Troubleshoot Packet Flow in Cisco Catalyst 6500 Series Virtual Switching System 1440

Document ID: 109638

Contents

Introduction
Prerequisites
Requirements
Components Used
Conventions
Background Information
Network Diagram
Understanding Etherchannels on Catalyst 6500 Switches
Determine Load Balancing Algorithm
Determining Egress Interface Standalone Catalyst 6500
Determining Egress Interface VSS
Understanding ECMP on Catalyst 6500 Switches
Determining Load Balancing Algorithm
Determining Egress Interface Standalone Catalyst 6500
Determining Egress Interface VSS
Troubleshooting Scenarios
Scenario 1 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer2 MEC
Scenario 2 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer2 MEC Broken Redundancy
Scenario 3 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer3 MEC
Scenario 4 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer3 MEC Broken Redundancy
Scenario 5 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with ECMP
Scenario 6 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with ECMP Broken Redundancy
Related Information
Introduction

This document provides guidelines to troubleshoot packet flow in a Virtual Switching System (VSS) network. While the example focuses on troubleshooting a network with VSS, the general principles shown can help in any network designed with redundant links.

Prerequisites

Requirements

Cisco recommends that you have knowledge of these topics:

- Understanding Virtual Switching Systems
- Virtual Switching System (VSS) Q&A

Components Used

The information in this document was created from the devices in a specific lab environment. If your network is live, make sure that you understand the potential impact of any command.

Conventions

Refer to Cisco Technical Tips Conventions for more information on document conventions.

Background Information

Refer to the network diagram for a typical network design utilizing VSS. When two Cisco switches are configured for VSS, they appear to the network as a single logical switch. In order to achieve redundancy, each node connected to the virtual switch should include at least one link to each physical chassis. The preferred method to utilize the redundant links is via multi–chassis etherchannel (MEC), but it is also acceptable to use equal–cost multipath (ECMP). MEC is the preferred connection method over ECMP because it can achieve faster unicast and multicast convergence times when one switch fails.

For more information, refer to the *Upstream Link Recovery* section of Cisco Catalyst 6500 Virtual Switching System Deployment Best Practices.

The virtualized nature of VSS creates a need to use new troubleshooting tools to trace the path of a packet in the network. Well known packet–path troubleshooting methods, such as looking at the MAC–address table or the routing table to determine next–hop, are not as useful with VSS networks as they will either return a Port–channel interface or multiple next–hop interfaces. The purpose of this document is to show which Cisco CLI commands available on the Catalyst 6500 platform can be used to gather more useful data about the path of a packet.

Network Diagram

This document uses this network setup:



Understanding Etherchannels on Catalyst 6500 Switches

Determine Load Balancing Algorithm

In all Cisco Catalyst switches, etherchannel links are selected based on a hash of certain fields in the packet headers, such as source and destination MAC, IP, or Layer 4 port number. Because this information is the same for all packets in a particular flow, etherchannel load balancing is sometimes referred to as **flow-based**.

On the Catalyst 6500 switch, the fields are used for this hash can be found with the **show etherchannel load–balance** command.

```
PFC-3B#show etherchannel load-balance
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Configuration:
    src-dst-ip
    mpls label-ip
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Addresses Used Per-Protocol:
Non-IP: Source XOR Destination MAC address
IPv4: Source XOR Destination IP address
IPv6: Source XOR Destination IP address
MPLS: Label or IP
```

Here, it is shown that non–IP traffic such as IPX and Appletalk is hashed based on source and destination MAC address, and IPv4 and IPv6 traffic is hashed based on source and destination IP address. Hashing for MPLS packets is outside the scope of this document. The above settings are the defaults on the Catalyst 6500.

