic Forecast highlights the following predictions by 2019:

- There will be 5.2 billion global mobile users, up from 4.3 billion in 2014
- There will be 11.5 billion mobile-ready devices and connections, more than 4 billion more than there were in 2014
- The average mobile connection speed will increase 2.4-fold, from 1.7 Mbps in 2014 to 4.0 Mbps by 2019
- Global mobile IP traffic will reach an annual run rate of 292 exabytes, up from 30 exabytes in 2014

With increasing mobile traffic from employee devices, an organization must plan for expanded WAN bandwidth at remote sites and larger router platforms to accommodate the higher capacity links.

The enterprise series of Cisco Validated Designs (CVDs) incorporates local area network (LAN), WLAN, wide area network (WAN), security, data center, and unified communications technologies in order to provide a complete solution for an organization’s business challenges.
There are many ways an organization can benefit by deploying a CVD enterprise WAN architecture:

- Flexibility with multiple design models in order to address a variety of WAN technologies and resiliency options
- Increased reliability with multiple remote-site designs that provide for resiliency through the addition of WAN links and WAN routers, depending on business requirements
- Scalability provided by using a consistent method for remote-site LAN connectivity based on the CVD enterprise campus architecture
- Reduced cost of deploying a standardized design based on Cisco-tested and supported best practices
- Summarized and simplified design choices so that IT workers with a CCNA certification or equivalent experience can deploy and operate the network

Using a modular approach to building your network with tested, interoperable designs allows you to reduce risks and operational issues and to increase deployment speed.
Hybrid WAN Designs: IWAN vs Traditional WAN

Hybrid WAN designs are becoming increasing popular because they allow an organization to choose the best transport options for their particular situation. Your organization can spend money on multiprotocol label switching (MPLS) services when the business needs require it. You can use Internet services when more bandwidth is needed for larger data transport requirements. There are some key differences between IWAN and traditional WAN hybrid designs, which are highlighted in the figure below.

The IWAN design provides an active/active path for all WAN links and uses a single IPsec technology, which is not dependent on the underlying transport. The design also uses a single WAN routing domain without route redistribution or route filtering. The IWAN design is prescriptive in order to reduce the possible combinations, which lowers the cost and complexity for customers who want a simplified approach.
The traditional WAN hybrid design provides an active/standby path and two IPsec technologies based on the type of transport chosen. The design uses two WAN routing domains, which require route redistribution and route filtering for loop prevention. A traditional design has more transport options for customers who have varied needs, but because of the additional flexibility, the complexity is higher.

When planning your WAN strategy, Cisco recommends that you:

- Overprovision the WAN as much as possible
- Replace some or all of your MPLS bandwidth with Internet bandwidth
- Grow your existing WAN bandwidth with Internet bandwidth
- Keep quality of service (QoS) as simple as possible
- Use SDWAN management tools to automate and virtualize WAN connectivity
IWAN Introduction

The Cisco IWAN solution provides design and implementation guidance for organizations looking to deploy WAN transport with a transport-independent design (TID), intelligent path control, application optimization, and secure encrypted communications between branch locations while reducing the operating cost of the WAN. IWAN takes full advantage of cost-effective transport services in order to increase bandwidth capacity without compromising performance, reliability, or security of collaboration or cloud-based applications.

BUSINESS USE CASES FOR IWAN

Organizations require the WAN to provide sufficient performance and reliability for the remote-site users to be effective in supporting the business. Although most of the applications and services that the remote-site worker uses are centrally located, the WAN design must provide the workforce with a common resource-access experience, regardless of location.

Carrier-based MPLS service is not always available or cost-effective for an organization to use exclusively for remote-site WAN connectivity. There are multiple WAN transport offerings that can be used simultaneously to create a robust, secure, and cost-effective WAN, including MPLS VPNs, Internet, Cellular (4G LTE), and Carrier Ethernet. Internet-based IP VPNs offer attractive bandwidth pricing and can augment premium MPLS offerings or replace MPLS in some scenarios. A flexible network architecture should include all common WAN transport offerings as options without significantly increasing the complexity of the overall design.

While Internet IP VPN networks present an attractive option for effective WAN connectivity, anytime an organization sends data across a public network there is risk that the data will be compromised. Loss or corruption of data can result in a regulatory violation and can present a negative public image, either of which can have significant financial impact on an organization. Secure data transport over public networks like the Internet requires adequate encryption to protect business information.

Use Case: Secure Site-to-Site WAN Communications

This design helps organizations connect remote sites over private (MPLS) and public (Internet) IP networks, efficiently and securely.

This design enables the following network capabilities:

- Secure, encrypted communications solutions for up to 2000 locations by using a dynamic multipoint VPN (DMVPN) IPsec tunnel overlay configuration
- A multi-homed solution that can have two or more connectivity options for resiliency and efficient use of all WAN bandwidth, using single or dual routers in remote locations
- Support for IP Multicast and replication performed on core, hub-site routers
- Compatibility with public Internet networks where NAT is implemented
- QoS for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications and management traffic
Use Case: Scale and High Availability
This design helps organizations scale their IWAN deployments beyond a single hub border router per DMVPN. It also provides high availability for hub site locations.

This design enables the following capabilities:

• Horizontal scaling across multiple border routers on a single DMVPN to utilize all WAN and router capacity
• Convergence across hub routers only when all channels in a hub location fail or reach their maximum bandwidth limits
• If the current channel to a remote site fails, convergence to an alternate channel on the same network
• Redundant hub master controller using Anycast IP

Use Case: Transit Sites
This design helps organizations scale their IWAN deployments beyond a single point of presence (POP) location.

This design enables the following capabilities:

• Two or more transit sites advertise different or the same set of prefixes.
• Data centers are reachable across the WAN core for each transit site.
• Remote sites can access any data center across either POP location, and data centers can reach any remote site across multiple transit sites.

Use Case: Multiple WAN Transports
This design helps organizations scale their IWAN deployments beyond a single pair of WAN transports at a POP location.

This design enables the following capabilities:

• Up to nine WAN transports at each POP with one designated as a path of last resort
• Convergence across WAN transports when all channels in a given transport fail or reach their maximum bandwidth limits
• Up to three WAN transports at a single-router remote site
• Up to five WAN transports at a dual-router remote site
IWAN Architecture

With the advent of globalization, WANs have become a major artery for communication between remote offices and customers in any corner of the world. Additionally, with data center consolidation, applications are moving to centralized data centers and clouds. WANs now play an even more critical role, because business survival is dependent on the availability and performance of the network.

Until now, the only way to get reliable connectivity with predictable performance was to take advantage of a private WAN using MPLS or leased line service. However, carrier-based MPLS and leased line services can be expensive and are not always cost-effective for an organization to use for WAN transport in order to support growing bandwidth requirements for remote-site connectivity. Organizations are looking for ways to lower operating budget while adequately providing the network transport for a remote site.

As bandwidth demands have increased, the Internet has become a much more stable platform, and the price-to-performance gains are very attractive. However, businesses were primarily deploying “Internet as WAN” in their smaller sites or as a backup path because of the risks. Now this cost-effective, performance-enhancing opportunity can be realized at all your branch offices with Cisco IWAN.

Cisco IWAN enables organizations to deliver an uncompromised experience over any connection. With Cisco IWAN, IT organizations can provide more bandwidth to their branch office connections by using less expensive WAN transport options without affecting performance, security, or reliability. With the IWAN solution, traffic is dynamically routed based on application service-level agreement (SLA), endpoint type, and network conditions in order to deliver the best quality experience. The realized savings from IWAN not only pays for the infrastructure upgrades, but also frees resources for business innovation.
Transport Independence

Using DMVPN, IWAN provides capabilities for easy multi-homing over any carrier service offering, including MPLS, broadband, and cellular 4G/LTE. More importantly, the design simplifies the routing design with a single routing control plane and minimal peering to providers, making it easy for organizations to mix and match and change providers and transport options. Two or more WAN transport providers are recommended in order to increase network availability up to 99.999%. Additionally, the Cisco DMVPN solution provides an industry-proven and U.S. government FIPS 140-2 certified IPsec solution for data privacy and integrity protection, as well as dynamic site-to-site DMVPN tunnels. These tunnels are encrypted using IPSec and two nodes can authenticate each other using pre-shared keys or using a public key infrastructure with a certificate authority in the demilitarized zone (DMZ) in order to enroll and authorize the use of keys between routers.
Intelligent Path Control
Cisco Performance Routing (PfR) improves application delivery and WAN efficiency. PfR dynamically controls data packet forwarding decisions by looking at application type, performance, policies, and path status. PfR monitors the network performance—jitter, packet loss, and delay—and makes decisions to forward critical applications over the best-performing path based on the defined application policy. PfR can intelligently load-balance traffic efficiently by using all available WAN bandwidth. IWAN intelligent path control is the key to providing a business-class WAN over Internet transport.

Application Optimization
Cisco Application Visibility and Control (AVC) and Cisco Wide Area Application Services (WAAS) provide application performance visibility and optimization over the WAN. With applications becoming increasingly opaque due to the increased reuse of well-known ports such as HTTP (port 80), static port classification of applications is no longer sufficient. Cisco AVC provides application awareness with deep packet inspection of traffic in order to identify and monitor applications’ performance. Cisco AVC allows IT to determine what traffic is running across the network, tune the network for business-critical services, and resolve network problems. With increased visibility into the applications on the network, better QoS and PfR policies can be enabled to help ensure that critical applications are properly prioritized across the network. Cisco WAAS provides application-specific acceleration capabilities that improve response times while reducing WAN bandwidth requirements.

Secure Connectivity
Secure connectivity protects the corporate communications and offloads user traffic directly to the Internet. Strong IPsec encryption, zone-based firewalls, and strict access controls are used to protect the WAN over the public Internet. Routing remote-site users directly to the Internet improves public cloud application performance while reducing traffic over the WAN. Cisco Cloud Web Security service provides a cloud-based web proxy to centrally manage and secure user traffic accessing the Internet.

TRANSPORT-INDEPENDENT DESIGN
A transport-independent design simplifies the WAN deployment by using a GRE/IPsec VPN overlay over all WAN transport options including MPLS, Internet, and Cellular (3G/4G). A single VPN overlay reduces routing and security complexity and provides flexibility in choosing providers and transport options. Cisco DMVPN provides the IWAN IPsec overlay.

DMVPN makes use of multipoint generic routing encapsulation (mGRE) tunnels to interconnect the hubs and all of the spoke routers. These mGRE tunnel networks are also sometimes referred to as DMVPN clouds in this context. This technology combination supports unicast, multicast, and broadcast IP, including the ability to run routing protocols within the tunnels.

Internet as WAN Transport
The Internet is essentially a large-scale public IP WAN composed of multiple interconnected service providers. The Internet can provide reliable high-performance connectivity between various locations, although it lacks any explicit guarantees for these connections. Despite its “best effort” nature, the Internet is a sensible choice for augmenting premium MPLS transports or as a primary WAN transport in some cases. The IWAN architecture leverages two or more providers for resiliency and application availability. Provider path diversity delivers the foundation for PfR to route around throughput fluctuations in the service providers’ network.
Internet connections are typically included in discussions relevant to the Internet edge, specifically for the primary site. Remote-site routers also commonly have Internet connections but do not provide the same breadth of services using the Internet. For security and other reasons, Internet access at remote sites is often routed through the primary site.

**Dynamic Multipoint VPN**

DMVPN is the recommended solution for building scalable site-to-site VPNs that support a variety of applications. DMVPN is required for IWAN deployments because it provides a tight integration with PfRv3 and simplifies route control across any transport.

DMVPN was selected for the secure overlay IWAN solution because it supports on-demand full mesh connectivity over any carrier transport with a simple hub-and-spoke configuration. DMVPN also supports spoke routers that have dynamically assigned IP addresses.