No other load-balance configuration options are available for IPv6 or Non-IP packets. However, other possible load-balance configurations for IPv4 packets are shown here:

- Destination IP
- Destination MAC
- Destination Layer 4 Port
- Mixed Destination IP and Layer 4 Port (PFC-3C only)
- Source and Destination IP
- Source and Destination MAC
- Source and Destination Layer 4 Port
- Mixed Source and Destination IP and Layer 4 Port (PFC-3C only)
- Source IP
- Source MAC
- Source Layer 4 Port
- Mixed Source IP and Layer 4 Port (PFC–3C only)

The etherchannel load-balance configuration can be changed via the **port-channel load-balance** command.

SW1(config)#port-channel	load-balance ?
dst-ip	Dst IP Addr
dst-mac	Dst Mac Addr
dst-mixed-ip-port	Dst IP Addr and TCP/UDP Port
dst-port	Dst TCP/UDP Port
mpls	Load Balancing for MPLS packets
src-dst-ip	Src XOR Dst IP Addr
src-dst-mac	Src XOR Dst Mac Addr
<pre>src-dst-mixed-ip-port</pre>	Src XOR Dst IP Addr and TCP/UDP Port
src-dst-port	Src XOR Dst TCP/UDP Port
src-ip	Src IP Addr
src-mac	Src Mac Addr
src-mixed-ip-port	Src IP Addr and TCP/UDP Port
src-port	Src TCP/UDP Port

It is also important to note that the load–balancing algorithm was changed slightly with the introduction of PFC–3C(XL), which is found on the Supervisor 720–10GE. On the PFC–3C, the hash algorithm always takes VLAN into account in addition to the configured fields for IPv4 and IPv6 packets.

For instance, in the default configuration of **src-dst-ip enhanced** (shown below), the PFC takes source and destination IP as well as VLAN into account in order to calculate the hash value. Note that the VLAN used as the input should be the ingress VLAN of the packet. If the ingress interface is configured as Layer 3, the internal VLAN for that interface must be input as found by the **show vlan internal usage** command.

```
PFC-3C#show etherchannel load-balance
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Configuration:
    src-dst-ip enhanced
    mpls label-ip
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Addresses Used Per-Protocol:
Non-IP: Source XOR Destination MAC address
IPv4: Source XOR Destination IP address
IPv6: Source XOR Destination IP address
MPLS: Label or IP
```

Determining Egress Interface Standalone Catalyst 6500

Once the load balancing algorithm for the system is determined, this CLI can be used to determine the physical interface within an etherchannel selected for a particular packet (available only in version 12.2(33)SXH and later).

```
Router#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 1 ?
```

```
ipIP addressipv6IPv6l4portLayer 4 port numbermacMac addressmixedMixed mode: IP address and Layer 4 port numbermplsMPLS
```

The previous command must be used with care, as it does not verify that the data input matches the data used in the load–balancing algorithm. If either too much or too little information is entered into this CLI, the prompt returns a physical interface. However, the interface returned might not be correct. These are some examples of the command being used properly:

Note: Some of the commands are moved to second lines due to space constraints.

On PFC-3B system with src-dst-ip algorithm:

```
PFC-3B#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel
1 ip 10.1.1.1 10.2.2.2
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Po1
```

On PFC-3C system with src-dst-ip enhanced algorithm:

```
PFC-3C#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel
1 ip 10.1.1.1 vlan 10 10.2.2.2
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Po1
```

On PFC-3C system with src-dst-ip enhanced algorithm and ingress interface is Layer 3:

```
PFC-3C#show vlan internal usage | include Port-channel 2
1013 Port-channel 2
PFC-3C#
PFC-3C#
PFC-3C#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 1
ip 10.1.1.1 vlan 1013 10.2.2.2
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Pol
```

On PFC-3CXL system with src-dst-mixed-ip-port enhanced algorithm:

```
PFC-3CXL#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel
1 mixed 10.1.1.1 1600 10 10.2.2.2 80
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Pol
```

Determining Egress Interface VSS

One very important difference exists between standalone Catalyst 6500 and VSS etherchannel hashing. This difference is that the VSS will always forward traffic to an etherchannel link on the same switch, if one is available. This is in order to minimize congestion on the VSL. This is the case whether or not the bandwidth is equally divided between switches. In other words, if one VSS switch has 4 links active in an etherchannel and the other only has 1, the switch with 1 active link will attempt to forward all local traffic out that single link rather than sending any over the VSL.

Because of this difference, it is necessary to specify the VSS switch number when using the **hash-result** command. If the switch-id is not entered into the hash-result CLI, the VSS assumes switch 1.

On PFC-3C VSS system with src-dst-ip enhanced algorithm:

```
VSS-3C#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel
1 switch 1 ip 10.1.1.1 vlan 10 10.2.2.2
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Pol
```

On PFC-3CXL VSS system with src-dst-mixed-ip-port enhanced algorithm:

VSS-3CXL#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 1 switch 2 mixed 10.1.1.1 1600 10 10.2.2.2 80 Computed RBH: 0x1 Would select Gig3/2 of Pol

Understanding ECMP on Catalyst 6500 Switches

Determining Load Balancing Algorithm

Equal-cost multipath (ECMP) refers to the situation when a router has multiple equal-cost paths to a prefix, and thus load-balances traffic over each path. On the Catalyst 6500, load balancing is flow-based just like with etherchannels and is implemented within MLS CEF.

The Catalyst 6500 gives a few choices for hashing algorithm:

• Default Use source and destination IP address, with unequal weights given to each link to prevent

polarization

- Simple Use source and destination IP address, with equal weight given to each link
- Full Use source and destination IP address and Layer 4 port number, with unequal weights
- Full Simple Use source and destination IP address and Layer 4 port number, with equal weights given to each link

```
VSS(config)#mls ip cef load-sharing ?
full load balancing algorithm to include L4 ports
simple load balancing algorithm recommended for a single-stage CEF router
VSS(config)#mls ip cef load-sharing full ?
simple load balancing algorithm recommended for a single-stage CEF router
<cr>
```

The *simple* keyword and CEF polarization are out of the scope of this document. For more information, refer to Tuning Load Balancing with Cisco Express Forwarding.

Currently, no CLI exists to check the load-sharing algorithm in use. The best way to find out which method is in use is to check the running configuration via the **show running-config** command. If no configuration is present starting with **mls ip cef load-sharing**, the default source and destination unequal weight algorithm is in use.

Determining Egress Interface Standalone Catalyst 6500

On a standalone switch, this command can be used to determine egress interface for ECMP.

```
VSS#show mls cef exact-route ?
A.B.C.D src IP address
vrf Show numeric VPN Routing/Forwarding ID
```

In this next example, equal–cost routes exist to 10.100.4.0/24. This is an example of using the **exact–route** command for two destinations in this subnet.

```
SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.3.1 10.100.4.1
Interface: Gi3/14, Next Hop: 10.100.2.1, Vlan: 1067, Destination Mac: 000b.000b.000b
SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.3.1 10.100.4.2
Interface: Gi3/13, Next Hop: 10.100.1.1, Vlan: 1066, Destination Mac: 000c.000c.000c
```

If the system had been configured for full load-sharing mode, where Layer 4 ports are included in the hash, the command is entered like this:

```
SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.3.1 10.100.4.1
% System is configured in full load-sharing mode. Layer 4 ports needed
SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.3.1 1024 10.100.4.1 80
Interface: Gi3/14, Next Hop: 10.100.2.1, Vlan: 1067, Destination Mac: 000b.000b.000b
SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.3.1 1024 10.100.4.1 81
Interface: Gi3/13, Next Hop: 10.100.1.1, Vlan: 1066, Destination Mac: 000c.000c.000c
```

As seen here, the **exact-route** command has sanity checking built in to prevent invalid interfaces from being returned. If too little information is input, such is where Layer 4 ports are missing when the system is in full mode, an error is seen. If too much information is provided, such as Layer 4 ports in default mode, the

extraneous information is ignored and the correct interface is returned.