**Ethernet**

The WAN transports mentioned previously use Ethernet as a standard media type. Ethernet is becoming a dominant carrier handoff in many markets and it is relevant to include Ethernet as the primary media in the tested architectures. Much of the discussion in this guide can also be applied to non-Ethernet media (such as T1/E1, DS-3, OC-3, and so on), but they are not explicitly discussed.

**INTELLIGENT PATH CONTROL**

Cisco PfR consists of border routers (BRs) that connect to the DMVPN overlay networks for each carrier network and a master controller (MC) application process that enforces policy. The BR collects traffic and path information and sends it to the MC at each site. The MC and BR can be configured on separate routers or the same router as shown in the figures below.

*Figure 3  Cisco Performance Routing: Hub location*
IWAN DESIGN

This guide describes two base IWAN design models and three advanced IWAN design models, but there are many options a customer can configure using the same underlying principles. The main goal of any IWAN design is to pick a preferred WAN path for your critical traffic. After establishing the preferred path, add more bandwidth for non-critical traffic using additional transports. The number of transports at any given remote site has to be at least two, but it can be as many as five with a dual-router design.

The first design model discussed is the IWAN Hybrid, which uses MPLS paired with Internet as the WAN transports. This is the primary use case for IWAN and the most common design being deployed. In this design model, the MPLS provides bandwidth for the critical classes of services needed for key applications and provides SLA guarantees for these applications. The non-critical classes use Internet bandwidth or whatever additional transports are available at each remote site location.

The second design model is the IWAN Dual Internet, which uses a pair of Internet service providers to further reduce cost while maintaining a high level of resiliency for the WAN. In this design model, you still have to determine a preferred path for your critical classes of traffic. In most cases, the preferred path will be the provider with the most bandwidth, but you might also want to choose the one that has the most favorable peering agreements or the one where the majority of your remote sites have direct connections. The non-critical classes can use the secondary Internet bandwidth available at each location.

The first advanced design model is the IWAN Dual Hybrid with Path of Last Resort (PLR), which has two MPLS transports, two Internet transports, and a fifth transport used as the final option when the other four are not available. In this design model, you still have to determine a preferred path for your critical classes of traffic. In some remote locations, the preferred path can be different from others locations. This multiple transport design model is not limited to two MPLS, two Internet, and one PLR transport, but the concepts described within this guide will give you an understanding of how to deploy IWAN with more than two transports. A customer can choose to deploy any combination of three to nine transports at their data center locations knowing they can support up to three transports at a single-router remote site and up to five at a dual-router remote site.
The IWAN WAN-aggregation includes at least two WAN edge routers, and each design model can scale up to 2000 remote sites.

Regardless of the design model, the WAN aggregation routers always connect into a pair of distribution layer switches using port-channel interfaces for additional bandwidth capacity and redundancy. Each of the design models has LAN connections into either a collapsed core/distribution layer or a dedicated WAN distribution layer. From the WAN-aggregation perspective, there are no functional differences between these two methods.

In all of the WAN-aggregation designs, tasks such as IP route summarization are performed at the distribution layer. There are other various devices supporting WAN edge services, and these devices should also connect into the distribution layer.

The characteristics of each design are discussed in the following sections.
IWAN Hybrid Design Model

This design allows you to move non-critical traffic off your MPLS, which gives your critical traffic better performance over the same amount of bandwidth. You use less expensive Internet bandwidth for your non-critical traffic classes. It provides balanced SLA guarantees and is moderately priced.

This model has the following capabilities:

- Uses at least one MPLS carrier
- Uses at least one Internet carrier
- Uses front-door virtual routing and forwarding (FVRF) on both MPLS and Internet links, with static default routing within the FVRF
- Scales to 2000 remote sites
IWAN Dual Internet Design Model

The dual Internet design has the least expensive monthly recurring cost, and it provides the most flexibility when choosing service providers. It is up to the enterprise to provide the SLA, because there are no bandwidth guarantees when using the Internet.

*Figure 7  WAN aggregation: IWAN dual Internet design model*

This model has the following capabilities:

- Uses at least two Internet carriers
- Uses FVRF on both Internet links, with static default routing within the FVRF
- Scales to 2000 remote sites

IWAN Scale and High Availability Design Model

This advanced design builds on previous design models by adding hub borders routers for horizontal scaling at a single data center. This design also has an option to add a second hub MC at a single data center for high availability.

The scale and high availability (HA) design models are as follows:

- A single data center with multiple borders for horizontal scalability
- Redundant Hub MC using Anycast IP
**Single Data Center with Multiple Borders**

In the following figure, two DMVPN hub border routers are used in a single data center for each service provider. There are two paths and two next-hops to the hub site from each remote site. To differentiate traffic from different ISP paths, a path-id is added on each DMVPN path. A path-id is a unique 32-bit number for a path between two sites.

![Figure 8 Single data center with multiple borders and redundant hub MC](image)

This model has the following capabilities:

- Distribute traffic across multiple border routers on a single (DMVPN) network in order to utilize all WAN and router capacity. Convergence across networks should only occur when all channels fail or when they reach their maximum bandwidth limits.

- If the current channel to a remote site fails, converge to an alternate channel on the same (DMVPN1) network. If both channels fail, converge over to the alternate (DMVPN2) network.

- Add a redundant Hub MC using Anycast IP and a secondary loopback interface with the same IP address and a /31 network mask. This HA concept works with all of the IWAN design models and it can be used with any standalone master controller, such as a transit master controller at a second data center or a standalone branch MC at a large remote site. Configure a second MC with the same base configuration as the first one and make a few minor changes to allow it to take over when the first MC goes offline. The two MCs must be kept in sync manually, but the failover occurs automatically within a few minutes depending on the size of your IWAN implementation.

**IWAN Transit Site Design Model**

This advanced design builds on previous design models with data center redundancy. The multi-data center or the transit site support feature enables organizations to scale their network infrastructure and load-balance the traffic when required. The multi-data center support enables multiple POP sites to be connected with the remote sites in an enterprise network. For example, in a use case scenario, an organization with two data centers and a...
single remote site, the remote site can communicate with the data centers through the next-hops (border routers) located at the hub and transit sites. If one border router is down, then the remote site can still communicate through the other border routers. To differentiate the traffic from different POP sites, a pop-id is configured by location and a path-id is configured on the interface of the hub and transit site border routers. The remote site router determines the inbound traffic based on the pop-id and path-id of the border routers.

The transit site design models are as follows:

- Multiple data centers with different prefixes
- Multiple data centers with shared prefixes

This guide documents multiple IWAN advanced deployment designs, and they are based on various combinations of data centers and advertised prefixes for specific requirements of service levels and redundancy.

**Multiple Data Centers with Different Prefixes**

In the following illustration, the two data centers are connected to all of the remote sites. You can use the data centers in active/active mode and use separate prefixes for each data center. To differentiate the traffic originating from the data centers, a pop-id is assigned to the MC at a data center. The valid range for a pop-id is from 1 to 62. By default, a pop-id of 0 is assigned to the hub MC.

![Multiple data centers with different prefixes](image)

This model has the following characteristics:

- Two or more transit sites which advertise different prefixes.
- The data centers may be collocated with the transit sites.
- The data centers are reachable across the WAN core for each transit site.
- Multiple border routers per DMVPN network may be required for crypto and bandwidth horizontal scaling.
Multiple Data Centers with Shared Prefixes

In the following illustration, two data centers are connected to the remote sites. However, in this scenario both the data centers are active and load-balance the traffic. If one data center is down, then traffic is routed through the other data center. The IWAN hub site and transit site advertise the same set of prefixes.

![Multiple data centers with shared prefixes](image)

This model has the following characteristics:

- Two or more transit sites advertise a shared set of prefixes.
- The data centers may not be collocated with the transit sites.
- The data centers are reachable across the WAN core for each transit site.
- Remote site can access any data center across either hub border router. Data centers can reach any remote site across multiple transit site border routers.
- Multiple border routers per DMVPN network may be required for crypto and bandwidth horizontal scaling.
IWAN Dual Hybrid with PLR Design Model

This advanced design adds multiple WAN transports to any of the previous design models. The multiple transport design model is not limited to two MPLS, two Internet and one PLR transport, but this specific design will be used to discuss the underlying principles. The same concepts can be applied to other multiple transport designs.

![WAN aggregation: IWAN dual hybrid with PLR design model](image)

This model has the following characteristics:

- Uses two MPLS carriers
- Uses two Internet carriers
- Uses an additional Internet carrier as a PLR
- Scales to 2000 remote sites

The PLR feature provides the ability to designate a transport such that when the primary and fallback transports become unavailable or are out of bandwidth, traffic is routed over the path of last resort. This feature is used for metered links where data is charged on a usage basis and the path is only used when no other transports are available.

In all design models, the DMVPN hub routers connect to the Internet indirectly through a firewall DMZ interface contained within the Internet edge. For details about the connection to the Internet, see the [Firewall and IPS Technology Design Guide](#). The Internet hub routers are connected into the firewall DMZ interface, rather than connected directly with Internet service-provider routers. A firewall connection is typically not used when the hub router connects to a MPLS carrier.
IWAN REMOTE-SITE DESIGN

This guide documents multiple WAN remote-site designs, and they are based on various combinations of WAN transports mapped to the site specific requirements for service levels and redundancy.

**Figure 12**  IWAN remote-site design options
The remote-site designs include single or dual WAN edge routers. The remote-site routers are DMVPN spokes to the primary site hubs.

Most remote sites are designed with a single router WAN edge; however, certain remote-site types require a dual router WAN design. Dual router candidate sites include regional office or remote campus locations with large user populations or sites with business critical needs that justify additional redundancy to remove single points of failure.

The overall WAN design methodology is based on a primary WAN-aggregation site design that can accommodate all of the remote-site types that map to the various link combinations listed in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Model</th>
<th>WAN remote-site routers</th>
<th>WAN transports</th>
<th>Primary transport</th>
<th>Secondary transport(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Internet</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Preferred Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Internet</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Preferred Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Hybrid</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Up to three</td>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Any available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Hybrid</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Up to five</td>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Any available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This design guide also includes information for adding an LTE fallback DMVPN for a single-router remote site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Model</th>
<th>WAN remote-site routers</th>
<th>WAN transports</th>
<th>Primary transport</th>
<th>Secondary transport</th>
<th>PLR transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual w/ fallback</td>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>4G LTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual Internet</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual w/ fallback</td>
<td>Preferred Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>4G LTE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The modular nature of the IWAN network design enables you to create design elements that can be replicated throughout the network.

The WAN-aggregation designs and all of the WAN remote-site designs are standard building blocks in the overall design. Replication of the individual building blocks provides an easy way to scale the network and allows for a consistent deployment method.

**Remote-site LAN**

The primary role of the WAN is to interconnect primary site and remote-site LANs. The LAN discussion within this design is limited to how the WAN-aggregation site LAN connects to the WAN-aggregation devices and how the remote-site LANs connect to the remote-site WAN devices. Specific details regarding the LAN components of the design are covered in the [Campus Wired LAN Technology Design Guide](#).
At remote sites, the LAN topology depends on the number of connected users and physical geography of the site. Large sites may require the use of a distribution layer to support multiple access layer switches. Other sites may only require an access layer switch directly connected to the WAN remote-site routers. The variants are shown in the following table.

### Table 3  Remote-site LAN topology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WAN remote-site routers</th>
<th>WAN transports</th>
<th>LAN topology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Access only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distribution/Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Access only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distribution/Access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For consistency and modularity, all WAN remote sites use the same VLAN assignment scheme, which is shown in the following table. This design uses a convention that is relevant to any location that has a single access switch and this model can also be easily scaled to additional access closets through the addition of a distribution layer.