Determining Egress Interface VSS

Like in the case of etherchannels, the VSS programs itself to always send attempts to send traffic to ECMP links on the local switch, rather than traversing the VSL. It does this by programming each switch s MLS CEF tables with only the local–switch ECMP adjacencies. Because of this fact, it is necessary to include switch–id in the exact–route CLI in order to get useful output. If switch number is not entered, the VSS gives information pertaining to the active switch.

```
VSS#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.4.1 10.100.3.1 switch 1
Interface: Gil/1/13, Next Hop: 10.100.1.2, Vlan: 1095, Destination Mac: 0013.5fld.32c0
VSS#show mls cef exact-route 10.100.4.1 10.100.3.1 switch 2
Interface: Gi2/1/13, Next Hop: 10.100.2.2, Vlan: 1136, Destination Mac: 0013.5fld.32c0
```

Troubleshooting Scenarios

The purpose of these troubleshooting scenarios is to show how to trace the flow of packets from Host1 to Host2 using the concepts learned previously. Each scenario involves a different network topology or situation.

Scenario 1 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer2 MEC



Topology information:

- Host1 IP/MASK 10.0.1.15/24
- Host1 MAC 0001.0001.0001
- Host1 Default Gateway 10.0.1.1 On Distr-VSS
- Host2 IP 10.0.2.30
- Both SW1 and SW2 are Catalyst 6500 s switches operating at Layer 2 only, with etherchannel trunks facing Distr-VSS
- 1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Because Host2 is in a different VLAN than Host1, as determined by Host1 s subnet mask, the packet must go to the VSS distribution for routing. In order to find the path of the packet between Host1 and the VSS distribution, it is necessary to first determine the MAC address of Host1 s default gateway. On most operating systems, opening a command prompt and issuing **arp a** shows the IP > MAC mapping for the default gateway. When this command was issued on Host1, the MAC returned for 10.0.1.1 was 000a.000a.000a. This MAC can now be looked up in SW1 s MAC–address table.

This output shows that the MAC–address corresponding to Host1 s default gateway is learned via Port–channel1. What this output does not show, however, is which link in the etherchannel is selected for a particular packet. In order to determine this, the etherchannel load–balancing algorithm must first be checked.

```
SWl#show etherchannel load-balance
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Configuration:
    src-dst-ip
    mpls label-ip
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Addresses Used Per-Protocol:
Non-IP: Source XOR Destination MAC address
IPv4: Source XOR Destination IP address
IPv6: Source XOR Destination IP address
MPLS: Label or IP
```

This output shows that the algorithm for IPv4 packets is src-dst-ip. Next, input the relevant flow information into the **hash-result** command.

```
SW1#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel
1 ip 10.1.1.1 10.0.2.30
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Po1
```

Now that the physical egress point is known, the CDP table can show which physical switch in the VSS this maps to.

SW1# show cdp r	neighbor			
Capability Cod	les: R - Router, T - S - Switch, H -	Trans Brid Host, I -	ge, B - Sourc IGMP, r - Rep	e Route Bridge eater, P - Phone
Device ID	Local Intrfce	Holdtme	Capability	Platform Port ID
VSS	Gig 3/2	157	RSI	WS-C6509-EGig 2/1/1
VSS	Gig 3/1	128	R S I	WS-C6509-EGig 1/1/1

2. Trace Path Through VSS Distribution.

First, check the routing table to determine where Host2 resides.

VSS#show ip route 10.0.2.30

```
Routing entry for 10.0.2.0/24
Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
```

```
Routing Descriptor Blocks:

* directly connected, via Vlan20

Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
```

This previous output shows that Host2 is Layer 3 adjacent to the VSS in Vlan20. In order to find the physical device to Host2, look at the ARP table to find its MAC address.

VSS# show	ip arp				
Protocol	Address	Age (min)	Hardware Addr	Туре	Interface
Internet	10.0.2.1	15	0002.0002.0002	ARPA	Vlan20

Next, take Host2 s MAC address from this output, and use it to find the egress interface in the MAC–address table.

Recall from the earlier CDP output that the packets for this flow entered the VSS on Gig2/1/1, which corresponds to switch 2, module 1, port 1. Again, use the hash–result command to determine physical point of exit from the VSS:

Now, use the CDP table to find information about the downstream switch towards Host2.

VSS# show cdp nei					
Capability Codes	: R - Router, T -	Trans Brid	ge, B - Sourc	e Route Br	idge
	S - Switch, H -	Host, I -	IGMP, r - Rep	eater, P -	Phone,
	D - Remote, C -	CVTA, M -	Two-port Mac	Relay	
Device ID	Local Intrfce	Holdtme	Capability	Platform	Port ID
SW2	Gig 2/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/14
SW2	Gig 1/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/13

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

Legend:	* - primary entr age - seconds si n/a - not availa	y nce last ble	seen			
vlan	mac address	type	learn	age		ports
20	0002.0002.0002	dynamic	Yes	140	Gi3/40	

Packet Flow Diagram



Scenario 2 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer2 MEC Broken Redundancy



1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Procedure is same as Step1 of Scenario1.

2. Trace Path Through VSS Distribution.

This scenario is identical to scenario 1, except the link between Distr–VSS switch 2 and SW2 is broken. Because of this, no active link in port–channel2 exists on switch 2, where the packet from Host1 enters the VSS. Thus, the packet must cross the VSL and egress switch 1. This hash–result output shows this:

```
VSS#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 2
switch 2 ip 10.0.1.15 vlan 10 10.0.2.30
Computed RBH: 0x6
Would select Gi1/1/13 of Po2
```

The **hash-result** command can also be used to determine which VSL link is chosen to send the frame. In this case, Port-channel10 is the VSL on switch 1, and Port-channel20 is the switch 2 VSL.

```
VSS#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result int port-channel 20

switch 2 ip 10.0.1.15 vlan 10 10.0.2.30

Computed RBH: 0x6

Would select Te2/5/4 of Po20
```

Now, use the CDP table to find information about the downstream switch towards Host2.

VSS# show cdp n	ei				
Capability Cod	es: R - Router, T -	Trans Brid	ge, B - Sourc	e Route Br	idge
	S - Switch, H -	Host, I -	IGMP, r - Rep	eater, P -	Phone,
	D - Remote, C -	CVTA, M -	Two-port Mac	Relay	
Device ID	Local Intrfce	Holdtme	Capability	Platform	Port ID
SW2	Gig 2/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/14
SW2	Gig 1/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/13
Path to Host?					

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

SW2#show mac-address-table address 0002.0002.0002

Legend:	* - primary entry age - seconds sin n/a - not availab	? nce last ple	seen			
vlan	mac address	type	learn	age		ports
20	0002.0002.0002	dynamic	Yes	140	Gi3/40	



Scenario 3 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer3 MEC



Topology Information

- Host1 IP/MASK 10.0.1.15/24
- Host1 MAC 0001.0001.0001
- Host1 Default Gateway 10.0.1.1 On SW1
- Host2 IP 10.0.2.30
- Both SW1 and SW2 are Catalyst 6500 s switches operating at Layer 3, with routed etherchannels facing Distr-VSS