### Table 4  Remote-site VLAN assignment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VLAN</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Layer 2 access</th>
<th>Layer 3 distribution/ access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 64</td>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 69</td>
<td>Voice 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 99</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 50</td>
<td>Router Link (1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 54</td>
<td>Router Link (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remote-site Layer 2 Access**

WAN remote sites that do not require additional distribution layer routing devices are considered to be flat or from a LAN perspective they are considered un-routed Layer 2 sites. All Layer 3 services are provided by the attached WAN routers. The access switches, through the use of multiple VLANs, can support services such as data and voice. The design shown in the following figure illustrates the standardized VLAN assignment scheme. The benefits of this design are clear: all of the access switches can be configured identically, regardless of the number of sites in this configuration.

Access switches and their configuration are not included in this guide. The [Campus Wired LAN Technology Design Guide](#) provides configuration details on the various access switching platforms.

IP subnets are assigned on a per-VLAN basis. This design only allocates subnets with a 255.255.255.0 netmask for the access layer, even if less than 254 IP addresses are required. (This model can be adjusted as necessary to other IP address schemes.) The connection between the router and the access switch must be configured for 802.1Q VLAN trunking with sub-interfaces on the router that map to the respective VLANs on the switch. The various router sub-interfaces act as the IP default gateways for each of the IP subnet and VLAN combinations.
A similar LAN design can be extended to a dual-router edge as shown in the following figure. This design change introduces some additional complexity. The first requirement is to run a routing protocol. You need to configure enhanced interior gateway routing protocol (EIGRP) or open shortest path first (OSPF) between the routers.

Because there are now two routers per subnet, you must implement a first-hop redundancy protocol (FHRP). For this design, Cisco selected hot standby router protocol (HSRP) as the FHRP. HSRP is designed to allow for transparent failover of the first-hop IP router. HSRP provides high network availability by providing first-hop routing redundancy for IP hosts configured with a default gateway IP address. HSRP is used in a group of routers for selecting an active router and a standby router. When there are multiple routers on a LAN, the active router forwards the packets; the standby router is the router that takes over when the active router fails or when preset conditions are met.

Enhanced object tracking (EOT) provides a consistent methodology for various router and switching features to conditionally modify their operation based on information objects available within other processes. The objects that can be tracked include interface line protocol, IP route reachability, and IP SLA reachability, as well as several others.
To improve convergence times after a primary WAN failure, HSRP has the capability to monitor the line-protocol status of the DMVPN tunnel interface. This capability allows for a router to give up its HSRP Active role if its DMVPN hub becomes unresponsive, and that provides additional network resiliency.

HSRP is configured to be active on the router with the preferred WAN transport. EOT of the primary DMVPN tunnel is implemented in conjunction with HSRP so that in the case of WAN transport failure, the standby HSRP router associated with the lower priority (alternate) WAN transport becomes the active HSRP router. If multiple WAN transports are available on the primary router, like in the case of a Dual Hybrid design, EOT tracks all of them using the track list boolean or command. The track list feature allows HSRP to remain on the primary router as long as at least one WAN tunnel interface is still operational.

The dual router designs also warrant an additional component that is required for proper routing in certain scenarios. In these cases, a traffic flow from a remote-site host might be sent to a destination reachable via the alternate WAN transport (for example, a dual DMVPN remote site communicating with a DMVPN2-only remote site). The primary WAN transport router then forwards the traffic out the same data interface to send it to the alternate WAN transport router, which then forwards the traffic to the proper destination. This is referred to as hairpinning.

The appropriate method to avoid sending the traffic out the same interface is to introduce an additional link between the routers and designate the link as a transit network (Vlan 99). There are no hosts connected to the transit network, and it is only used for router-router communication. The routing protocol runs between router sub-interfaces assigned to the transit network. No additional router interfaces are required with this design modification because the 802.1Q VLAN trunk configuration can easily accommodate an additional sub-interface.

Remote-site Distribution and Access Layer

Large remote sites may require a LAN environment similar to that of a small campus LAN that includes a distribution layer and access layer. This topology works well with either a single or dual router WAN edge. To implement this design, the routers should connect via EtherChannel links to the distribution switch. These EtherChannel links are configured as 802.1Q VLAN trunks, to support both a routed point-to-point link to allow EIGRP routing with the distribution switch, and in the dual router design, to provide a transit network for direct communication between the WAN routers.

Figure 15  IWAN single router remote-site: Connection to distribution layer

![Diagram](image-url)
The distribution switch handles all access layer routing, with VLANs trunked to access switches. No HSRP is required when the design includes a distribution layer. A full distribution and access layer design is shown in the following figure.
IP MULTICAST

IP Multicast allows a single IP data stream to be replicated by the infrastructure (routers and switches) and sent from a single source to multiple receivers. IP Multicast is much more efficient than multiple individual unicast streams or a broadcast stream that would propagate everywhere. IP telephony music on hold (MOH) and IP video broadcast streaming are two examples of IP Multicast applications.

To receive a particular IP Multicast data stream, end hosts must join a multicast group by sending an Internet group management protocol (IGMP) message to their local multicast router. In a traditional IP Multicast design, the local router consults another router in the network acting as a rendezvous point (RP). An RP maps the receivers to active sources so the end hosts can join their streams.

The RP is a control-plane operation that should be placed in the core of the network or close to the IP Multicast sources on a pair of Layer 3 switches or routers. IP Multicast routing begins at the distribution layer if the access layer is Layer 2 and provides connectivity to the IP Multicast RP. In designs without a core layer, the distribution layer performs the RP function.

This design is fully enabled for a single global scope deployment of IP Multicast. The design uses an Anycast RP implementation strategy. This strategy provides load sharing and redundancy in protocol-independent multicast sparse mode (PIM SM) networks. Two RPs share the load for source registration and the ability to act as hot backup routers for each other.

The benefit of this strategy from the WAN perspective is that all IP routing devices within the WAN use an identical configuration referencing the Anycast RPs. IP PIM-SM is enabled on all interfaces including loopbacks, VLANs and sub-interfaces.

QUALITY OF SERVICE

Most users perceive the network as just a transport utility mechanism to shift data from point A to point B as fast as it can. Many sum this up as just “speeds and feeds.” While it is true that IP networks forward traffic on a best-effort basis by default, this type of routing only works well for applications that adapt gracefully to variations in latency, jitter, and loss. However networks are multiservice by design and support real-time voice and video as well as data traffic. The difference is that real-time applications require packets to be delivered within the specified delay, jitter, and loss parameters.

In reality, the network affects all traffic flows and must be aware of end-user requirements and services being offered. Even with unlimited bandwidth, time-sensitive applications are affected by jitter, delay, and packet loss. QoS enables a multitude of user services and applications to coexist on the same network.

Within the architecture, there are connectivity options that provide advanced classification, prioritizing, queuing, and congestion-avoidance as part of the integrated QoS in order to help ensure optimal use of network resources. This functionality allows for the differentiation of applications, ensuring that each has the appropriate share of the network resources to protect the user experience and ensure the consistent operations of business critical applications.

QoS is an essential function of the network infrastructure devices used throughout this architecture. QoS enables a multitude of user services and applications, including real-time voice, high-quality video, and delay-sensitive data to coexist on the same network. In order for the network to provide predictable, measurable, and sometimes guaranteed services, it must manage bandwidth, delay, jitter, and loss parameters.

There are twelve common service classes that are grouped together based on interface speed, available queues, and device capabilities. The treatment of the twelve classes can be adjusted according to the policies of your organization. Cisco recommends marking your traffic in a granular manner to make it easier to make the appropriate queuing decisions at different places in the network. The goal of this design is to allow you to enable voice, video,
critical data applications, bulk data applications and management traffic on the network, either during the initial deployment or later, with minimal system impact and engineering effort.

The twelve mappings in the following table are applied throughout this design by using an eight-class queuing model in the enterprise and a six-class, five-class, or four-class model in the service provider network.

**Figure 18  QoS service 12-class mappings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Class</th>
<th>Per-Hop Behavior</th>
<th>Queuing &amp; Dropping</th>
<th>12-Class</th>
<th>8-Class for IWAN Router</th>
<th>6-Class for Tunnel</th>
<th>5-Class for Tunnel</th>
<th>4-Class for Tunnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internetwork Control</td>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>BR Queue</td>
<td>Net-Ctrl</td>
<td>NET-CTRL</td>
<td>CCR</td>
<td>CCR</td>
<td>CCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoIP Telephony</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>Priority Queue (PQ)</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>VOICE</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>EF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia Conferencing</td>
<td>AF4</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Interactive-Video</td>
<td>INTERACTIVE-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF41</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-Time Interactive</td>
<td>CS4</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>INTERACTIVE-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF41</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast Video</td>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Broadcast-Video</td>
<td>STREAMING-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia Streaming</td>
<td>AF3</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Streaming-Video</td>
<td>STREAMING-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling</td>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>BR Queue</td>
<td>Call-Signaling</td>
<td>CALL-SIGNALING</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ops / Admin / Mgmt</td>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Net-Mgmt</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transactional Data</td>
<td>AF2</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Transactional-Data</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Data</td>
<td>AF1</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Bulk-Data</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Effort</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>BR Queue + RED</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>DEFAULT</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scavenger</td>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>Min BR Queue</td>
<td>Scavenger</td>
<td>SCAVENGER</td>
<td>AF11</td>
<td>AF11</td>
<td>AF11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Per-Tunnel QoS for DMVPN**

The Per-Tunnel QoS for DMVPN feature allows the configuration of a QoS policy on a DMVPN hub on a per-tunnel (spoke) basis. This feature allows you to apply a QoS policy on a tunnel instance (per-endpoint or per-spoke basis) in the egress direction for DMVPN hub-to-spoke tunnels. The QoS policy on a tunnel instance allows you to shape the tunnel traffic to individual spokes (parent policy) and to differentiate between traffic classes within the tunnel for appropriate treatment (child policy).

With simplified configurations, the hub site is prevented from sending more traffic than any single remote-site can handle. This ensures high bandwidth hub sites do not overrun remote-sites with lower bandwidth allocations.
IWAN Best Practices

The next several sections cover best practices from the IWAN perspective.

ROUTING PRINCIPLES

It is a good practice to manipulate the routing protocols so that traffic flows across the preferred transport. Influencing the routing table ensures that when PfR is disabled, traffic will follow the Cisco Express Forwarding table derived from the Routing Information Base (RIB) and forward traffic to the DMVPN over the preferred tunnel.

PfRv3 always checks for a parent route of any destination prefix before creating a channel or controlling a traffic class. PfR selects next hops based on the following order of lookup:

- NHRP shortcut route (branch only)
- If not, check in the order of BGP, EIGRP, Static and RIB
- If at any point, an NHRP short cut route appears, PfRv3 would pick that up and relinquish using the parent route from one of the routing protocols.

It is essential to make sure all destination prefixes are reachable over all available paths so PfR can create the corresponding channels and control the traffic classes. Remember, PfR will check within the BGP or EIGRP topology table.

The design has the following IP routing goals:

- Provide optimal routing connectivity from primary WAN-aggregation sites to all remote locations
- Isolate WAN routing topology changes from other portions of the network
- Provide a solid underlying IP routed topology in order to support the Intelligent Path Control provided by Cisco PfR
- Provide site-site remote routing via the primary WAN-aggregation site (hub-and-spoke model)
- Permit optimal direct site-site remote routing (spoke-to-spoke model)
- Support IP Multicast sourced from the primary WAN-aggregation site

At the WAN remote sites, there is no local Internet access for web browsing or cloud services. This model is referred to as a centralized Internet model. It is worth noting that sites with Internet/DMVPN could potentially provide local Internet capability; however, for this design, only encrypted traffic to other DMVPN sites is permitted to use the Internet link. In the centralized Internet model, a default route is advertised to the WAN remote sites in addition to the internal routes from the data center and campus.