1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Since Host1 is terminated at Layer 3 by SW1, the first step is to look at SW1 s routing table to determine where Host2 resides.

```
SW1#show ip route 10.0.2.30
Routing entry for 10.0.2.0/24
 Known via "static", distance 1, metric 0
 Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * 10.100.1.1
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
SW1#show ip route 10.100.1.1
Routing entry for 10.100.1.0/24
 Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
 Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * directly connected, via Port-Channell
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
SW1#sh etherchannel 1 summary
Flags: D - down
                       P - bundled in port-channel
        I - stand-alone s - suspended
        H - Hot-standby (LACP only)
       R - Layer3
                    S – Layer2
        U - in use
                       N - not in use, no aggregation
        f - failed to allocate aggregator
        M - not in use, no aggregation due to minimum links not met
        m - not in use, port not aggregated due to minimum links not met
        u - unsuitable for bundling
        d - default port
```

```
w - waiting to be aggregated
Number of channel-groups in use: 4
Number of aggregators:
                               4
Group Port-channel Protocol Ports
1 Po1(RU) LACP Gi3/1(P) Gi3/2(P)
Last applied Hash Distribution Algorithm:
SW1#show cdp neighbor
Capability Codes: R - Router, T - Trans Bridge, B - Source Route Bridge
                S - Switch, H - Host, I - IGMP, r - Repeater, P - Phone
Device ID Local Intrfce Holdtme Capability Platform Port ID

        Gig 3/2
        126
        R S I
        WS-C6509-EGig 2/1/1

        Gig 3/1
        128
        R S I
        WS-C6509-EGig 1/1/1

VSS
VSS
              Gig 3/1
```

The above output shows a single route to the destination via 10.100.1.1, which corresponds to Port–channel1. The **show etherchannel** command output shows Port–channel1 is comprised of Gig3/1 and Gig3/2, and the CDP table shows both connect to the VSS, with one link per physical switch. Next, the **etherchannel hash–result** command must be used to determine the exact point of egress from Host1 to Host2.

```
SW1#show etherchannel load-balance
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Configuration:
    src-dst-ip
    mpls label-ip
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Addresses Used Per-Protocol:
Non-IP: Source XOR Destination MAC address
IPv4: Source XOR Destination IP address
IPv6: Source XOR Destination IP address
MPLS: Label or IP
```

This output shows that the algorithm for IPv4 packets is src–dst–ip. Next, input the relevant flow information into the hash–result CLI:

```
SW1#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 1 ip 10.1.1.1
Computed RBH: 0x1
Would select Gig3/2 of Po1
```

Now it is clear that the flow will leave SW1 via Gi3/2, and enter the VSS on Gig2/1/1, which exists on switch 1.

2. Trace Path Through VSS Distribution.

Next, the routing table entries on the VSS must be checked.

```
VSS#show ip route 10.0.2.30
Routing entry for 10.0.2.0/24
Known via "static", distance 1, metric 0
Routing Descriptor Blocks:
 * 10.200.1.2
Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
VSS#show ip route 10.200.1.2
Routing entry for 10.200.1.0/24
Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
Routing Descriptor Blocks:
```

Recall from the earlier CDP output that the packets for this flow entered the VSS on Gig2/1/1, which corresponds to switch 2, module 1, port 1. Again, use the hash–result command to determine physical point of exit from the VSS, making sure to first look up the internal VLAN for Po1:

```
VSS#show etherchannel load-balance
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Configuration:
    src-dst-mixed-ip-port enhanced
    mpls label-ip
EtherChannel Load-Balancing Addresses Used Per-Protocol:
Non-IP: Source XOR Destination MAC address
IPv4: Source XOR Destination IP address
IPv6: Source XOR Destination IP address
MPLS: Label or IP
VSS#show vlan internal usage | include Port-channel 1
1026 Port-channel 1
VSS#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 2 switch 2 ip
Computed RBH: 0x6
Would select Gi2/1/13 of Po2
```

Now, use the CDP table to find information about the downstream switch towards Host2.

VSS#show cdr	nei				
Capability (Codes: R - Router, T	- Trans Brid	ge, B - Sourc	e Route Br	idge
	S - Switch, H	- Host, I -	IGMP, r - Rep	eater, P -	Phone,
	D - Remote, C	- CVTA, M -	Two-port Mac	Relay	
Device ID	Local Intrfce	Holdtme	Capability	Platform	Port ID
SW2	Gig 2/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/14
SW2	Gig 1/1/13	129	RSI	WS-C6503-	Gig 3/13

This information shows that the packets will egress the VSS via Gig2/1/13, and ingress SW2 on Gig3/14 per the earlier CDP output.