The network must tolerate single failure conditions including the failure of any single WAN transport link or any single network device at the primary WAN-aggregation site.
Cisco uses EIGRP as the primary routing protocol for IWAN because EIGRP is easy to configure, does not require a large amount of planning, has flexible summarization and filtering, and can scale to large networks. As networks grow, the number of IP prefixes or routes in the routing tables grows as well. You should program IP summarization on links where logical boundaries exist, like distribution layer links to the wide area or to a core. By performing IP summarization, you can reduce the amount of bandwidth, processor, and memory necessary to carry large route tables, as well as reduce convergence time associated with a link failure.

With the advances in EIGRP, this guide uses EIGRP named mode. The use of named mode EIGRP allows related EIGRP configurations to be centrally located in the configuration. Named mode also supports wide metrics for larger multi-gigabit links. For added security, EIGRP neighbor authentication has been implemented to prevent unauthorized neighbor associations.

**Tech Tip**

With EIGRP named mode configuration, EIGRP Wide Metric support is on by default and backward compatible with existing routes.

This design uses a single EIGRP autonomous system (AS 400) for the LAN, WAN, and all of the remote sites. Every remote site is dual connected for resiliency. However, due to the multiple paths that exist within this topology, you must avoid routing loops and prevent remote sites from becoming transit sites if WAN failures occur. Interface delay is configured to make sure the WAN interfaces are always preferred and MPLS is the preferred WAN path in the hybrid design models.

There are several key recommendations for each type of site.

**Hub and transit sites:**

- **Disable split-horizon**—If split-horizon is enabled, the hub router will not advertise the networks learned from one spoke router to another spoke router.

- **Advertise site summary, enterprise summary and a default route to remote sites**—Scalability of the EIGRP routing domain is dependent upon summarization. Summarizing multiple routes to an aggregate reduces the size of the routing table and creates an EIGRP query boundary. EIGRP summarizes network prefixes on an interface basis.

- **Summary metrics**—Summary-metrics are used to reduce computational load on the DMVPN hub and transit border routers.

- **Ingress filter on tunnels**—An inbound prefix-list filter is applied on the DMVPN hub routers to prevent a DMVPN router from learning a default or summary route from a peer hub router which prevents sub-optimal or routing loops.

- **EIGRP hello and hold timers**—Increase the EIGRP hello interval to 20 seconds and the hold timer to 60 seconds. Increasing the timers allows for the DMVPN hub routers to handles a large number of remote sites. The hello and hold timers should match on the DMVPN hub and remote site routers.
Remote sites:

- **EIGRP stub-site**—The stub-site functionality builds on the EIGRP stub feature, which allows a router to advertise itself as a stub to peers on specified WAN interfaces. It also allows the router to exchange routes learned on LAN interface.

- **EIGRP hello and hold timers**—Increase the EIGRP hello interval to 20 seconds and the hold timer to 60 seconds. Increasing the timers allows for the DMVPN hub routers to handle a large number of remote sites. The hello and hold timers should match on the DMVPN hub and remote site routers.

The EIGRP stub-site feature provides the following key benefits:

- EIGRP neighbors on WAN links do not send EIGRP queries to the remote site when a route goes Active.

- Additional routers can be placed further in the site and still receive routes from the WAN through the stub-site router.

- Prevents the stub-site from becoming a transit router.

- Removes the need for a complex routing leaking with route tags and filtering.

### BGP and OSPF Routing Overview

BGP can be deployed in the WAN overlay as an alternative routing protocol to EIGRP. BGP is a popular choice for network operators that require a rich set of features to customize path selection in complex topologies and large-scale deployments. Although BGP is traditionally positioned at the service provider WAN edge, recent enhancements such as BGP dynamic neighbors make it a viable choice for IWAN deployment. BGP dynamic neighbor support simplifies the configuration and allows peering to a group of remote neighbors that are defined by a range of IP addresses. Each range can be configured as a subnet IP address, which allows spokes to initiate the BGP peering without having to preconfigure remote peers on the route-reflectors.

This design uses a single iBGP routing process for the WAN overlay. OSPF is used on the LAN interfaces at the hub, transit, and remote sites.

There are several key recommendations for each type of site.

#### Hub and transit sites:

- **BGP route-reflectors**—DMVPN hub and transit routers function as BGP route-reflectors for the spokes.

- **BGP peering**—No BGP peering is configured between the route-reflectors.

- **BGP dynamic neighbors**—BGP dynamic neighbor support is configured on the route-reflectors.

- **Route advertisement**—Site specific prefixes, enterprise summary prefix, and the default route are advertised to the remote sites.

- **Local preference**—Set local preference for all prefixes based on the WAN transport hierarchy.

- **Route redistribution**—Redistribute BGP into OSPF with a defined metric cost to attract traffic from the central sites to the remote sites across MPLS.

- **BGP hello and hold timers**—Increase the BGP hello interval to 20 seconds and the hold timer to 60 seconds. Increasing the timers allows for the DMVPN hub routers to handle a large number of remote sites. The hello and hold timers should match on the DMVPN hub and remote site routers.

- **OSPF Area 0**—Configure an OSPF Area 0 for the LAN interfaces.
Remote sites:

- **BGP Peering**—Peering to hub and transit border routers for each DMVPN cloud.
- **Local preference**—Preferred path is chosen from the highest local preference.
- **Route redistribution**—Perform mutual redistribution of OSPF and BGP routes.
- **Route tagging**—Set a local route tag to identify routes in OSPF that were redistributed from BGP.
- **OSPF Area 0**—Configure an OSPF Area 0 for the LAN interfaces of dual-router remote sites or remotes sites with distribution layer switches.

When BGP is used, PfRv3 is able to check in the BGP database and will use the best path as computed by BGP. This path needs to be via an external WAN interface. If that is not the case, then PfRv3 will choose in sequence the path with biggest weight, then biggest local preference, and finally the path with the smallest IP address.

**PATH OPTIMIZATION (PERFORMANCE ROUTING)**

The network must protect business critical applications from fluctuating WAN performance by using the best-performing path based on the application policy. The design must also intelligently load-balance traffic in order to reduce an organization’s overall communications expenses by allowing them to use a less expensive Internet transport without negatively affecting their mission critical traffic.

Remote sites classified as single-router, dual-link must be able tolerate the loss of either WAN transport. Remote sites classified as dual-router, dual-link must be able to tolerate the loss of either an edge router or a WAN transport. Remote sites with three to five transports must be able to tolerate the loss of multiple edge routers and WAN transports.

**LAN ACCESS**

All remote sites support both wired and wireless LAN access.

**ENCRYPTION**

All remote-site traffic must be encrypted when transported over public IP networks such as the Internet. This design also encrypts traffic over private IP networks such as MPLS and 4G LTE. It is recommended that you enable encryption on DMVPN over all paths in order to ensure consistency in data privacy and operations.

The use of encryption should not limit the performance or availability of a remote-site application and should be transparent to end users.
The encrypted payloads are then encapsulated with a new header (or multiple headers) and transmitted across the network. The additional headers introduce a certain amount of overhead to the overall packet length. The following table highlights the packet overhead associated with encryption based on the additional headers required for various combinations of IPsec and GRE.

**Table 5  Overhead associated with IPsec and GRE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encapsulation</th>
<th>Overhead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRE only</td>
<td>24 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPsec (Transport Mode)</td>
<td>36 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPsec (Tunnel Mode)</td>
<td>52 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPsec (Transport Mode) + GRE</td>
<td>60 bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPsec (Tunnel Mode) + GRE</td>
<td>76 bytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is a maximum transmission unit (MTU) parameter for every link in an IP network and typically the MTU is 1500 bytes. IP packets larger than 1500 bytes must be fragmented when transmitted across these links. Fragmentation is not desirable and can impact network performance. To avoid fragmentation, the original packet size plus overhead must be 1500 bytes or less, which means that the sender must reduce the original packet size. To account for other potential overhead, Cisco recommends that you configure tunnel interfaces with a 1400 byte MTU.

There are dynamic methods for network clients to discover the path MTU, which allow the clients to reduce the size of packets they transmit. However, in many cases, these dynamic methods are unsuccessful, typically because security devices filter the necessary discovery traffic. This failure to discover the path MTU drives the need for a method that can reliably inform network clients of the appropriate packet size. The solution is to implement the `ip tcp adjust mss [size]` command on the WAN routers, which influences the TCP maximum segment size (MSS) value reported by end hosts.

The MSS defines the maximum amount of data that a host is willing to accept in a single TCP/IP datagram. The MSS value is sent as a TCP header option only in TCP SYN segments. Each side of a TCP connection reports its MSS value to the other side. The sending host is required to limit the size of data in a single TCP segment to a value less than or equal to the MSS reported by the receiving host.

The IP and TCP headers combine for 40 bytes of overhead, so the typical MSS value reported by network clients will be 1460. This design includes encrypted tunnels with a 1400 byte MTU, so the MSS used by endpoints should be configured to be 1360 to minimize any impact of fragmentation. In this solution, you implement the `ip tcp adjust mss 1360` command on all WAN facing router interfaces.

IPsec security association (SA) anti-replay is a security service in which the decrypting router can reject duplicate packets and protect itself against replay attacks. Cisco QoS gives priority to high-priority packets. This prioritization may cause some low-priority packets to be discarded. Cisco IOS provides anti-replay protection against an attacker duplicating encrypted packets. By expanding the IPsec anti-replay window you can allow the router to keep track of more than the default of 64 packets. In this solution you implement the `crypto ipsec security-association replay window-size 1024` command in order to increase the window size on all DMVPN routers to 1024 packets.

IPsec uses a key exchange between the routers in order to encrypt/decrypt the traffic. You can exchange these keys by using a simple pre-sharing algorithm or a certificate authority. You can deploy IOS-CA in order to enroll, store, authenticate and distribute the keys to routers that request them. If a certificate authority is chosen, the certificates and keys can be distributed using the simple certificate enrollment protocol (SCEP) for automated certificate retrieval by the routers.
DMVPN

All use cases in the Cisco IWAN design have at least two links. The design requires one hub border router per link. Multiple DMVPN hub routers are supported for scalability, but the current version of PfR supports only a single hub border router per link. As an example, the Dual Hybrid with PLR design requires five hub border routers at a minimum.

The DMVPN routers use tunnel interfaces that support IP unicast as well as IP multicast and broadcast traffic, including the use of dynamic routing protocols. After the initial spoke-to-hub tunnel is active, it is possible to create dynamic spoke-to-spoke tunnels when site-to-site IP traffic flows require it.

The information required by a spoke to set up dynamic spoke-to-spoke tunnels and properly resolve other spokes is provided through the next-hop resolution protocol (NHRP) within DMVPN. Spoke-to-spoke tunnels allow for the optimal routing of traffic between locations without indirect forwarding through the hub. Idle spoke-to-spoke tunnels gracefully time out after a period of inactivity.

It is common for a firewall to be placed between the DMVPN hub routers and the Internet. In many cases, the firewall may provide NAT from an internal RFC-1918 IP address (such as 192.168.146.10) to an Internet-routable IP address. The DMVPN solution works well with NAT but requires the use of IPsec transport mode to support a DMVPN hub behind static NAT.

**Tech Tip**

If the firewall is owned by the service provider, they will have to perform the same procedures and steps to allow DMVPN traffic into their DMZ, as described in the [IWAN Deployment Guide](#).