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

Packet Flow Diagram



Scenario 4 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with Layer3 MEC Broken Redundancy



1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Procedure is same as Step1 of Scenario 3.

2. Trace Path Through VSS Distribution.

This scenario is identical to scenario 3, except the link between Distr–VSS switch 2 and SW2 is broken. Because of this, no active link in port–channel2 exists on switch 2, where the packet from Host1 enters the VSS, and thus the packet must cross the VSL and egress switch 1. The hash–result output below shows this.

VSS#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result interface port-channel 2 switch 2 ip Computed RBH: 0x6 Would select Gi1/1/13 of Po2

The hash–result command can also be used to determine which VSL link is chosen to send the frame. In this case, Port–channel10 is the VSL on switch 1, and Port–channel20 is the switch 2 VSL.

Computed RBH: 0x6 Would select Te2/5/4 of Po20

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Packet Flow Diagram

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

SW2# sho	SW2#show mac-address-table address 0002.0002.0002							
Legend:	* - primary entr age - seconds si n/a - not availa	y nce last ble	seen					
vlan	mac address	type	learn	age		ports		
20	0002.0002.0002	dynamic	Yes	140	Gi3/40			

Gi 1/1/13 Gi 3/40 Ten 2/5/4 Ten 1/5/-Gi 3/13 Gi 3/2 Gi 2/1/1 Host 1 Host 2 VSI SW1 VSS SW2 VSS-S2 S1 Distr-VSS Layer 3 Link Link part of the specified Po1-EtherChannel

Scenario 5 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with ECMP



Topology Information

- Host1 IP/MASK 10.0.1.15/24
- Host1 MAC 0001.0001.0001
- Host1 Default Gateway 10.0.1.1 On SW1
- Host2 IP 10.0.2.30

• In the Catalyst 6500, both SW1 and SW2 are terminating attached subnets at Layer 3, with routed links facing Distr–VSS

1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Because Host1 is terminated at Layer 3 by SW1, the first step is to look at the SW1 routing table to determine where Host2 resides.

```
SW1#show ip route 10.0.2.30
Routing entry for 10.0.2.0/24
  Known via "static", distance 1, metric 0
  Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * 10.100.1.1
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
    10.100.2.1
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
SW1#show ip route 10.100.1.1
Routing entry for 10.100.1.0/24
  Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
  Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * directly connected, via GigabitEthernet3/1
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
SW1#show ip route 10.100.2.1
Routing entry for 10.100.2.0/24
  Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
  Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * directly connected, via GigabitEthernet3/2
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
SW1#show cdp neighbor
Capability Codes: R - Router, T - Trans Bridge, B - Source Route Bridge
                 S - Switch, H - Host, I - IGMP, r - Repeater, P - Phone
Device ID Local Intrfce Holdtme Capability Platform Port ID
                Gig 3/2

        126
        R S I
        WS-C6509-EGig 2/1/1

        128
        R S I
        WS-C6509-EGig 1/1/1

VSS
VSS
                Gig 3/1
```

The previous output shows equal–cost routes via 10.100.1.1 and 10.100.2.1, which connect via Gig3/1 and Gig3/2, respectively. The CDP table shows both Gig3/1 and Gig3/2 connect to the VSS, with one link per physical switch. Next, the **exact–route** command must be used to determine the exact point of egress from Host1 to Host2.

SW1#show mls cef exact-route 10.0.1.15 10.0.2.30

Interface: Gi3/1, Next Hop: 10.100.1.1, Vlan: 1030, Destination Mac: 000a.000a.000a

Now it is clear that the flow will leave SW1 via Gi3/1, and enter the VSS on Gig1/1/1, which exists on switch 1.