The IWAN DMVPN design requires the use of Internet Key Management Protocol version 2 (IKEv2) keep alive intervals for dead peer detection (DPD), which is essential to facilitate fast re-convergence and for spoke registration to function properly in case a DMVPN hub is restarted. This design enables a spoke to detect that an encryption peer has failed and that the IKEv2 session with that peer is stale, which then allows a new one to be created. Without DPD, the IPsec security association (SA) must time out (the default is 60 minutes) and when the router cannot renegotiate a new SA, a new IKEv2 session is initiated. The IWAN design with the recommended IKEv2 and DPD timers reduces this convergence time to 40 seconds.
IWAN HUB BORDER ROUTERS

The most critical devices are the WAN routers that are responsible for reliable IP forwarding and QoS. The amount of bandwidth required at each site determines which model of router to use. The IWAN designs require at least two IWAN border routers to support a pair of DMVPN clouds. This pair of routers is required in order to provide resilient connections to all of the remote sites.

One of the key benefits of the DMVPN solution is that the spoke routers can use dynamically assigned addresses, often using DHCP from an Internet provider. The spoke routers can leverage an Internet default route for reachability to the hub routers and also other spoke addresses.

The IWAN hub border routers have static IP addresses assigned to their public-facing interfaces. This configuration is essential for proper operation as each of the spoke routers have these IP addresses embedded in their configurations.

IWAN REMOTE SITE ROUTERS

The WAN remote-site routing platforms remain unspecified because the specification is tied closely to the bandwidth required for a location and the potential requirement for the use of service module slots. The ability to implement this solution with a variety of potential router choices is one of the benefits of a modular design approach.

There are many factors to consider in the selection of the WAN remote-site routers. Among those, and key to the initial deployment, is the ability to process the expected amount and type of traffic. You also need to make sure that you have enough interfaces, enough module slots, and a properly licensed Cisco IOS Software image that supports the set of features that is required by the topology.

The single-router dual-link design provides a good level of redundancy for the remote site. This design can tolerate the failure of a link, which is automatically detected by the router and traffic is rerouted to the remaining path.

Figure 19  DMVPN dual-cloud
The dual-router, dual-link design continues to improve upon the level of high availability for the site. This design can tolerate the loss of a router and traffic is rerouted to the remaining router.

The dual-router, five-link design provides the highest amount of redundancy and resiliency. This design can tolerate the loss of a router and all but one of the remaining paths.

Under normal conditions for all designs, the routing protocols are tuned to ensure the proper path selection and PfR takes care of channel selection for critical traffic.

![Figure 20 IWAN remote-site designs](image)

The full list of IWAN supported routers for this version of the CVD can be found here:


**VRFS AND FRONT DOOR VRF**

Virtual route forwarding (VRF) is a technology used in computer networks that allows multiple instances of a routing table to co-exist within the same router at the same time. Because the routing instances are independent, you can use the same or overlapping IP Addresses without conflicting with each other. Often in an L3 VPN context, VRF is also defined as VPN Route Forwarding.
IWAN uses VRF to provide the following benefits:

- Default route separation between user traffic in the overlay network and the DMVPN tunnel establishment in the underlay network
- Control and data plane separation between inside and outside networks for security purposes

You implement VRF in a network device by having distinct routing tables, also known as forwarding information bases, one per VRF.

The simplest form of VRF implementation is VRF Lite. In this implementation, each router within the network participates in the virtual routing environment on a peer-by-peer basis. VRF Lite configurations are only locally significant.

The IP routing policy used in this design guide for the IWAN remote sites does not allow direct Internet access for web browsing or other uses; any remote-site hosts that access the Internet must do so via the Internet edge at the primary site. The end hosts require a default route for all external and Internet destinations; however, this route must force traffic across the primary or secondary WAN transport DMVPN tunnels. DMVPN also has a default route requirement to establish tunnels between sites. The default route for the user traffic over DMVPN conflicts with the default route needed for DMVPN in order to establish tunnels between sites.

Reader Tip

For information about deploying Direct Internet Access and Guest Wireless access to the Internet, see IWAN Security for Remote Site Direct Internet Access and Guest Wireless Technology Design Guide (ISR4K).

The multiple default route conundrum is solved through the use of VRFs on the router. A router can have multiple routing tables that are kept logically separate on the device. This separation is similar to a virtual router from the forwarding plane perspective. The global VRF corresponds to the traditional routing table, and additional VRFs are given names and route descriptors (RDs). Certain features on the router are VRF-aware, including static routing and routing protocols, interface forwarding and IPSec tunneling. This set of features is used in conjunction with DMVPN to permit the use of multiple default routes for both the DMVPN hub routers and DMVPN spoke routers. This design uses global VRF for user traffic routing and a VRF for each WAN physical interface for DMVPN tunnel establishment. This combination of features is referred to as FVRF, because the VRF faces the WAN and the router internal LAN and DMVPN tunnel interfaces all remain in the global VRF.
In the IWAN design models, the DMVPN hub routers must have sufficient IP-routing information in order to provide end-to-end reachability. Maintaining this routing information typically requires a routing protocol, and EIGRP or BGP are recommended for this purpose.

At the WAN-aggregation site, you must connect the DMVPN hub routers to the WAN and configure default routing to build the DMVPN tunnels. The MPLS hub uses default routing to the MPLS provider edge router, and the Internet hubs use default routing to the DMZ-VPN that provides Internet connectivity. The DMVPN hub routers use FVRF and have a static default route with the IWAN-TRANSPORT VRF pointing to their respective next hops.
Figure 22  IWAN hybrid design model: FVRF default routing

![Diagram of IWAN hybrid design model]

- Default Route (vrf IWAN-TRANSPORT-1)
- Default Route (vrf IWAN-TRANSPORT-2)

Figure 23  IWAN dual Internet design model: FVRF default routing

![Diagram of IWAN dual Internet design model]

- Default Route (vrf IWAN-TRANSPORT-3)
- Default Route (vrf IWAN-TRANSPORT-4)
Summary for IWAN

Cisco enterprise IWAN architectures are proven solutions that scale to all remote-site sizes over MPLS, Internet and 4G/LTE transports. With rich application and security services on a single platform, IT can scale to hundreds of sites. Also, customers can maintain granular control, from the remote site, to the data center, and out to the public cloud. The traffic is dynamically routed based on application, endpoint, and network conditions in order to help ensure the best user experience. IT can confidently roll out critical business services such as consolidated data centers, SaaS, IP telephony, and video without overwhelming the WAN.

For information about deploying the solution, see the companion IWAN Deployment Guide.
Traditional WAN Introduction

The enterprise WAN architecture interconnects remote-site LANs to a primary site LAN or data center by using a variety of WAN technologies, including MPLS, Layer 2 WAN, and virtual private network (VPN) WAN over the Internet. CVD enterprise WAN is designed to support multiple resiliency options depending on the business requirements for the remote sites.

The WAN design methodology provides network access for remote sites that have wired and wireless users, ranging from small remote sites with a few connected users to large sites with up to 5,000 connected users.

Cisco tests network and user devices connected together to simulate an end-to-end deployment for your organization. This solution-level approach reduces the risk of interoperability problems between different technologies and components, allowing the customer to select the parts needed to solve a business problem. Where appropriate, the architecture provides multiple options based on network scalability or service-level requirements.

Cisco designed, built, and tested this reference network architecture with the following goals:

- **Ease of deployment**—Organizations can deploy the solution consistently across all products included in the design. The reference configurations used in the deployment represent a best-practice methodology that enables a fast and resilient deployment.

- **Flexibility and scalability**—The architecture is modular so that organizations can select what they need when they need it, and it is designed to grow with the organization without requiring costly forklift upgrades.

- **Resiliency and security**—The design removes network borders in order to increase usability while protecting user traffic. It also keeps the network operational even during attacks or unplanned outages.

- **Ease of management**—Deployment and configuration guidance includes configuration examples of management by a network management system or by unique network element managers.

- **Advanced technology ready**—The reference network foundation allows easier implementation of advanced technologies such as collaboration.

**BUSINESS USE CASES FOR TRADITIONAL WAN**

For remote-site users to effectively support the business, organizations require that the WAN provide services with sufficient performance and reliability. Because most of the applications and services that the remote-site worker uses are centrally located or hosted in the cloud, the WAN design must provide a common resource access experience to the workforce regardless of location. The following use cases are relevant for many organizations.
Use Case: Site-to-Site Communications Using MPLS Services

Organizations deploy MPLS WAN in order to connect remote locations over private cloud Layer 3 VPN-based provider managed MPLS services.

This design enables the following network capabilities:

- IP any-to-any WAN connectivity for up to 500 remote sites and one or two central hub site locations
- Deployment of single or dual MPLS service providers for resiliency using single or dual routers in remote site locations
- Static routing or dynamic border gateway protocol (BGP) peering with the MPLS service provider for site-to-site communications
- Support for Layer 2 or Layer 3 distribution switching designs
- Support for IP multicast using multicast VPN (mVPN) service provider-based offering
- QoS for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications, and management traffic on the network

Use Case: Site-to-Site Communications Using Layer 2 WAN Services

Organizations deploy Layer 2 WAN in order to connect remote office locations over private cloud Layer 2 services. These WAN services can include provider-managed Ethernet over MPLS (EoMPLS) and virtual private LAN service (VPLS).

This design enables the following network capabilities:

- WAN connectivity for up to 100 remote site locations
- Layer 2 adjacency between customer edge (CE) routers supporting 802.1Q and other Layer 2 protocols
- Direct CE-to-CE router peering with an Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP), such as Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (EIGRP), transparent to the MPLS service provider
- Simplified IP multicast deployments, transparent to the MPLS service provider
- QoS for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications, and management traffic

Use Case: Site-to-Site Connectivity Using 4G LTE Wireless Services

Organizations deploy 4G LTE WAN in order to connect remote sites over 4G LTE wireless services as a primary or secondary WAN solution with secure communications between sites.

This design enables the following network capabilities:

- 4G LTE wireless service for primary remote site WAN connectivity
- 4G LTE encryption services using Cisco Dynamic Multipoint Virtual Private Network (DMVPN)
- 4G LTE wireless service as a backup to the primary WAN service
- QoS for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications, and management traffic
Traditional WAN Introduction

Use Case: Secure Site-to-Site WAN Communications Using MPLS Services

Organizations require encryption in order to secure communications between sites over private cloud services such as provider-managed MPLS.

This Group Encrypted Transport VPN (GET VPN) design enables the following network capabilities:

- Any-to-any secure encrypted communications well suited for MPLS-based WAN services, for up to 500 locations
- Encrypted traffic that follows the native routing path directly between remote sites, rather than following a tunnel overlay model
- Encryption services, with single or dual MPLS service providers, that support resilient designs using single or dual routers in remote-site locations
- Support for IP Multicast, allowing multicast replication after encryption within the service provider network
- Compatibility with WAN transport solutions that do not perform network address translation (NAT) after encryption
- QoS for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications and management traffic

Use Case: Secure Site-to-Site WAN Communications Using Internet Services

Organizations deploy Internet WAN in order to connect remote sites over public cloud Internet services with secure communications between sites.

This DMVPN design enables the following network capabilities:

- Secure, encrypted communications for Internet-based WAN solutions for up to 500 locations by using a hub-and-spoke tunnel overlay configuration
- Deployment as a secondary connectivity solution for resiliency, providing backup to private MPLS WAN service by using single or dual routers in remote locations
- Support for IP Multicast, replication performed on core, hub-site routers
- Compatibility with public cloud solutions where NAT is implemented
- Best-effort quality of service for WAN traffic such as voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications, and management traffic
Traditional WAN Architecture

Many businesses have remote locations that depend entirely on applications hosted in a centralized data center. If a WAN outage occurs, these remote locations are essentially offline and they are unable to process transactions or support other types of business services. It is critical to provide reliable connectivity to these locations.

The demand for WAN bandwidth continues to increase, and there has been a recent trend towards using Ethernet as the WAN access media in order to deliver higher bandwidth. Even with the increased amount of bandwidth available to connect remote sites today, there are performance-sensitive applications affected by jitter, delay, and packet loss. It is the function of the network foundation to provide an efficient, fault-tolerant transport that can differentiate application traffic to make intelligent load-sharing decisions when the network is temporarily congested. Regardless of the chosen WAN technology, the network must provide intelligent prioritization and queuing of traffic along the most efficient route possible.

WAN-AGGREGATION DESIGN

The CVD enterprise WAN design does not take a “one size fits all” approach. Cisco developed a set of WAN design models based on scaling requirements and other considerations including resiliency, the need for future growth, regional availability of WAN services, and ease of operation. Cisco designed and tested the complete CVD enterprise WAN to accommodate the use of multiple concurrent design models but also to support the usage of individual design models.

The approach to platform selection is straightforward. You determine which models of router to use by the amount of bandwidth required at the WAN-aggregation site. You determine whether to implement a single router or dual router by the number of carriers and WAN transports that are required in order to provide connections to all of the remote sites.

The available design models can be grouped together in a number of ways to provide connectivity to the required numbers and types of remote sites. All design models provide a high level of performance and services. To illustrate the wide range of scale that CVD enterprise WAN provides you can compare two combinations of design models.

The following figures show a CVD enterprise WAN implemented using the lowest and highest scaling design models.

Figure 24  CVD WAN (lowest scale)—MPLS Static + DMVPN Backup Shared
WAN REMOTE-SITE DESIGN

This guide documents multiple WAN remote-site designs, and they are based on various combinations of WAN transports mapped to the site-specific requirements for service levels and redundancy.

Most remote sites are designed with a single-router WAN edge; however, certain remote-site types require a dual-router WAN edge. The three basic remote site types (in order of increasing resiliency) are: single-router, single-link (non-redundant); single-router, dual-link (redundant links); and dual-router, dual-link (redundant links and routers). Dual-router candidate sites include regional office or remote campus locations with large user populations, or sites with business critical needs that justify additional redundancy to remove single points of failure. Similarly, the size of the remote-site LAN depends on factors such as number of connected users and the physical layout of the remote site.
The actual WAN remote-site routing platforms remain unspecified because the specification is tied closely to the bandwidth required for a location and the potential requirement for the use of service module slots. The ability to implement this solution with a variety of potential router choices is one of the benefits of a modular design approach.

There are many factors to consider in the selection of the WAN remote-site routers. Among those, and key to the initial deployment, is the ability to process the expected amount and type of traffic. You also need to make sure that you have enough interfaces, enough module slots, and a properly licensed Cisco IOS Software image that supports the set of features that is required by the topology.

**Remote-site LAN**

The primary role of the WAN is to interconnect primary site and remote-site LANs. The LAN discussion within this design is limited to how the WAN-aggregation site LAN connects to the WAN-aggregation devices and how the remote-site LANs connect to the remote-site WAN devices. Specific details regarding the LAN components of the design are covered in the Campus Wired LAN Technology Design Guide.
At remote sites, the LAN topology depends on the number of connected users and physical geography of the site. Large sites may require the use of a distribution layer in order to support multiple access layer switches. Other sites may only require an access layer switch directly connected to the WAN remote-site routers. The variants are shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WAN remote-site routers</th>
<th>WAN transports</th>
<th>LAN topology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Access only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distribution/Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Access only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distribution/Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Access only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Distribution/Access</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For consistency and modularity, all WAN remote sites use the same VLAN assignment scheme, which is shown in the following table. This guide uses a convention that is relevant to any location that has a single access switch, and this model can also be easily scaled to additional access closets by adding a distribution layer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VLAN</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Layer 2 access</th>
<th>Layer 3 distribution/access</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 64</td>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 69</td>
<td>Voice 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 99</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 50</td>
<td>Router Link (1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLAN 54</td>
<td>Router Link (2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Yes (dual router only)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remote-site Layer 2 Access

WAN remote sites that do not require additional LAN distribution layer routing devices are considered to be flat or, from a LAN perspective, they are considered un-routed Layer 2 sites. The attached WAN routers provide all Layer 3 services. The access switches, through the use of multiple VLANs, can support services such as data and voice. The design shown in the figure below illustrates the standardized VLAN assignment scheme. The benefits of this design are clear: you can configure all of the access switches identically, regardless of the number of sites in this configuration.

Access switches and their configuration are not included in this guide. The Campus Wired LAN Technology Design Guide provides configuration details on the various access switching platforms.
This design allocates only subnets with a 255.255.255.0 netmask for the access layer, even if less than 254 IP addresses are required. (This model can be adjusted as necessary to other IP address schemes.) You must configure the connection between the router and the access switch for 802.1Q VLAN trunking with sub-interfaces on the router that map to the respective VLANs on the switch. The various router sub-interfaces act as the IP default gateways for each of the IP subnet and VLAN combinations.

A similar LAN design can be extended to a dual-router edge as shown in the following figure. This design change introduces some additional complexity. The first requirement is to run a routing protocol. You need to configure EIGRP between the routers.

Because there are now two routers per subnet, you must implement a FHRP. For this design, Cisco selected HSRP as the FHRP. HSRP is designed to allow for transparent failover of the first-hop IP router. HSRP provides high network availability by providing first-hop routing redundancy for IP hosts configured with a default gateway IP address. HSRP is used in a group of routers for selecting an active router and a standby router. When there are multiple routers on a LAN, the active router is chosen for routing packets; the standby router takes over when the active router fails or when preset conditions are met.
Enhanced object tracking (EOT) provides a consistent methodology for various router and switching features to conditionally modify their operation based on information objects available within other processes. The objects that can be tracked include interface line protocol, IP route reachability, and IP SLA reachability as well as several others.

To improve convergence times after a primary WAN failure, HSRP has the capability to monitor the reachability of a next-hop IP neighbor through the use of EOT and IP SLA. This combination allows for a router to give up its HSRP active role if its upstream neighbor becomes unresponsive. This provides additional network resiliency.

**Figure 28** Remote-site with flat Layer 2 LAN (dual router)

**Figure 29** Remote-site IP SLA probe to verify upstream device reachability
HSRP is configured to be active on the router with the highest priority WAN transport. EOT of IP SLA probes is implemented in conjunction with HSRP so that in the case of WAN transport failure, the standby HSRP router associated with the lower priority (alternate) WAN transport becomes the active HSRP router. The IP SLA probes are sent from the remote-site primary WAN router to the upstream neighbor (MPLS PE, Layer 2 WAN CE, or DMVPN hub) in order to ensure reachability of the next hop router. This is more effective than simply monitoring the status of the WAN interface.

The dual-router designs also warrant an additional transit network component that is required for proper routing in certain scenarios. In these cases, a traffic flow from a remote-site host might be sent to a destination reachable via the alternate WAN transport (for example, a dual MPLS remote site communicating with a MPLS-B-only remote site). The primary WAN transport router then forwards the traffic back out the same data interface on which it was received from the LAN to send it to the alternate WAN transport router, which then forwards the traffic to the proper destination. This is referred to as hairpinning.

The appropriate method to avoid sending the traffic out the same interface is to introduce an additional link between the routers and designate the link as a transit network (VLAN 99). There are no hosts connected to the transit network, and it is only used for router-router communication. The routing protocol runs between router sub-interfaces assigned to the transit network. No additional router interfaces are required with this design modification because the 802.1Q VLAN trunk configuration can easily accommodate an additional sub-interface.

**Remote-site Distribution and Access Layer**

Large remote sites may require a LAN environment similar to that of a small campus LAN that includes a distribution layer and access layer. This topology works well with either a single- or dual-router WAN edge. To implement this design, the routers should connect via EtherChannel links to the distribution switch. These EtherChannel links are configured as 802.1Q VLAN trunks, to support both a routed point-to-point link to allow EIGRP routing with the distribution switch, and in the dual-router design, to provide a transit network for direct communication between the WAN routers.
The LAN distribution switch handles access layer routing, with VLANs trunked to access switches. No HSRP is required when the design includes a distribution layer. A full distribution and access layer design is shown in the following figure.

**Figure 31**  Remote-site distribution and access layer (dual router)

The [Campus Wired LAN Technology Design Guide](#) provides details on how to deploy wired LANs within your organization.
WAN Remote-site Summary

The general topology used for the various remote sites is essentially the same regardless of the chosen WAN transport. The differences are apparent once you begin the deployment and configuration of the WAN routers.

The WAN remote-site designs are standard building blocks in the overall design. Replication of the individual building blocks provides an easy way to scale the network and allows for a consistent deployment method. The following table summarizes the WAN transport options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WAN-aggregation design model (primary)</th>
<th>WAN-aggregation design model (secondary)</th>
<th>WAN remote-site routers</th>
<th>WAN transports</th>
<th>Primary transport</th>
<th>Secondary transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPLS Static</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>MPLS VPN</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS Dynamic</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>MetroE/VPLS</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual MPLS</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN Only</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Internet 4G LTE</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual MPLS</td>
<td>Dual MPLS</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
<td>MPLS VPN B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN Only</td>
<td>DMVPN Backup Dedicated</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN</td>
<td>Internet 4G LTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual DMVPN</td>
<td>Dual DMVPN</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dual MPLS</td>
<td>Dual MPLS</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
<td>MPLS VPN B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN Only</td>
<td>DMVPN Backup Dedicated</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN</td>
<td>Internet 4G LTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Simple</td>
<td>Dual DMVPN</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Trunked</td>
<td>Dual DMVPN</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
<td>MPLS VPN B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Simple</td>
<td>DMVPN Backup Dedicated</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MPLS VPN</td>
<td>Internet 4G LTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Trunked</td>
<td>DMVPN Backup Dedicated</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>MetroE/VPLS</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2 Trunked</td>
<td>Dual DMVPN</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Dual</td>
<td>Internet</td>
<td>Internet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remote-site Wireless LAN

With the adoption of smartphones and tablets, the need to stay connected while mobile has evolved from a nice-to-have to a must-have. The use of wireless technologies improves effectiveness and efficiency by allowing you to stay connected, regardless of the location or platform being used. As an integrated part of the conventional wired network design, wireless technology allows connectivity while you move about throughout the day.

Wireless technologies have the capabilities to turn cafeterias, home offices, classrooms, and our vehicles into meeting places with the same effectiveness as being connected to the wired network. In fact, the wireless network has in many cases become more strategic in our lives than wired networks have been. Given reliance on mobility, network access for mobile devices, including guest wireless access, is essential.

Cisco Unified Wireless networks support two major design models: Local mode and Cisco FlexConnect.

Local-Mode Design Model

In a local-mode design model, the wireless LAN controller and access points are co-located. The wireless LAN controller can be connected to a data center services block or to a LAN distribution layer at the site. Wireless traffic between wireless LAN clients and the LAN is tunneled by using the control and provisioning of wireless access points (CAPWAP) protocol between the controller and the access point.
A local-mode architecture uses the controller as a single point for managing Layer 2 security and wireless network policies. It also enables you to apply services to wired and wireless traffic in a consistent and coordinated fashion.

If any of the following are true at a site, you should deploy a controller locally at the site:

- The site comprises a data center.
- The site has a LAN distribution layer.
- The site has more than 50 access points.
- The site has a WAN latency greater than 100 ms round-trip to a proposed shared controller.

For resiliency, this design uses two wireless LAN controllers for the campus, although you can add more wireless LAN controllers in order to provide additional capacity and resiliency to this design.

**Cisco FlexConnect Design Model**

Cisco FlexConnect is a wireless solution for most remote-site deployments. It enables organizations to configure and control remote-site access points from the headquarters through the WAN, without deploying a controller in each remote site.

The Cisco FlexConnect access point can switch client data traffic out its local wired interface and can use 802.1Q trunking in order to segment multiple WLANs. The trunk’s native VLAN is used for all CAPWAP communication between the access point and the controller. This mode of operation is referred to as FlexConnect local switching and is the mode of operation described in this guide.
Cisco FlexConnect can also tunnel traffic back to the centralized controller, which is specifically used for wireless guest access.