2. Trace Path Through VSS Distribution.

Next, the routing table entries on the VSS must be checked.

```
VSS#show ip route 10.0.2.30
Routing entry for 10.0.2.0/24
Known via "static", distance 1, metric 0
Routing Descriptor Blocks:
```

```
10.200.2.2
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
  * 10.200.1.2
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
VSS#show ip route 10.200.2.2
Routing entry for 10.200.2.0/24
  Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
  Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * directly connected, via GigabitEthernet2/1/13
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
VSS#show ip route 10.200.1.2
Routing entry for 10.200.1.0/24
  Known via "connected", distance 0, metric 0 (connected, via interface)
  Routing Descriptor Blocks:
  * directly connected, via GigabitEthernet1/1/13
      Route metric is 0, traffic share count is 1
VSS#show cdp nei
Capability Codes: R - Router, T - Trans Bridge, B - Source Route Bridge
                  S - Switch, H - Host, I - IGMP, r - Repeater, P - Phone,
                  D - Remote, C - CVTA, M - Two-port Mac Relay
Device IDLocal IntrfceHoldtmeCapabilityPlatformPort IDSW2Gig 1/1/13121R S IWS-C6503-Gig 3/13SW2Gig 2/1/12121R S IWS-C6503-Gig 3/13
SW2
                                   121
SW2
                Gig 2/1/13
                                                    R S I WS-C6503- Gig 3/14
```

Here, again equal-cost paths exist for the destination, with one egress point per switch. Since it was earlier determined the packets enter the VSS on switch 1, the next step is to issue the **exact-route** command specifying switch 1.

VSS#show mls cef exact-route 10.0.1.15 10.0.2.30 switch 1 Interface: Gi1/1/13, Next Hop: 10.200.1.2, Vlan: 1095, Destination Mac: 000b.000b.00

This information shows that the packets will egress the VSS via Gig1/1/13, and ingress SW2 on Gig3/13 per the earlier CDP output.

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

Packet Flow Diagram



Scenario 6 – Packet Flow between Two Access–Layer Hosts with ECMP Broken Redundancy



1. Trace path from Host1 to VSS Distribution.

Procedure is same as Step1 of Scenario 5. 2. **Trace Path Through VSS Distribution**.

The **hash-result** command can again be used to determine which VSL link is chosen to send the frame. In this case, Port-channel10 is the VSL on switch 1, and Port-channel20 is the switch 2 VSL. The ingress VLAN will be the internal VLAN of Gig1/1/1, the ingress interface.

VSS#show vlan internal usage | include 1/1/1 1026 GigabitEthernet1/1/1 VSS#show etherchannel load-balance hash-result int port-channel 10 switch 1 ip 10.0.1.15 vlan 1026 10.0.2.30 Computed RBH: 0x4 Would select Te1/5/5 of Po10

3. Trace Path to Host2.

Last, login to SW2 and determine the exact port Host2 is connected to, again using the MAC-address table.

Legend:	* - primary entr age - seconds si n/a - not availa	y nce last ble	seen			
vlan	mac address	type	learn	age		ports
20	0002.0002.0002	dynamic	Yes	 140	Gi3/40	

Packet Flow Diagram



SW2#show mac-address-table address 0002.0002.0002

Related Information

- Cisco Catalyst 6500 Virtual Switching System Deployment Best Practices
- Integrate Cisco Service Modules with Cisco Catalyst 6500 Virtual Switching System 1440
- Cisco Catalyst 6500 Virtual Switching System 1440 Product Support
- LAN Product Support
- LAN Switching Technology Support
- Technical Support & Documentation Cisco Systems

Contacts & Feedback | Help | Site Map

© 2013 – 2014 Cisco Systems, Inc. All rights reserved. Terms & Conditions | Privacy Statement | Cookie Policy | Trademarks of Cisco Systems, Inc.

Updated: Apr 02, 2009

Document ID: 109638