If all of the following are true at a site, deploy Cisco FlexConnect at the site:

- The site LAN is a single access-layer switch or switch stack.
- The site has fewer than 50 access points.
- The site has a WAN latency less than 100 ms round-trip to the shared controller.

You can use a shared controller pair or a dedicated controller pair in order to deploy Cisco FlexConnect. In a shared controller model, both local-mode and FlexConnect configured access points share a common controller. Shared controller architecture requires that the wireless LAN controller support both Flex-Connect local switching and local mode.

The [Campus Wireless LAN Technology Design Guide](#) provides details on how to deploy wireless LANs within your organization.
**Traditional WAN Architecture**

**IP MULTICAST**

IP Multicast allows a single IP data stream to be replicated by the infrastructure (routers and switches) and sent from a single source to multiple receivers. IP Multicast is much more efficient than multiple individual unicast streams or a broadcast stream that would propagate everywhere. IP telephony music on hold (MOH) and IP video broadcast streaming are two examples of IP Multicast applications.

To receive a particular IP Multicast data stream, end hosts must join a multicast group by sending an Internet group management protocol (IGMP) message to their local multicast router. In a traditional IP Multicast design, the local router consults another router in the network acting as a rendezvous point (RP). An RP maps the receivers to active sources so the end hosts can join their streams.

The RP is a control-plane operation that should be placed in the core of the network or close to the IP Multicast sources on a pair of Layer 3 switches or routers. IP Multicast routing begins at the distribution layer if the access layer is Layer 2 and provides connectivity to the IP Multicast RP. In designs without a core layer, the distribution layer performs the RP function.

This design is fully enabled for a single global scope deployment of IP Multicast. The design uses an Anycast RP implementation strategy. This strategy provides load sharing and redundancy in protocol-independent multicast sparse mode (PIM SM) networks. Two RPs share the load for source registration and the ability to act as hot backup routers for each other.

The benefit of this strategy from the WAN perspective is that all IP routing devices within the WAN use an identical configuration referencing the Anycast RPs. IP PIM-SM is enabled on all interfaces including loopbacks, VLANs and sub-interfaces.

**QUALITY OF SERVICE**

Most users perceive the network as a transport utility mechanism to shift data from point A to point B as fast as it can. Many sum this up as just "speeds and feeds." While it is true that IP networks forward traffic on a best-effort basis by default, this type of routing works well only for applications that adapt gracefully to variations in latency, jitter, and loss. However, networks are multiservice by design and support real-time voice and video as well as data traffic. The difference is that real-time applications require packets to be delivered within specified loss, delay, and jitter parameters.

In reality, the network affects all traffic flows and must be aware of end-user requirements and services being offered. Even with unlimited bandwidth, time-sensitive applications are affected by jitter, delay, and packet loss. QoS enables a multitude of user services and applications to coexist on the same network.

Within the architecture, there are wired and wireless connectivity options that provide advanced classification, prioritizing, queuing, and congestion mechanisms as part of the integrated QoS to help ensure optimal use of network resources. This functionality allows for the differentiation of applications, ensuring that each has the appropriate share of the network resources to protect the user experience and ensure the consistent operations of business critical applications.

QoS is an essential function of the network infrastructure devices used throughout this architecture. QoS enables a multitude of user services and applications, including real-time voice, high-quality video, and delay-sensitive data to coexist on the same network. In order for the network to provide predictable, measurable, and sometimes guaranteed services, it must manage bandwidth, delay, jitter, and loss parameters. Even if you do not require QoS for your current applications, you can use QoS for management and network protocols in order to protect the network functionality and manageability under normal and congested traffic conditions.
There are twelve common service classes that are grouped together based on interface speed, available queues, and device capabilities. The treatment of the twelve classes can be adjusted according to the policies of your organization. Cisco recommends marking your traffic in a granular manner in order to make it easier to make the appropriate queuing decisions at different places in the network. The goal of this design is to allow you to enable voice, video, critical data applications, bulk data applications, and management traffic on the network, either during the initial deployment or later, with minimal system impact and engineering effort.

The twelve mappings in the following table are applied throughout this design by using an eight-class model in the enterprise and a six-class model in the service provider network.

**Figure 34  QoS service 12-class mappings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Class</th>
<th>Per-Hop Behavior</th>
<th>Queueing &amp; Dropping</th>
<th>12-Class</th>
<th>8-Class for IWAN Router</th>
<th>6-Class for Tunnel</th>
<th>5-Class for Tunnel</th>
<th>4-Class for Tunnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internetwork Control</td>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>BR Queue</td>
<td>Net-Ctrl</td>
<td>NET-CTRL</td>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>CS6</td>
<td>CS6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoIP Telephony</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>Priority Queue (PQ)</td>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>VOICE</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>EF</td>
<td>EF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia Conferencing</td>
<td>AF4</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Interactive-Video</td>
<td>INTERACTIVE-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF41</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real-Time Interactive</td>
<td>CS4</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Real-Time</td>
<td>INTERACTIVE-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF41</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast Video</td>
<td>CS5</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Broadcast-Video</td>
<td>STREAMING-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimedia Streaming</td>
<td>AF3</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Streaming-Video</td>
<td>STREAMING-VIDEO</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
<td>AF31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling</td>
<td>CS3</td>
<td>BR Queue</td>
<td>Call-Signaling</td>
<td>CALL-SIGNALING</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ops / Admin / Mgmt</td>
<td>CS2</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Net-Mgmt</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transactional Data</td>
<td>AF2</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Transactional-data</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Data</td>
<td>AF1</td>
<td>BR Queue + DSCP WRED</td>
<td>Bulk-Data</td>
<td>CRITICAL-DATA</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
<td>AF21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Effort</td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>BR Queue + RED</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>DEFAULT</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Default</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scavenger</td>
<td>CS1</td>
<td>Min BR Queue</td>
<td>Scavenger</td>
<td>SCAVENGER</td>
<td>AF11</td>
<td>AF11</td>
<td>Default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Traditional WAN Best Practices

The enterprise WAN design uses a variety of WAN transport technologies for primary links and backup links:

- MPLS WAN using Layer 3 VPN
- Layer 2 WAN using VPLS or Metro Ethernet
- Internet with VPN WAN
- Internet 4G LTE with VPN WAN
- Dynamic Multipoint VPN
- GET VPN

This section covers best practices from the traditional WAN perspective.

MPLS WAN USING LAYER 3 VPN

Cisco IOS software MPLS enables organizations and service providers to build next-generation intelligent networks that deliver a wide variety of advanced, value-added services like QoS and SLAs over a single infrastructure. You can integrate this economical solution seamlessly over any existing infrastructure, such as IP, Frame Relay, ATM, or Ethernet.

MPLS Layer 3 VPNs use a peer-to-peer VPN model that leverages BGP to distribute VPN-related information. This peer-to-peer model allows a customer to outsource routing information to service providers, which can result in significant cost savings and a reduction in operational complexity for organizations.

The MPLS WAN-aggregation (hub) designs include one or two WAN edge routers. When WAN edge routers are referred to in the context of the connection to a carrier or service provider, they are typically known as CE routers. All of the WAN edge routers connect into a LAN distribution layer.

The WAN transport options include MPLS VPN used as a primary or secondary transport. Each transport connects to a dedicated CE router. You use a similar method of connection and configuration for both.

This design documents three MPLS WAN-aggregation design models that are statically or dynamically routed with either single or dual MPLS carriers. The primary differences between the various designs are the usage of routing protocols and the overall scale of the architecture. For each design model, you can select several router platforms with differing levels of performance and resiliency capabilities.

Each of the design models uses LAN connections into either a collapsed core/distribution layer or a dedicated WAN distribution layer. There are no functional differences between these two methods from the WAN-aggregation perspective.

In all of the WAN-aggregation designs, tasks such as IP route summarization are performed at the distribution layer. There are other various devices supporting WAN edge services such as application optimization and encryption, and these devices should also connect into the distribution layer.
Each MPLS carrier terminates to a dedicated WAN router with a primary goal of eliminating any single points of failure. The various design models are contrasted in the table below.

**Table 9  MPLS WAN-aggregation design models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MPLS Static</th>
<th>MPLS Dynamic</th>
<th>Dual MPLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote sites</td>
<td>Up to 50</td>
<td>Up to 100</td>
<td>Up to 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN links</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge routers</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN routing protocol</td>
<td>None (static)</td>
<td>BGP (dynamic)</td>
<td>BGP (dynamic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 1</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MPLS VPN B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 35  MPLS Static and MPLS Dynamic design models (single MPLS carrier)**
**Figure 36**  *Dual MPLS design model*

### MPLS WAN Connected Remote Sites

The three variants of a MPLS connected remote site are shown in the figure below. The non-redundant variant is the only one that is compatible with the single carrier design models (MPLS Static or MPLS Dynamic). The redundant variants are compatible with the Dual MPLS design model. If you have implemented the Dual MPLS design model, you may also connect a non-redundant remote site to either carrier.

**Figure 37**  *MPLS WAN remote-site designs*

The [MPLS WAN Technology Design Guide](#) provides details on how to deploy MPLS VPN as a primary WAN transport or as a backup WAN transport (to an alternate MPLS VPN primary).
LAYER 2 WAN USING VPLS OR METRO ETHERNET

Ethernet has traditionally been a LAN technology primarily due to the distance limitations of the available media and the requirement for dedicated copper or fiber links.

Layer 2 WAN transports are now widely available from service providers and are able to extend various Layer 2 traffic types (Frame Relay, Point-to-Point protocol, ATM, or Ethernet) over a WAN. The most common implementations of Layer 2 WAN are used to provide Ethernet over the WAN using either a point-to-point or point-to-multipoint service.

Service providers implement these Ethernet services using a variety of methods. MPLS networks support both EoMPLS and VPLS. The providers use other network technologies, such as Ethernet switches in various topologies, to provide Ethernet Layer 2 WAN services. These offerings are also referred to as Carrier Ethernet or Metro Ethernet, and they are typically limited to a relatively small geographic area.

Layer 2 WAN supports a subscriber model in which the service provider is transparent and the organization implements all Layer 3 routing. This allows for flexibility in the WAN design and interconnection of the remote sites.

Point-to-point service allows for the interconnection of two LANs. Point-to-multipoint transparent LAN service allows for the interconnection of more than two LANs. Other service variants include simple and trunked demarcations. By using trunk mode, you can interconnect LANs using 802.1Q VLAN tagging in order to provide transport of multiple VLANs on a single access trunk. Service providers often refer to a trunked service as Q-in-Q tunneling.

Layer 2 WAN transport is transparent to the traffic type; therefore IP multicast traffic is supported with no additional configuration required by the service provider.

The Layer 2 WAN-aggregation (hub) design uses a single WAN edge router. When a WAN edge router is referred to in the context of the connection to a carrier or service provider, it is typically known as a CE router. The WAN edge router connects into a distribution layer.

This design documents two WAN-aggregation design models that use either simple demarcation or trunked demarcation. The primary difference between the Simple Demarcation and Trunked Demarcation design models is the number of broadcast domains or VLANs that are used to communicate with a subset of remote-site routers.

Each of the design models uses LAN connections into either a collapsed core/distribution layer or a dedicated WAN distribution layer. There are no functional differences between these two methods from the WAN-aggregation perspective.

In the WAN-aggregation design, tasks such as IP route summarization are performed at the distribution layer. There are other various devices supporting WAN edge services, such as application optimization and encryption, and these devices should also connect into the distribution layer.
The Layer 2 WAN service terminates to a dedicated WAN router. The various design models are shown in the following table.

### Table 10  Layer 2 WAN-aggregation design models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Layer 2 Simple Demarcation</th>
<th>Layer 2 Trunked Demarcation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote sites</td>
<td>Up to 25</td>
<td>Up to 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN links</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge routers</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN routing protocol</td>
<td>EIGRP</td>
<td>EIGRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 1 type</td>
<td>MetroE/VPLS</td>
<td>MetroE/VPLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 1 demarcation</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Trunked</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 38  Layer 2 Simple Demarcation and Trunked Demarcation design models**
Layer 2 WAN Connected Remote Sites
The Layer 2 WAN connected remote site is shown in the following figure. This design is compatible with both the Simple Demarcation and Trunked Demarcation design models.

INTERNET WITH VPN WAN
The Internet is essentially a large-scale public WAN composed of multiple interconnected service providers. The Internet can provide reliable high-performance connectivity between various locations, although it lacks any explicit guarantees for these connections. Despite its “best effort” nature, the Internet is a reasonable choice for a primary transport when it is not feasible to connect with another transport option. Additional resiliency for primary WAN transports such as MPLS or Layer 2 WAN is provided by using the Internet as an alternate transport option.

Internet connections are typically included in discussions relevant to the Internet edge, specifically for the primary site. Remote-site routers also commonly have Internet connections, but do not provide the same breadth of services when using the Internet. For security and other reasons, Internet access at remote sites is often routed through the primary site.

The multiple variants of a VPN WAN connected remote site are shown in the figure below. The Internet WAN non-redundant variant is compatible with the DMVPN Only and Dual DMVPN design models. Both of the Internet WAN redundant link variants are compatible with the Dual DMVPN design model.

The MPLS + Internet WAN single router (redundant links) variant is compatible with either the DMVPN Backup Dedicated or DMVPN Backup Shared design models. The MPLS + Internet WAN dual router (redundant links and routers) and both Layer 2 WAN + Internet WAN variants are compatible with the DMVPN Backup Dedicated design model.
Traditional WAN Best Practices

Figure 40  VPN WAN remote-site designs

The [VPN WAN Technology Design Guide](#) provides details on how to use the Internet for VPN site-to-site connections as both a primary WAN transport and as a backup WAN transport (to a primary WAN transport).
INTERNET 4G LTE WITH VPN WAN

Cellular connectivity enables the use of Internet WAN, without requiring any wired infrastructure or circuits and provides a flexible, high-speed, high-bandwidth option. There are several 4G LTE technologies that are supported.

The multiple variants of a 4G LTE VPN WAN connected remote site are shown in the figure below. The Internet WAN non-redundant variant is compatible with the DMVPN only and Dual DMVPN design models. The MPLS + Internet WAN single router (redundant links) variant is compatible with either the DMVPN Backup Dedicated or DMVPN Backup Shared design models. The MPLS + Internet WAN dual router (redundant links and routers) is compatible with the DMVPN Backup Dedicated design model.

The VPN Remote Site over 4G LTE Design Guide provides details on how to use a cellular connection to the Internet for VPN site-to-site connections as both a primary WAN transport and as a backup WAN transport (to a primary WAN transport).
**DYNAMIC MULTIPOINT VPN**

DMVPN is the recommended solution for building scalable site-to-site VPNs that support a variety of applications. DMVPN is widely used for encrypted site-to-site connectivity over public or private IP networks and can be implemented on all WAN routers used in the CVD enterprise WAN design.

DMVPN was selected for the encryption solution for the Internet transport because it supports on-demand full mesh connectivity with a simple hub-and-spoke configuration and a zero-touch hub deployment model for adding remote sites. DMVPN also supports spoke routers that have dynamically assigned IP addresses.

DMVPN makes use of mGRE tunnels in order to interconnect the hub to all of the spoke routers. These mGRE tunnels are also sometimes referred to as **DMVPN clouds** in this context. This technology combination supports unicast, multicast, and broadcast IP, including the ability to run routing protocols within the tunnels.

The VPN WAN-aggregation (hub) designs include either one or two WAN edge routers. WAN edge routers that terminate VPN traffic are referred to as **VPN hub routers**. All of the WAN edge routers connect into a LAN distribution layer.

The WAN transport options include traditional Internet access used as either a primary transport or as a secondary transport when the primary transport is MPLS VPN, Layer 2 WAN, or Internet. Single or dual carrier Internet access links connect to a VPN hub router or VPN hub router pair, respectively. A similar method of connection and configuration is used for both.

The DMVPN Only design model uses only Internet VPN as transport. The Dual DMVPN design model uses Internet VPN as a primary and secondary transport, using dual Internet service providers. Additionally, the DMVPN Backup design models use Internet VPN as a backup to an existing primary MPLS WAN or Layer 2 WAN transport.

The primary difference between the DMVPN backup designs is whether the VPN hub is implemented on an existing MPLS CE router, which is referred to as DMVPN Backup Shared, or the VPN hub is implemented on a dedicated VPN hub router, which is referred to as DMVPN Backup Dedicated.

Each of the design models uses LAN connections into either a collapsed core/distribution layer or a dedicated WAN distribution layer. From the WAN-aggregation perspective, there are no functional differences between these two methods.

In all of the WAN-aggregation design models, tasks such as IP route summarization are performed at the distribution layer. There are other various devices supporting WAN edge services such as application optimization, and these devices should also connect into the distribution layer.

**Table 11  WAN-aggregation design models using only VPN transport**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DMVPN Only</th>
<th>Dual DMVPN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote sites</td>
<td>Up to 100</td>
<td>Up to 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAN links</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN hubs</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 1</td>
<td>Internet VPN</td>
<td>Internet VPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Internet VPN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 42  DMVPN Only design model

Figure 43  Dual DMVPN design model
In both the DMVPN Only and Dual DMVPN design models, the DMVPN hub routers connect to the Internet indirectly through a firewall DMZ interface contained within the Internet edge. For details about the connection to the Internet, see the Firewall and IPS Design Guide. The VPN hub routers are connected into the firewall DMZ interface, rather than connected directly with Internet service-provider routers.

The DMVPN Backup Shared design model is intended for use by an organization that has already adopted the MPLS Static design model and is not using BGP dynamic routing with their MPLS VPN carrier.

Table 12  WAN-aggregation design models using VPN transport as backup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DMVPN Backup Shared</th>
<th>DMVPN Backup Dedicated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remote sites</td>
<td>Up to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan links</td>
<td>Dual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMVPN hubs</td>
<td>Single (shared with MPLS CE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 1 (existing)</td>
<td>MPLS VPN A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 2 (existing)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport 3 (existing)</td>
<td>MPLS VPN B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backup transport</td>
<td>Internet VPN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the DMVPN Backup Shared design model, the DMVPN hub router is also the MPLS CE router, which is already connected to the distribution or core layer. The connection to the Internet has already been established through a firewall interface contained within the Internet edge. A DMZ is not required for this design model. For details about the connection to the Internet, see the Firewall and IPS Design Guide.
The variants of the DMVPN Backup Dedicated design are shown in the following figures.

**Figure 45  DMVPN Backup Dedicated design model for MPLS WAN**

**Figure 46  DMVPN Backup Dedicated design model for Layer 2 WAN primary**
In the DMVPN Backup Dedicated design models, the DMVPN hub routers connect to the Internet indirectly through a firewall DMZ interface contained within the Internet edge. For details about the connection to the Internet, see the **Firewall and IPS Design Guide**. The VPN hub routers are connected into the firewall DMZ interface, rather than connected directly with Internet service-provider routers.

Note that the DMVPN Only and Dual DMVPN design models can also provide DMVPN backup when paired with MPLS WAN and Layer 2 WAN design models.

### GET VPN

Cisco GET VPN is a tunnel-less VPN technology based on the IETF standard (RFC 3547). The technology provides end-to-end data encryption for network infrastructure while maintaining any-to-any communication between sites. You can deploy it across various WAN core transports, such as MPLS or Layer 2 networks. GET VPN leverages the GDOI protocol in order to create a secure communication domain among network devices.

GET VPN is recommended for organizations who want centralized policy management and group keys. GET VPN is also recommended for Dynamic Site to Site VPNs. To think of it another way, GET VPN makes a network private rather than creating a Virtual Private Network. (that is, it secures an already existing network, much like regular crypto maps.)

The benefits of GET VPN include the following:

- Highly scalable VPN technology that provides an any-to-any meshed topology without the need for complex peer-to-peer security associations
- Low latency and jitter communication with direct traffic between sites
- Centralized encryption policy and membership management with the key servers (KSs)
- Simplified network design due to leveraging of native routing infrastructure (no overlay routing protocol needed)
- Efficient bandwidth utilization by supporting multicast-enabled network core
- Network intelligence such as native routing path, network topology, and QoS
**GET VPN Components**

A *group member* (GM) is a router running Cisco IOS that encrypts and decrypts the data traffic. A GM registers with a key server to obtain the encryption keys necessary for encrypting and decrypting traffic streams traversing through the device. The GM also performs routing between secure and unsecure domains. Lastly, the GM participates in multicast communications that have been established in the network.

A *key server* (KS) is the brain of the GET VPN operation. It is responsible for authenticating GMs. The KS manages security policies that determine which traffic should be encrypted. The KS distributes session keys for traffic encryption and the security policies through GDOI protocol to GMs. There are two types of keys that the KS sends out to GMs: the key encryption key (KEK) and the traffic encryption key (TEK). The KS uses the KEK to secure communication between the KS and GMs. GMs use the TEK for bulk data encryption of traffic traversing between GMs.
The KS sends out rekey messages as needed. The rekey message contains new encryption policy and encryption keys to use when the old IPSec Security Association (SA) expires. The rekey message is sent in advance of the SA expiration, which helps ensure that the new keys are available to all GMs.

The KS is an essential component in the GET VPN deployment. If the KS becomes unavailable, new GMs will not be able to register and participate in the secure communication, and the existing GMs will not receive new rekeys and updated security policies when the existing ones expire.

To help ensure a highly available and resilient GET VPN network, redundant KSs operate in cooperative mode. Cooperative key servers (COOP KSs) share the GM registration load by jointly managing the GDOI registration of the group. When COOP KSs start up, they go through an election process and the KS with the highest priority assumes the primary role, while the other KSs remain in secondary roles. The primary KS is responsible for creating and redistributing the security policies and keys to GMs, as well as synchronizing the secondary KSs.
The GET VPN Technology Design Guide provides details on how to use GET VPN to encrypt your site-to-site connections over an MPLS or Layer 2 transport.
Summary for Traditional WAN

Cisco Enterprise WAN architectures are proven solutions that scale to all remote-site sizes over any transport. With rich application and security services on a single platform, IT can scale to hundreds of sites. Also, customers can maintain granular control, from the remote site, to the data center, and out to the public cloud. The traffic is dynamically routed based on application, endpoint, and network conditions to help ensure the best user experience. IT can confidently roll out critical business services such as consolidated data centers, SaaS, IP telephony, and video without overwhelming the WAN.

For information about deploying the various WAN topologies, see the Design Zone for Branch WAN.
Appendix A: Changes

This appendix summarizes the changes Cisco made to this guide since its last edition.

• Routing updates
  ◦ Added iBGP in WAN overlay with OSPF on LAN as an option
  ◦ Added EIGRP stub-site and removed remote site tagging
  ◦ Added EIGRP summary metrics
  ◦ Added EIGRP delay parameters on LAN and transit networks

• PfR updates
  ◦ Added load-balance exclusion
  ◦ Added path preference hierarchy
  ◦ Added path of last resort (PLR)

• Multiple WAN transports
  ◦ Added dual hybrid with PLR design model (2 MPLS, 2 INET and 1 INET PLR)
  ◦ Added five hub BRs and five transit BRs with DC Interconnect
  ◦ Added single router remote site with three WAN transports
  ◦ Added dual router remote site with five WAN transports
  ◦ Added EIGRP and BGP/OSPF configurations
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